Chapter I Integrating the Fragmented Pieces of IS Research Paradigms and Frameworks: A Systems Approach

Manuel Mora Autonomous University of Aguascalientes, Mexico

Ovsei Gelman National Autonomous University of Mexico, Mexico **Doncho Petkov** Eastern State Connecticut University, USA

> Jeimy Cano Los Andes University, Colombia

Guisseppi Forgionne Maryland University, Baltimore County, USA

ABSTRACT

A formal conceptualization of the original concept of system and related concepts—from the original systems approach movement—can facilitate the understanding of information systems (IS). This article develops a critique integrative of the main IS research paradigms and frameworks reported in the IS literature using a systems approach. The effort seeks to reduce or dissolve some current research conflicts on the foci and the underlying paradigms of the IS discipline.

INTRODUCTION

The concept of management information systems (MIS) in particular, or information systems (IS) in general, has been studied intensively since

the 1950s (Adam & Fitzgerald, 2000). These investigations have been conducted largely by behavioral-trained scientists to study the emergent phenomena caused by the deployment and utilization of computers in organizations. This discipline, from its conception as a potential scientific field, has been driven by a dual research perspective: technical (design engineering oriented) or social (behavioral focused). This duality of man-made non-living (hardware, software, data, and procedures) and living systems (human-beings, teams, organizations, and societies), the multiple interrelationships among these elements, and the socio-cultural-economicpolitic and physical-natural environment, make IS a complex field of inquiry.

The complexity of the IS field has attracted researchers from disparate disciplines-operations research, accounting, organizational behavior, management, and computer science, among others. This disciplinary disparity has generated the utilization of several isolated research paradigms and lenses (e.g., positivist, interpretative, or critical-based underlying research methodologies). The result has been the lack of a generally accepted IS research framework or broad theory (Hirchheim & Klein, 2003) and has produced: (i) a vast body of disconnected micro-theories (Barkhi & Sheetz, 2001); (ii) multiple self-identities perceived by the different stakeholders (e.g., IS researchers, IS practitioners, and IS users); and (iii) partial, disparate and incomplete IS conceptualizations (Benbazat & Zmud, 2003; Galliers, 2004; Orlikowski & Iacono, 2001).

Despite scholastic indicators¹ of maturity, IS, then, has been assessed as: (1) highly fragmented (Larsen & Levine, 2005), (2) with little cumulative tradition (Weber, 1987), (3) deficient of a formal and standard set of fundamental well-defined and accepted concepts (Alter, 2001, p. 3; Banville & Landry, 1989, p. 56; Wand & Weber, 1990, p. 1282) and (4) with an informal, conflicting and ambiguous communicational system (Banville & Landry, 1989; Hirschheim & Klein, 2003). Such findings provide insights for a plausible explanation of the delayed maturation of the field and the conflictive current perspectives on information systems (Farhoomand, 1987; Wand & Weber, 1990).

This article illustrates how systems theory can be used to alleviate the difficulties. First, there is a review of basic system and related concepts relevant to information systems (Ackoff, 1960; Bertalanffy, 1950, 1968, 1972; Boulding, 1956; Checkland, 1983; Forrester, 1958; Jackson, 2000; Klir, 1969; Midgley, 1996; Mingers, 2000, 2001; Rapoport, 1968). Next, these systems approach concepts are used to formulate a critique integrative of the main paradigms and frameworks suggested for IS research. Then, a theoretical scheme is developed to integrate holistically and coherently the fragmented pieces of IS research paradigms and frameworks. To end, this article presents future research directions on potential conflictive conclusions presented.

THE SYSTEMS APPROACH: PRINCIPLES AND PARADIGMS

The Principles of the Systems Approach

The systems approach is an intellectual movement originated by the biologist Ludwig von Bertalanffy² (1950, 1968, 1972), the economist Kenneth Boulding (1956), and the mathematicians Anatoly Rapoport (1968) and George Klir (1969) that proposes a complementary paradigm (e.g., a worldview and a framework of ideas, methodologies, and tools) to study complex natural, artificial, and socio-politic cultural phenomena.

Lazlo and Lazlo (1997) interpret the modern conceptualization of the systems approach as a worldview shift from chaos to an organized complexity. Boulding (1956) argues that the systems approach—labeled as general systems theory (GST)—is about an adequate trade-off between the scope and confidence in valid theories from several disciplines. In the former case the greater the level of scope the lesser the level of confidence and vice versa. For Rapoport (1968), the systems approach should be conceptualized 20 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <u>www.igi-global.com/chapter/integrating-fragmented-pieces-research-</u> paradigms/5508

Related Content

Networked Virtual Environments

Christos Bouras, Eri Giannakaand Thrasyvoulos Tsiatsos (2009). Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Second Edition (pp. 2789-2793).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/networked-virtual-environments/13983

Application of an Object-Oriented Metasystem in University Information System Development

Petr C. Smolikand Thomas Hruska (2003). Annals of Cases on Information Technology: Volume 5 (pp. 550-562).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/application-object-oriented-metasystem-university/44564

Technologies for Information Access and Knowledge Management

Thomas Mandl (2009). Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Second Edition (pp. 3680-3685).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/technologies-information-access-knowledge-management/14125

Factors Influencing Digital Innovation Strategy in Organizations: An Empirical Analysis

Sheryar Khan Tahirkheliand Olusegun Ademolu Ajigini (2022). Information Resources Management Journal (pp. 1-23).

www.irma-international.org/article/factors-influencing-digital-innovation-strategy-in-organizations/287900

IT-Enabled Corporate Governance: The Characteristics and Determinants of Web-based Corporate Governance Disclosures

Yabing Jiangand Wullianallur Raghupathi (2010). *Information Resources Management Journal (pp. 1-20)*. www.irma-international.org/article/enabled-corporate-governance/46631