Policy Incoherencies and Research Gaps in Uganda's **Primary Education Sub-Sector**

Bruno Lule Yawe, Makerere University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

The elimination of school fees at Uganda's primary education level was accelerated by the 1996 first direct presidential elections. Since the inception of the universal primary education in 1996 and its actual operationalization in 1997, universal primary education is synonymous with primary education. Because school fees were eliminated before infrastructural improvements in the school system had been carried out, the access shock created by the elimination of fees resulted in a substantial initial decrease in resources available per pupil, and a large increase in the pupil-teacher ratio. The purpose of this study is to identify the policy incoherencies as well as research or knowledge gaps relating to Uganda's primary education.

Policy Incoherency, Primary Education, Research Gaps, Systematic Literature Review, Uganda Kevwords:

INTRODUCTION

The elimination of school fees at Uganda's primary education level was accelerated by the first direct presidential elections, which took place in 1996. The eventual winner of these elections, made a campaign promise to provide free primary schooling to four children per Ugandan family. In December of 1996, after being elected, the president announced that school fees would be eliminated in January 1997, coincident with the new school year. An enumeration and advertising campaign was undertaken, and the new school entrants began learning within two months of the presidential announcement. In practice, school fees were

DOI: 10.4018/jsesd.2012010103

waived for all primary school students, regardless of how many siblings were also attending school (Grogan, 2006).

Grogan (2006) further notes that the announcement of universal primary education in late 1996 committed the government to paying the tuition fees at the rate of 5000 Ugandan shillings per pupil per annum in the first three years of schooling, and 8100 Ugandan shillings for the fourth to the seventh classes. To put these fees in the context of local salaries, in 1999 a teacher in a government-aided school in Uganda earned about 75 000 shillings per month. Other costs of schooling, such as transportation, and uniforms, remained the responsibility of families. Because school fees were eliminated before infrastructural improvements in the school system had been carried out, the access shock created by

the elimination of fees resulted in a substantial initial decrease in resources available per pupil, and a large increase in the pupil-teacher ratio. The textbook to pupil ratio in Ugandan schools was 1:4. Although the sectoral budget allocation increased from 20.6 billion Ugandan shillings at the start of universal primary education to 46.7 in 2003, this increase has not resulted in a proportional improvement in the pupil teacher ratio, or the quality of education.

Since the inception of the universal primary education in 1996 and its actual operationalization in 1997, universal primary education is synonymous with primary education. Molyneaux (2011) notes that in January 2007, Uganda embarked on a strategy to implement a nationwide universal secondary education policy. This study's findings have implications for the universal secondary education program. The purpose of this study is to identify the policy incoherencies as well as research or knowledge gaps relating to Uganda's primary education sub-sector. The study is organized in five sections and unfolds as follows. The next section covers the literature review; followed by the methods; findings; and the final section, concludes

LITERATURE REVIEW

Policy coherence is the systematic promotion of measures across government departments and agencies, to have consistent approaches towards the achievement of agreed objectives. The principle of coherence has been enshrined in the European Commission's treaties and, in 2005, the Commission identified policy coherence for development as a pioneering concept for attaining the Millennium Development Goals. Furthermore, in September 2007 the Commission published its first biennial progress report on policy coherence for development (CONCORD, 2009).

Barry et al. (2010) note that policy coherence for development is achieved when policies across a range of domestic policy areas support, or at the very least do not undermine, the attainment of overseas development objectives. Policy coherence for development seeks to represent the interests of the poorest developing countries within developed country policy-making processes and seeks to ensure that investments in overseas aid are not undermined by damaging non-aid policies. Issues of policy incoherence are most obviously seen in agricultural, trade and environmental policies but also in policy areas such as finance, science and technology, security and migration policy. While some progress towards coherence has occurred in recent years as the European Union member states have begun to systematically consider policy coherence for development, the agenda is in its infancy and many issues of incoherence have yet to be resolved. In any case, as policies, issues, and political preferences change over time, policy coherence becomes a moving target, requiring continuous efforts to ensure that developing countries are not negatively affected by developed country nonaid policies. Policy coherence for development should therefore be considered a continuous process of evaluation and reflection which seeks to ensure ever-increasing policy coherence towards developing countries in developed country policies.

Good governance and sound public management are preconditions for the implementation of sustainable development policies. These preconditions include amongst others efforts to ensure an ethical and more transparent government process, as well as decisionmaking practices sufficiently open to citizen participation. Although the precise impact of these basic good governance preconditions on sustainable development has not been examined, the negative impact of defective governance on economic and social development, as well as on the environment, is clear. In addition to these basic preconditions of key management, tools such as performance measurement, mechanisms for citizen engagement, specific policy and implementation processes and continuous strategic assessment are crucially important for sustainable development (OECD, 2002).

13 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/article/policy-incoherencies-research-gapsuganda/64243

Related Content

Public on Conserving an Urban Wetland: A Case from Kerala, India

P. P. Nikhil Rajand P. A. Azeez (2010). *International Journal of Social Ecology and Sustainable Development (pp. 14-19).*

www.irma-international.org/article/public-conserving-urban-wetland/41956

Corporate Sustainable Growth and the Financing of Innovation: Evidence from Cash-Flow Disaggregation

Amani Kahlouland Ezzeddine Zouari (2013). *International Journal of Social Ecology and Sustainable Development (pp. 43-64).*

www.irma-international.org/article/corporate-sustainable-growth-and-the-financing-of-innovation/101385

Qualitative Analysis of Learning Territorial Planning: The Case of Management of a Local Plan of Territorial Laws in Chile

Christian A. Quinteros Flores (2020). *Handbook of Research on Smart Territories and Entrepreneurial Ecosystems for Social Innovation and Sustainable Growth (pp. 213-232).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/qualitative-analysis-of-learning-territorial-planning/246535

CSR Goals and Managing Public Institutes in the Republic of Slovenia

Bojan Tiar (2024). Corporate Governance and CSR Strategies for Sustainability (pp. 1-19).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/csr-goals-and-managing-public-institutes-in-the-republic-of-slovenia/344394

The Reinvention of an Unremarkable Building through Adaptive Reuse: A Case Study

Deborah Schneidermanand Anne L. Carr (2014). *Handbook of Research on Pedagogical Innovations for Sustainable Development (pp. 410-423).*

 $\frac{\text{www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-reinvention-of-an-unremarkable-building-through-adaptive-reuse/103518}$