

Chapter 2

Why Get Your Engineering Programme Accredited?

Peter Goodhew
University of Liverpool, UK

ABSTRACT

In many countries engineering degree programmes can be submitted for accreditation by a professional body and/or graduate engineers can be certified or registered. Where this is available most academic institutions feel that they must offer accredited engineering programmes. The author suggests that these processes are at best ineffective (they do not achieve their aims) and at worst they are destructive of creativity, innovation and confidence in the academic community. The author argues that such processes (including any internal certification within the Conceive-Design-Implement-Operate, i.e., CDIO Initiative) should be abandoned completely. The author proposes alternative ways of maintaining the quality of engineering design and manufacture, which place the responsibility where it properly lies – with the manufacturer or contractor. This is a polemic piece, not a referenced review of accreditation.

INTRODUCTION

In many countries undergraduate engineering programmes can be submitted to a national body for *accreditation*. Graduates from accredited programmes are eligible, often with an additional requirement for relevant work experience, for registration as a professional engineer. In the UK this accreditation is overseen by the Engineering Council via UK-Spec. and opens the way to C.Eng, I.Eng or Eng Tech qualifications. In the USA ABET

serves a similar function, while in Australia the appropriate body is Engineers Australia. In all cases the programme, its students, and sometimes its graduates, are scrutinised by a committee of professional engineers before accreditation is awarded for a fixed period such as five years. The accreditation process involves substantial paperwork and usually a one or two day visitation, so is quite costly both for the educational institution and the professional body. I argue in this article that this considerable effort does not represent good value for money and in some cases may have a negative effect on the quality of engineering education.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-1945-6.ch002

THE CASE AGAINST ACCREDITATION

Did the accreditation of professional engineering programmes prevent the disastrous crash of the Airbus 330, flight AF 447, in June 2009? Equally, is it responsible for the fact that the Eiffel tower has remained standing for 120 years? Or that my iPhone is so brilliant? No, no and no. So what is accreditation supposed to be for? At the highest level I presume that the intention is to ensure and enhance the quality and safety of engineered products throughout the world. At a more mundane (and self-interested) national level it might be intended to enable the world-wide transferability, and thus profitability, of a nation's engineering industry by ensuring the international credibility and employability of its engineers.

These seem to be laudable objectives, but delivery of them is several steps away from the accreditation of university programmes. The logic is presumably that the employers of professional engineers must have confidence, via external testimony, in their skills and their fitness to practice. This confidence is engendered by their status as professional (*chartered* in UK parlance, *registered* in other jurisdictions) engineers, part of the qualification for which is that, at some time in the past, they graduated from an *accredited* degree programme. These engineers also have to demonstrate some appropriate experience in employment and the membership of a professional body.

I find the whole system of accreditation unsatisfactory in two ways: It does not deliver the intended outcome (and so is ineffectual) and, additionally, it can damage our education system and thus our students and graduates.

First, the charge that it is ineffectual: Engineered products are conceived, designed, made and operated (CDIO-ed) by engineers employed by large or small companies. Some, but certainly not all, of these engineers may be chartered. They will usually have earned their chartered status by virtue

of the work undertaken in their first few years of employment, backed up by the degree they were awarded several years ago. Since receiving their chartered status they will have been encouraged to undertake continuous professional development, but this will not have been checked. A fifty-year-old chartered engineer is thus operating on the basis of a validation process twenty years ago and a degree awarded about 25 to 30 years ago. The accreditation of this degree, so long ago, has almost no relevance for the engineering practices in use today. Indeed if the degree was typical of those awarded 25 years ago it will have contained a significant amount of engineering science and very few tests of engineering aptitude or attitude (which is of course why we have the CDIO *movement*). The fitness to practice of an individual engineer will in reality depend on what they have done, seen and learned during their working life, which is almost independent of the content of their first degree. Indeed the technical content of a degree in one engineering discipline may have almost no overlap with the content of another engineering discipline so it is hard to argue that subject content has anything to do with being, or thinking like, an engineer.

Furthermore an engineer employed today may be working in an area unrelated to their original area of study. This is very likely for bioengineers, nanoengineers, environmental engineers, nuclear engineers and others working in interdisciplinary areas. Their original degree would either have been un-accredited or the accreditation would relate to a different disciplinary area. How can this in any way validate or assure the quality of their current work?

A third issue is the effectiveness of the quality assurance provided by chartered status. I have already asserted that there are almost no checks on the continued professional development of chartered engineers, but equally there are almost no cases of the de-registration of rogue chartered engineers (and even if there were, they would certainly – like doctors – be de-registered after

1 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/get-your-engineering-programme-accredited/69273

Related Content

Effective Decision-Making in Project Based Environments: A Reflection of Best Practices

Brian J. Galli (2018). *International Journal of Applied Industrial Engineering* (pp. 50-62).

www.irma-international.org/article/effective-decision-making-in-project-based-environments/202420

An Empirical Study to Evaluate the Impact of Demographic Variables to Complaint Behavior of Customers in a Dine-In Restaurant Industry: A Case of Graduate Students

Tiffany Adelaine Gan Tan (2017). *International Journal of Applied Industrial Engineering* (pp. 19-32).

www.irma-international.org/article/an-empirical-study-to-evaluate-the-impact-of-demographic-variables-to-complaint-behavior-of-customers-in-a-dine-in-restaurant-industry/182721

Fault Detection, Isolation and Characterisation for Discrete Event Systems Based on Petri Nets Models

Dimitri Lefebvre, Edouard Leclercq and Souleiman Ould El Mehdi (2010). *Intelligent Industrial Systems: Modeling, Automation and Adaptive Behavior* (pp. 387-416).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/fault-detection-isolation-characterisation-discrete/43640

Lean Manufacturing System Design Based on Computer Simulation: Case Study for Manufacturing of Automotive Engine Control Units

Chramcov Bronislav and Bucki Robert (2014). *Handbook of Research on Design and Management of Lean Production Systems* (pp. 89-114).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/lean-manufacturing-system-design-based-on-computer-simulation/101404

Blockchain Technology Concept for Improving Supply Chain Traceability in the Ivory Market

Norman Gwangwava (2021). *International Journal of Applied Industrial Engineering* (pp. 1-14).

www.irma-international.org/article/blockchain-technology-concept-for-improving-supply-chain-traceability-in-the-ivory-market/287873