

Chapter 8.2

New Directions in the Research of Technology–Enhanced Education

Robert N. Ronau

University of Louisville, USA

Christopher R. Rakes

University of Louisville, USA

Margaret L. Niess

Oregon State University, USA

Lauren Wagener

University of Tennessee, USA

David Pugalee

University of North Carolina, USA

Christine Browning

Western Michigan University, USA

Shannon O. Driskell

University of Dayton, USA

Susann M. Mathews

Wright State University, USA

ABSTRACT

This chapter presents the results of a systematic review of literature in which the authors examined instructional technology integration in career and technical education, mathematics, language arts, social studies, and science. Three lenses were used to examine the literature: a research design framework, a teacher knowledge framework (CFTK), and a technology integration framework (TPACK). The research design framework revealed a low percentage of papers that were actually research studies (41.2%), favoring qualitative design (70% of the 41.2%). Consequently, educators may have difficulty sifting through high proportions of non-research to find the most informative,

up-to-date instructional technology research. Three CFTK aspects of teacher knowledge were addressed less than others in the research studies: Individual Context (16%), Subject Matter (33%), and Discernment (29%). Pedagogical Knowledge was addressed the most (65%). The TPACK developmental framework revealed an emphasis on the lowest three levels of instructional technology integration (60%), indicating a gap in the research at the upper two levels. Mathematics studies accounted for almost half of all research addressing TPACK developmental stages (47%). From these findings, the authors conclude that pedagogical knowledge alone is not enough to ensure high levels of technology integration and offer recommendations for improving the disjointed nature of research on instructional technology.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60960-503-2.ch802

INTRODUCTION

Teachers use instructional technology for online courses, video conferencing, electronic portfolios, and other exploratory projects. Literature reviews are important tools that teachers can use to evaluate instructional technology and develop strategies for its effective use. A systematic review of literature can make such evaluations far easier and more effective by synthesizing the results of the studies on a given topic using well-articulated methodological processes. The purpose of this chapter is to provide a systematic review of the impact of technology on teaching and learning and to propose a framework for looking at teacher knowledge from which gaps in the literature can be addressed. In this paper, the term “technology” refers to digital technology as opposed to other forms of instructional tools (e.g., overhead projectors, manipulatives).

Means, Wagner, Haertel, and Javitz (2003) identified two major issues regarding the use of technology for instruction: the pedagogical value of specific technology tools and the cumulative effects of technology exposure over time on student learning. In order to address these issues, educators need to assess specific sub-questions to gauge the effectiveness of technology as a teaching tool (e.g., What conditions foster learning with technology; what pedagogical strategies promote learning with technology; what teacher qualifications are related to content, technology, and implementation of pedagogical strategies; and to what internal and external classroom constraints must teachers attend when incorporating technology?). The complex nature of these questions requires multiple types of research and design.

Bell, Schrum, and Thompson (2009) suggested that the types of research needed to adequately address these issues include: (1) experimental or quasi-experimental studies, (2) large-scale studies, (3) studies with sufficient statistical information to be included in meta analysis and mixed-methodology studies, (4) studies with rich analysis of student content knowledge, and (5) studies that

address the complexities of learners, classrooms, and schools. Recently, federal funding agencies such as the Institute of Education Sciences (IES; Whitehurst, 2003) have emphasized large scale experimental studies as the gold standard for scientific research. One such study, *Effectiveness of Reading and Mathematics Software Products: Findings from the First Student Cohort* (Dynarski et al., 2007), found that the technology programs used showed no significant improvement in student test scores in mathematics and reading. However, the results from a single study, even a large scale experimental study, are not conclusive in and of themselves. For example, Fitzer et al. (2007) challenged the generalizability of the Dynarski et al. (2007) study, noting that “this study oversimplifies the case by pushing aside these complicated relationships, and treating all the software programs as members of the same generic set of ‘mathematics software’ (or ‘reading software’)” (p. 3). Ronau et al. (2008) added that “The absence of teacher considerations along with a seemingly accepted view of a transmission model of learning with technology left the door open for better and richer technology research — research that addresses the complex situations occurring when various technologies are used in the mathematics classroom” (p. 19). From this example, we see that no one study or type of study can answer every pertinent question; small-scale empirical studies and qualitative studies fulfill an important role for research by answering focused questions in more detail than is feasible on a large scale. We suggest therefore that researchers seek a balance between various methodologies to better answer the multiple facets of key questions asked by stakeholders regarding the integration of technology in the classroom.

FRAMEWORKS FOR TEACHER KNOWLEDGE

In addition to research design, this chapter is also interested in how previous studies have taken into

31 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/new-directions-research-technology-enhanced/51916

Related Content

Leveraging Instructional Technology to Design Diverse Learning Experiences Through Collaborative Stakeholder Engagement

Jessica Andrea Giraldo, Samantha Marina Lemus-Martinez and Garamis E. Campusano (2022).

Instructional Design Exemplars in eHealth and mHealth Education Interventions (pp. 238-253).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/leveraging-instructional-technology-to-design-diverse-learning-experiences-through-collaborative-stakeholder-engagement/300142

Navigating Educational Evolution: Adapting Pedagogical Approaches to the Competency-Based Paradigm

Chaimae Waladi and Mohammed Sefian Lamarti (2024). *Fostering Pedagogical Innovation Through Effective Instructional Design* (pp. 160-169).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/navigating-educational-evolution/336817

Making Sense of Science: A Review in Scottish Further Education

Nancy El-Faragy (2015). *Cases on Research-Based Teaching Methods in Science Education* (pp. 1-29).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/making-sense-science/116410

Exploring Facebook (FB) as an Online Tutorial Complement in Distance Education

Adhi Susilo and David Kaufman (2014). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design* (pp. 60-75).

www.irma-international.org/article/exploring-facebook-fb-as-an-online-tutorial-complement-in-distance-education/119670

Defining Salient Features of “Boutique” Instructional Designs and Implications for Design, Development, and Deployment

Shalin Hai-Jew (2020). *Form, Function, and Style in Instructional Design: Emerging Research and Opportunities* (pp. 151-166).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/defining-salient-features-of-boutique-instructional-designs-and-implications-for-design-development-and-deployment/236779