



IDEA GROUP PUBLISHING

701 E. Chocolate Avenue, Suite 200, Hershey PA 17033-1240, USA Tel: 717/533-8845; Fax 717/533-8661; URL-http://www.idea-group.com

This chapter appears in the book, *Business Systems Analysis with Ontologies*, edited by Peter Green and Michael Rosemann. © 2005, Idea Group Inc.

Chapter IX

Applying the ONTOMETRIC Method to Measure the Suitability of Ontologies

Asunción Gómez-Pérez, Politécnica University of Madrid, Spain

Adolfo Lozano-Tello, Extremadura University, Spain

Abstract

In the last years, the development of ontology-based applications has increased considerably, mainly related to the Semantic Web. Users currently looking for ontologies in order to incorporate them into their systems, just use their experience and intuition. This makes it difficult for them to justify their choices. Mainly, this is due to the lack of methods that help the user to determine which are the most appropriate ontologies for the new system. To solve this deficiency, the present chapter proposes a method, ONTOMETRIC, which allows the users to measure the suitability of existing ontologies, regarding the requirements of their systems. ONTOMETRIC, based in the analytic hierarchy process, can be used to select the most

Copyright © 2005, Idea Group Inc. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of Idea Group Inc. is prohibited.

appropriate ontology among various alternatives. This chapter describes the main techniques and activities to apply the method.

Introduction: The Problem of Selecting Ontologies

In 1991, the ARPA Knowledge Sharing Effort (Neches, 1991) revolutionized the way in which intelligent systems were built in artificial intelligence when proposing the construction of knowledge-based systems by means of the "assembling" of reusable components. Reusable components become the base (or skeleton) of the new system, to which are added specialized knowledge and specific reasoning methods, depending on the task that the system attempts to solve. This vision allows the building of bigger and more potent systems. The ontologies, used to represent the "static" knowledge of a domain, and the problem solving methods, used to carry out reasoning, become the key pieces that allow the reuse of knowledge and problem-solving methods (Gómez-Pérez, 1999a). The saving in costs and time that is obtained in the software reuse (Bollinger, 1990; Poulin, 1997) is achieved in more scope in the reuse of this knowledge (ontologies and problem-solving methods), due to the enormous effort in the processes of knowledge acquisition of a domain, conceptual model's construction, formalization, and implementation of such knowledge.

At the moment, the ontologies are implemented in a great variety of languages. At the beginning of the 90s, a group of languages was designed and used for the implementation of ontologies. The most representative languages are Ontolingua (Gruber, 1993), LOOM (McGregor, 1991), OCML (Motta, 1999), FLogic (Kifer, 1995), and so forth. These languages receive the name of "classic languages" (Corcho, 2000), they follow a syntax based on LISP (to exception of FLogic), and they are in a phase of stable development. Recently, XML has been adopted as a standard language to exchange information on the Web. In the field of the ontologies, several languages have been created based on XML to implement ontologies. For example RDF (Lassila, 1999), RDF Schema (Brickley, 1999), XOL (Karp, 1999), SHOE (Luke, 2000), OIL (Horrocks, 2000), DAML+OIL (Horrocks, 2001) and OWL (Dean, 2003). These languages, called "Web-based languages", are still in development phase and in continuous evolution.

Equally, methodologies for building ontologies have been numerous. Already in 1990, Lenat and Guha (1990) published some methodological considerations related with the development of the CYC ontology. Some years later, in 1995, Uschold and King (1995) published the main steps in the development of the Enterprise ontology. In the same year, Grüninger and Fox (1995) showed the

Copyright © 2005, Idea Group Inc. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of Idea Group Inc. is prohibited.

19 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/applyingontometric-method-measure-suitability/6125

Related Content

Using Multicriteria Futuristic Fuzzy Decision Hierarchy in SWOT Analysis: An Application in Tourism Industry

Sunil Pratap Singh, Manoj Kumar Chauhanand Preetvanti Singh (2015). *International Journal of Operations Research and Information Systems (pp. 38-56).* www.irma-international.org/article/using-multicriteria-futuristic-fuzzy-decision-hierarchy-in-swot-

analysis/133604

An Applied Mathematical Model for Business Transformation and Enterprise

Architecture: The Business Engineering and Risk Management Pattern (BE&RMP) Antoine Trad (2021). *Empowering Businesses With Collaborative Enterprise Architecture Frameworks (pp. 51-89).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/an-applied-mathematical-model-for-business-transformation-andenterprise-architecture/259999

Product Modeling and Configuration Experiences

J. Arana (2007). *Mass Customization Information Systems in Business (pp. 33-58).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/product-modeling-configuration-experiences/26118

Invited Commentary: Discussion on a Hybrid Strategic Development and Prioritization Model for Information and Communication Technology Enhancement

Wen-Yao Lu, Ming-Che Linand Ting-Xu Chen (2015). *International Journal of Operations Research and Information Systems (pp. 91-93).* www.irma-international.org/article/invited-commentary/133607

A Role of Enterprise Service Bus in Building Web Services

Dinesh Sharmaand Devendra Kumar Mishra (2017). *Exploring Enterprise Service Bus in the Service-Oriented Architecture Paradigm (pp. 46-58).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-role-of-enterprise-service-bus-in-building-web-services/178059