

Chapter 59

Time to Graduation of Three Different Master's Degrees in Psychology at a Public University

Dennis G. Fisher

California State University-Long Beach, USA

Grace L. Reynolds

California State University-Long Beach, USA

ABSTRACT

There has been a considerable amount of interest in graduation rates of baccalaureate degree programs in the educational literature. There has also been some attention given to graduation from doctoral programs and from associate degree programs. However, there is almost no literature on the graduation rates for Master's degree programs. This report uses a method of analysis known as survival analysis or event history analysis to examine the time to event for two different events leading to completion of a Master's degree. One event is the time to preliminary examination, and the other event is the time to final thesis defense or final examination. The data compare three different Master's programs in a psychology department of one of the largest campuses of one of the largest public university systems in the United States.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-61350-068-2.ch059

INTRODUCTION

There has been a considerable amount of interest in graduation rates for baccalaureate degrees in the educational literature, partially caused by an increasing emphasis on performance-based accountability (Alexander, 2000). One overt manifestation of this emphasis was the Federal Student Right-to-Know and Campus Security Act of 1991 that required disclosure of completion or graduation rates (Astin, 1997). Formulas have been provided for cut-off points at four, six and nine years after freshman entry (Astin, 1997). This federal legislation was justified in that the perspective of the federal government has been that graduation rates represented a way for external reviewers to compare different institutions to each other (Underwood & Rieck, 1999). The graduation rate they decided upon has been the six-year graduation rate (Astin, 2005-2006).

This six-year perspective in use for baccalaureate degree programs has been modified for community colleges awarding associate degrees to a standard of 150% of normal time. In this method, normal time for an associate degree is considered to be two years, so 150% of this would be three years (Bailey, Calcagno, Jenkins, Leinbach, & Kienzl, 2006).

The six-year rate is also used for doctorate-granting universities, and there has been attention paid to predicting graduation rates for the doctoral degree (Goenner & Snaith, 2003-2004). Graduate faculty are often concerned with students who leave a department, and in some cases consider those students to be failures of the department (Pauley, Cunningham, & Toth, 1999). This means that the higher this failure rate, the greater is the perception that the university is failing to meet student needs (Pauley et al., 1999). A useful study examined the attrition and retention of students in a non-traditional Ed.D. program at a single university (Pauley et al., 1999). This study is useful for other universities who are also examining degree programs other than the standard baccalaureate and doctorate.

The current study was motivated to examine the retention and degree completion performance of three different types of masters degrees in one department of the largest campus of the California State University system which itself is the largest senior system of higher education in the United States (The California State University, 1999). We have been unable to locate any published literature on degree completion rates of masters programs. Our study is an attempt to aid others who may want to examine master's degree programs and are also having a difficult experience in locating directly relevant literature.

Analysis Background

The general approach taken in the analysis is that the important measure is time to degree. This is because programs with high doctoral completion rates are those with shorter times to earn the degree (de Valero, 2001). The analytic problem with using time-to-event data with graduate school admission/graduation data is that some of the students who were admitted may not have graduated by the time of cessation of data collection. These data points are termed "censored" data because the date of graduation is unknown (it may never occur). There is a method of analysis that is able to deal with data with this characteristic known as survival analysis (Fisher & Anglin, 1987; Singer & Willett, 1991). This method has been applied to examining drug abuse treatment program retention (Fisher, Lankford, & Galea, 1996) and to employment retention of healthcare providers, among others (Fisher, Pearce, Statz, & Wood, 2003). An early introduction of this method to studying student dropout advocated using survival analysis as a way to explore important unanswered questions in educational research (Willett & Singer, 1991). This method has been applied to study the retention of undergraduate students (DesJardins, Ahlburg, & McCall, 1999; Murtaugh, Burns, & Schuster, 1999). We are not aware of it ever being applied to graduate school education.

9 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/time-graduation-three-different-master/58481

Related Content

Teachers' Experiences Implementing the Continuous Assessment Component of the Secondary Entrance Assessment at a Primary School Facing Challenging Circumstances

Nadia Laptiste-Francis and Elna Carrington-Blaides (2020). *Learning and Performance Assessment: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1508-1527).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/teachers-experiences-implementing-the-continuous-assessment-component-of-the-secondary-entrance-assessment-at-a-primary-school-facing-challenging-circumstances/237591

Using an Online Discussion Board for Peer Review of Dissertation Writing For Ed.D. Students

Beth Kania-Gosche (2012). *Encyclopedia of E-Leadership, Counseling and Training* (pp. 108-120).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/using-online-discussion-board-peer/58431

An Abridged History of Learning Objects

Robert R. Saum (2007). *Learning Objects for Instruction: Design and Evaluation* (pp. 1-15).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/abridged-history-learning-objects/25528

Reflections on Designing for Learning: Ten Ideas from Ten Studies from Ten Years of Work in a University in Hong Kong

Carmel McNaught (2014). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design* (pp. 58-68).

www.irma-international.org/article/reflections-on-designing-for-learning/106816

A Proposed Cohesive Use of Online Discussion Board from the Aspects of Instructional and Social Interactions in Engineering Education

Yaming Tai, Yu-Liang Ting and Teng-Hui Tseng (2018). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design* (pp. 33-44).

www.irma-international.org/article/a-proposed-cohesive-use-of-online-discussion-board-from-the-aspects-of-instructional-and-social-interactions-in-engineering-education/204982