

## Chapter 11

# Building Technical Knowledge and Engagement in Robotics: An Examination of two Out- of-School Programs

**Kimberley Gomez**

*University of California Los Angeles, USA*

**Debra Bernstein**

*TERC, USA*

**Jolene Zywica**

*University of Pittsburgh, USA*

**Emily Hamner**

*Carnegie Mellon University, USA*

### ABSTRACT

*In this chapter the authors focus on the opportunities for youth to engage in technical design through participation in two different afterschool robotics programs - the Digital Youth Network (DYN) and Robot Diaries (RD). The programs each take a different approach to motivating and engaging participants with robotic technology and design. Through an analytic comparison of these two programs, the authors offer insight on the relationship between programmatic goals and participant experiences. Specifically, they describe how programmatic goals influenced the opportunities available for participants to engage with technology, increase their comfort level with technology, and build skills in adapting technology to facilitate individual and group-centered design goals. The chapter concludes by offering recommendations regarding programmatic structure (e.g., the role of audience, the importance of materials selection, instructor's roles, and instructor knowledge-based resources) based on the desired participant outcomes.*

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-0182-6.ch011

## INTRODUCTION

Full and active participation in our society requires today's youth to be technologically and scientifically literate. Out-of-school activities, such as after-school robotics clubs and workshops, potentially provide an opportunity for youth to develop some of these necessary skills. While recent reports suggest that, unlike during the middle of the previous decade, when computer science enrollments at the university level were dropping (Vegso, 2005), computer science program enrollment has been improving (Zweben, 2011). With this in mind, it seems critical to provide an opportunity for positive engagement in technology design to maintain this trend. However, even for those who for a variety of reasons choose not to enter a STEM career field, we suggest that participating in a robotics design experience can provide a set of knowledge and skills fundamental to the development of technological literacy (ITEA, 2000); this includes increasing the comfort level and use of technology as well as learning to adapt technology to facilitate goals.

This chapter will focus on the opportunities for youth to engage in design through participation in two different robotics programs. Through an analytic comparison of these two programs, we offer insight on the key features of robotics programs and how these features can support various programmatic goals, structures, and student opportunities.

Existing robotics programs differ on a number of dimensions, including venue, scope, duration, and pedagogical approach. While some programs focus on the acquisition of STEM knowledge and skills (e.g., Miller & Stein, 2000; Verner, Waks, & Kolberg, 1999), others facilitate more artistic goals such as personal or creative expression (e.g., Montemayor, Druin, & Hendler, 2000). The two programs considered in this chapter, the Digital Youth Network (DYN) and Robot Diaries (RD) each take a different approach to motivating and engaging participants with robotic technology

and design. Both programs aim to provide young people with hands-on opportunities around robotic design, but they differ on a number of dimensions. The DYN uses the goal of a robotics competition to deeply engage participants in teamwork focused on engineering and programming challenges, while RD combines arts and engineering objectives, allowing participants to build individual, expressive robots that they then showcase for family and community members. Both programming contexts take seriously the notion that young, traditionally educationally underserved participants can, and should, have opportunities to learn about design and development within a supportive, mentored experience.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

Much has been written about the power of robotics training experiences to increase young people's exposure to science, technology, and mathematics and to increase conceptual knowledge (Hamner, Lauwers, Bernstein, Nourbakhsh, & DiSalvo, 2008; Resnick, Berg, & Eisenberg, 2000; Turback & Berg, 2002). The literature suggests that young people enjoy robotics activities and competitions, enjoy the collaboration with others, and become deeply engaged in design experiences (Bernstein, 2010; Morrison, 2006). What we offer in this chapter is an exploration of the value of robotics through a brief consideration of two examples of robotics experiences, both in informal contexts, but with different overarching aims – a team-based, robotics competition and a community-centered, robot building workshop. Our aim is to characterize these experiences and, through examining particular elements of the programs and drawing comparisons across the two, consider how, and why, robotics programs in K-12 settings can build habits of the mind (educational as well as social) that are valued in formal as well as in informal learning contexts.

21 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/building-technical-knowledge-engagement-robotics/63417](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/building-technical-knowledge-engagement-robotics/63417)

## Related Content

---

### Towards Safer Internet for Students with the Aid of a Hypermedia Filtering Tool

Fotis Lazarinis (2009). *Handbook of Research on New Media Literacy at the K-12 Level: Issues and Challenges* (pp. 457-470).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/towards-safer-internet-students-aid/35931](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/towards-safer-internet-students-aid/35931)

### Technology as a Classroom Tool: Learning with Laptop Computers

Ann E. Barron, J. Christine Harnesand Katherine J. Kemker (2006). *Handbook of Research on Literacy in Technology at the K-12 Level* (pp. 271-286).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/technology-classroom-tool/20932](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/technology-classroom-tool/20932)

### Teaching English as a Second Language with Technology: Making Appropriate Pedagogical Choices

Kate Mastruserio Reynolds, Ingrid Schallerand Dale O. Gable (2006). *Handbook of Research on Literacy in Technology at the K-12 Level* (pp. 626-648).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/teaching-english-second-language-technology/20952](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/teaching-english-second-language-technology/20952)

### Fitness Revisited: Mobile Learning in Physical Education

Angelos Dimitropoulos (2016). *Revolutionizing K-12 Blended Learning through the i2Flex Classroom Model* (pp. 320-333).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/fitness-revisited/157595](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/fitness-revisited/157595)

### From Concept to Conference: Developing a Distance Learning Lesson Using a Museum/School Collaboration Model

Sharon Vatsky (2008). *Videoconferencing Technology in K-12 Instruction: Best Practices and Trends* (pp. 70-79).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/concept-conference-developing-distance-learning/30778](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/concept-conference-developing-distance-learning/30778)