IDEA GROUP PUBLISHING



701 E. Chocolate Avenue, Hershey PA 17033-1240, USA Tel: 717/533-8845; Fax 717/533-8661; URL-http://www.idea-group.com **ITB8337**

Chapter II Inc. formation-Induced Strategic Alignment: Toward a Semiological Analysis

University of Bahrain, Bahrain

Frederick P. Wheeler University of Bradford, UK

ht Idea Group Inc. The concept of strategic alignment between organizational policies for business and information systems (IS) has not been defined previously in informational terms. In this paper, we define informational aspects of organizational behavior in three semiological dimensions: pragmatics, semantics and syntactics. We also introduce dynamics as a fourth dimension to address time-related changes. We demonstrate the importance of these ideas in the analysis of strategic alignment by taking recent examples to show how informational attributes are often implicitly discussed in the literature. We believe that the precise definition of the informational aspects of alignment is a necessary prerequisite for the advancement of understanding in this important area.

This chapter appears in the book, Creating Business Value with Information Technology: Challenges and Solutions edited by Namchul Shin. Copyright © 2003, Idea Group Inc.

INTRODUCTION

Undoubtedly, Information Technology (IT) is essential for capturing, processing, storing and transmitting data in large and small organizations. The effective deployment of IT enables organizations to sustain competitive advantage in the marketplace (Cash & Konsynski, 1985; Konsynski, 1993) and obliges them to consider the alignment of their IT and their businesses. Organizations that manage to align their IT with their organization's capabilities harvest better utilization of their IT investments (Sabherwal & Kirs, 1994) and have an overall better organizational performance (Chan, Huff, Copeland, & Barclay, 1997; Sabherwal & Kirs, 1994; Teo & King, 1999).

An organization could be in a certain state of alignment at any point of time. This state is achieved through complex processes based on actions taken by all strategic, tactical and/or operational levels in the organization to gain inter/intrasynergy between internal and external policies. Although alignment generally refers to arranging policies in different areas so that they relatively match or correspond with one another, the precise definition of alignment in terms of business/IS relationship context still needs further clarification. Henderson and Venkatraman (1993) differentiate in their capstone paper between "traditional views on linkage" and "strategic alignment." The former refers to the automation and support of business processes by IT; while strategic alignment implies a more fundamental and strategically important role for IT. They define (Henderson & Venkatraman, 1993, Table 1) strategic alignment as, "selecting appropriate *alignment* perspectives for achieving business objectives" (our emphasis). Similarly, Luftman's (2001) definition of alignment addresses the processes in which alignment can be achieved between IT and business departments. Consequently, the central concept of alignment is inadequately defined due to the recursive use of the term "alignment." Other researchers have restricted the notion of alignment to certain processes or attributes such as understanding and commitment (Reich & Benbasat, 2000), coordination of objectives and views (Burn & Szeto, 2000) and communication and management processes (Prairie, 1996). Therefore, there is a need for a comprehensive, multiattribute definition, when discussing alignment, because unthinking acquiescence to rhetorical exhortations to align IS with the business may result in unintended consequences.

Consider the results of research into decision making in complex environments. If alignment is interpreted as tight coupling of systems, the resulting "stiffness" in complex interacting organizational systems will increase the likelihood of failure (Perrow, 1984). But, this does not rule out the need for certain levels of tight coupling—to elevate the organization's efficiency while still allowing for innovation through change (Butler, Price, Coates, & Pike, 1998)—or creativity through

25 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/chapter/information-induced-strategicalignment/7194

Related Content

Sequential Test for Arbitrary Ratio of Mean Times Between Failures

Yefim H. Michlin, Dov Ingmanand Yoram Dayan (2013). *Optimizing, Innovating, and Capitalizing on Information Systems for Operations (pp. 154-169).*www.irma-international.org/chapter/sequential-test-arbitrary-ratio-mean/74016

Building Dynamic Business Process in P2P Semantic Web

Timon C. Duand Eldon Y. Li (2009). Selected Readings on Information Technology and Business Systems Management (pp. 186-201).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/building-dynamic-business-process-p2p/28639

Business Risk Analysis: Obsolescence Management in Requirements Engineering

Jasbir Virdi (2012). Information Systems Reengineering for Modern Business Systems: ERP, Supply Chain and E-Commerce Management Solutions (pp. 99-126). www.irma-international.org/chapter/business-risk-analysis/63247

A Post-Implementation Case Study and Review of Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) Implementations

Joseph R. Muscatelloand Diane H. Parente (2010). *Business Information Systems: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools and Applications (pp. 1285-1302).*www.irma-international.org/chapter/post-implementation-case-study-review/44139

IT Infrastructure/IS Availability Investments and Optimization

(2017). Maximizing Information System Availability Through Bayesian Belief Network Approaches: Emerging Research and Opportunities (pp. 55-74).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/it-infrastructureis-availability-investments-and-optimization/178332