IGI PUBLISHING

= IGIP -

701 E. Chocolate Avenue, Suite 200, Hershey PA 17033-1240, USA Tel: 717/533-8845; Fax 717/533-8661; URL-http://www.igi-pub.com

This paper appears in the publication, Cases on the Human Side of Information Technology edited by M. Khosrow-Pour © 2006, IGI Global

Chapter I

Enterprise System Development in Higher Education

Bongsug Chae Kansas State University, USA

Marshall Scott Poole Texas A&M University, USA

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

"One system for everyone" has been an ideal goal for information technology (IT) management in many large organizations, and the deployment of such systems has been a major trend in corporate world under the name of enterprise systems (ES) (Brown & Vessey, 2003; Davenport, 2000; Markus, Petrie, & Axline, 2000). Benefits from ES use are claimed to be significant and multidimensional, ranging from operational improvements through decision-making enhancement to support for strategic goals (Shang & Seddon, 2002). However, studies (Hanseth & Braa, 2001; Rao, 2000; Robey, Ross, & Boudreau, 2002) of the deployment of ES in private sector organizations show that the ideal is difficult to accomplish. This paper reports a case in which a major university system in the U.S. attempted to develop an in-house enterprise system. The system is currently used by more than 4,000 individual users in almost 20 universities and state agencies. This case offers a historical analysis of the design, implementation and use of the system from its inception in the mid-1980s to the present. This case indicates that ES design and implementation in higher education are quite challenging

Copyright © 2006, Idea Group Inc. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of Idea Group Inc. is prohibited.

and complex due to unique factors in the public sector—including state mandates/ requirements, IT leadership/resources, value systems, and decentralized organizational structure among other things—that must be taken into account in planning, designing and implementing ES (Ernst, Katz, & Sack, 1994; Lerner, 1999; McCredie, 2000). This case highlights (1) the challenges and issues in the rationale behind "one system for everyone" and (2) some differences as well as similarities in IT management between the private and public sectors. It offers some unique opportunities to discuss issues, challenges and potential solutions for the deployment of ES in the public arena, particularly in higher education.

ORGANIZATIONAL BACKGROUND

The Land Grant University System (LGUS) is one of the more complex systems of higher education in the nation. Currently, LGUS consists of nine universities, eight state agencies and a medical science center that serves over 100,000 students and reaches more than 4 million people each year through its service outreach mission. Research projects underway by system universities and research agencies total roughly \$400 million. The system employs more than 23,000 faculty and staff members located throughout the state and serves all counties in the state. The annual budget for the LGUS is approximately \$2.0 billion.

The state established its first college in 1876, and this marked the beginning of LGUS. During the 1970s and 1980s, LGUS experienced tremendous growth in terms of its major activities of teaching, research, and public service. The system experienced a 27% growth in its student population, and more growth was expected. In 1986, the system achieved recognition as one of the top 10 National Science Foundation (NSF) ranked research universities in the U.S.. In addition to teaching and research, LGUS provided significant services to the citizens of the state through practical application of research-based knowledge.

At the outset of our case, in October 1988, LGUS consisted of four universities and seven associated agencies:

- 1. Central System Administrative Office (HQ)—the university system's headquarters;
- 2. Big Campus;
- 3. West Campus;
- 4. Southeast Campus;
- 5. South Campus;
- 6. Agricultural Research Station (ARS);
- 7. Agricultural Extension Service (AXS);
- 8. Veterinary Extension Service (VXS);
- 9. Engineering Research Station (ERS);
- 10. Engineering Extension Service (EXS);
- 11. Forest Service (FS); and
- 12. Transportation Research Station (TS).

In 1989, LGUS experienced another period of significant growth when three universities joined the system. In 1990, another university (Northwest Campus) joined the

Copyright © 2006, Idea Group Inc. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of Idea Group Inc. is prohibited.

18 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/enterprise-systemdevelopment-higher-education/6474

Related Content

Comparative Analysis of Factors Influencing Informal Investors of Spain and the United States

María de las Mercedes Barrachinaand Neeta Baporikar (2022). *International Journal of Applied Behavioral Economics (pp. 1-14).*

www.irma-international.org/article/comparative-analysis-of-factors-influencing-informal-investors-of-spainand-the-united-states/311051

Implicit Culture Framework for Behavior Transfer

Aliaksandr Birukou, Enrico Blanzieriand Paolo Giorgini (2011). *Handbook of Research on Culturally-Aware Information Technology: Perspectives and Models (pp. 213-241).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/implicit-culture-framework-behavior-transfer/45044

Motivation in Component-Based Software Development

G. Chroust (2006). *Encyclopedia of Human Computer Interaction (pp. 414-421).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/motivation-component-based-software-development/13154

On Quality of Experience in Remote Visualization on Mobile Devices

Gianluca Paravati, Andrea Sanna, Fabrizio Lambertiand Luigi Ciminiera (2010). *International Journal of Mobile Human Computer Interaction (pp. 1-20).* www.irma-international.org/article/quality-experience-remote-visualization-mobile/40948

Understanding Mobile Phone Usage through a Value-Based Approach: Marketing Implications

Yung Kyun Choiand Sungmi Lee (2015). *Encyclopedia of Mobile Phone Behavior (pp. 213-220).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/understanding-mobile-phone-usage-through-a-value-based-approachmarketing-implications/130141