Chapter 11 Archive Film Comparison

Maia Zaharieva Vienna University of Technology, Austria

Matthias Zeppelzauer Vienna University of Technology, Austria

Dalibor Mitrović Vienna University of Technology, Austria

Christian Breiteneder Vienna University of Technology, Austria

ABSTRACT

In this paper, the authors present an approach for video comparison, in which an instantiated framework allows for the easy comparison of different methods that are required at each step of the comparison process. The authors' approach is evaluated based on a real world scenario of challenging video data of archive documentaries. In this paper, the performed experiments aim at the evaluation of the performance of established shot boundary detection algorithms, the influence of keyframe selection, and feature representation.

INTRODUCTION

Video copy detection is an active research area driven by ever-growing video collections. The detection of video duplicates allows for the efficient search and retrieval of video content. Existing applications for content-based video copy detection comprise video content identification (Yuan, Duan, Tian, & Xu, 2004), copyright protection (Joly, Frélicot, & Buisson, 2003; Ke, Sukthankar, & Huston, 2004), identification of duplicated news stories (Zhang & Chang, 2004), and TV broadcast monitoring and detection of commercials (Shen, Zhou, Huang, Shao, & Zhou, 2007). Presented experiments are often limited to high quality video clips of pre-defined fixed length and synthetically generated transformations such as resizing, frame shifting, contrast and gamma modification, Gaussian noise additions, etc.

In contrast, film and video comparison reaches beyond the boundaries of a single shot and aims at the identification of both reused and unique film

material in two video versions. The compared videos can be two versions of the same feature film, e.g., director's cut and original cut, or two different movies that share a particular amount of film material, such as documentary films and compilation films. Archive film material additionally challenges existing approaches for video analysis by the state and the nature of the material. The analysis of archive film material is often impeded by the loss of the original film versions. Remaining copies are usually low-quality backup copies from film archives and museums. Different versions vary significantly not only by the actual content (e.g., loss of frames/shots due to censorship or re-editing) but also due to material-specific artifacts such as mold, film tears, flicker, and low contrast. The movies are often monochromatic and silent which limits the set of available modalities and feasible techniques. Furthermore, existing algorithms often provide only limited robustness to illumination changes, affine transformation, cropping, and partial occlusions, which restricts their applicability for low-quality archive films. Archive film material is well-suited for the evaluation of video comparison techniques since it contains a large number of natural (not synthetically generated) transformations among different film versions and represents a complex real world scenario for film comparison and copy detection.

In general, a video comparison process passes well-defined steps from a shot boundary detection to shot representation and matching. At each step different algorithms can be applied. The combination of and the interaction between the selected methods are crucial for the overall comparison process. In this paper, we shortly describe a methodology for video comparison that accounts for the overall video structure at frame, shot and video level as presented in (Zaharieva, Zeppelzauer, Mitrović, & Breiteneder, 2009). The approach allows for the selection of the appropriate hierarchical level for a given task and, thus, enables different application scenarios such as the identification of missing shots or the reconstruction of the original film version.

In this paper we extend our previous work in the following aspects: *First*, we account for the temporal ordering of corresponding keyframes from matched shots. *Second*, to further increase the performance, we additionally investigate shots that are labeled as unknown by the system. *Third*, we extend the performed experiments. We evaluate the performance of established shot boundary detection algorithms on a larger set of archive documentaries and investigate the influence of keyframe selection on the video comparison. *Finally*, we extend the video data and account for four different type of artifacts:

- 1. Artifacts originating from the analog filmstrips, e.g., contrast and exposure changes, blurring, frame shift, dirt, film tears;
- 2. Digitization artifacts, e.g., coding transformations;
- 3. Technical transformations, e.g., changes in video format, resizing, cropping; and
- 4. Editorial operations such as frame/shot insertion and frame/shot deletion.

This paper is organized as follows. We first present related work on video copy detection. Following, we describe the underlying methodology for video comparison and the methods for shot boundary detection, keyframe extraction and feature representation. Finally, we present the performed experiments and discuss the results.

RELATED WORK

Existing approaches for video copy detection usually rely on the extraction of local and/or global features that are matched against a video reference set. In general, algorithms based on global features allow for efficient computation, search, and indexing. Typical features include color, edge, and motion information (Bertini, Bimbo, & Nunziati, 13 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/archive-film-comparison/66694

Related Content

Work Weighting Based on User's Browsing History

Yutaka Matsuo (2005). *Adaptable and Adaptive Hypermedia Systems (pp. 169-189).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/work-weighting-based-user-browsing/4184

Security in Ad-Hoc Networks

Muhammad Mahmudul Islam, Ronald Poseand Carlo Kopp (2008). *Mobile Multimedia Communications: Concepts, Applications, and Challenges (pp. 297-326).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/security-hoc-networks/26790

"The More I Write...The More My Mind Evolves Into Something Outstanding": Composing Identities with Social Media Tools

Mary Beth Hines, Jennifer M. Conner-Zachockiand Becky Rupert (2018). *Digital Multimedia: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 333-347).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-more-i-writethe-more-my-mind-evolves-into-something-outstanding/189481

Visualization, Estimation and User Modeling for Interactive Browsing of Personal Photo Libraries

Qi Tian, Baback Moghaddam, Neal Leshand Chia Shen (2008). *Multimedia Technologies: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1508-1533).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/visualization-estimation-user-modeling-interactive/27175

Home Media Access with Heterogeneous Devices

Tayeb Lemlouma (2018). Digital Multimedia: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1492-1507).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/home-media-access-with-heterogeneous-devices/189538