

Chapter 3.11

Using Object Oriented Technologies to Build Collaborative Applications in Healthcare and Medical Information Systems

A. Dwivedi

University of Hull, UK

R.K. Bali

BIOCORE, Coventry University, UK

Nilmini Wickramasinghe

Illinois Institute of Technology, USA

R.N.G. Naguib

BIOCORE, Coventry University, UK

INTRODUCTION

The adoption and diffusion of e-health and the application of IT in healthcare is increasing at a rapid rate. Both European and U.S. governments are making e-health a priority on their agendas. The technical infrastructure required to support initiatives such as community healthcare integrated networks (CHINs) and telemedicine efforts is often dependent upon connecting different types of computer networks, each running on different types of technologies so as to present to the user the image of a single virtual electronic health highway. It is

generally agreed that current software development technology cannot deliver this due to limitations of restricted scalability, fragmented management, and inflexibility in providing business support.

One of the potential solutions may be the use of Object Oriented (OO) technology. This article explores the feasibility of combining OO technologies with healthcare based workflow management systems (WFMS). We introduce the concept of workflow technologies and discuss the main advantages and limitations of WFMS. We detail the circumstances in which the use of WFMS could be considered and the technological factors necessary for its successful implementation.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-59904-889-5.ch168

We also present an Object Management Group (OMG) model, analysing it in the context of the support offered for WFMS. The main advantages and disadvantages of the model are discussed. A workflow management coalition (WFMC) model is then contrasted with the OMG management model in order to identify the architectural differences between them. We focus on the relationship between workflow concepts and the position of the two reference models (WFMC and OMG) and on the use of UML in the design of information systems. We conclude by summarizing our findings on the extent to which OO technology can be used to build collaborative applications in healthcare and medical information systems.

BACKGROUND

During his State of the Union Address in January 2004, President George Bush affirmed the intention of the government to emphasize the role of technology in administration and delivery of healthcare in the United States (Bush, 2004). Similar sentiments have been voiced by the European leaders (Global Medical Forum Foundation, 2005; The Oslo Declaration on Health, 2003), and the World health organization (“E-Health in Eastern Mediterranean,” 2005; A Health Telematics Policy, 1997). Both European and U.S. authorities define their initiatives primarily in terms of medical information technology centering on computerized patient record (CPR) or, in more acceptable parlance, the electronic health record (EHR). See Brailer and Terasawa (2003).

WHO’s platform statement (A Health Telematics Policy, 1997) speaks of “health telematics policy,” an all inclusive term that incorporates not only EHR but essentially all healthcare services provided at a distance and based on the use IT.

While implementation of these concepts is preeminently realistic in the context of EU and the U.S.A., the WHO plan appears, for many reasons, a combination of a list of good ideas and delineation

of significant obstacles that make the good ideas seem almost futuristic. In response to the inefficiency of the highly fragmented programs to address even the most urgent aspects of healthcare across the globe, a demand for the development of a new rule set (Banjeri, 2004; Barnett, 2004; Olutimayin, 2002; Onen, 2004) governing the future actions began to emerge—the quest for the “doctrine of global health.”

To address this void, von Lubitz and Wickramasinghe developed the doctrine of “networkcentric healthcare” (von Lubitz & Wickramasinghe, 2006a, 2006b, 2006c), which calls for the development of interconnected information grids that, together, constitute a powerful and well-structured network that facilitates information sharing among all participants within the operational continuum (Cebrowski & Garstka, 1998; Stein, 1998). Consequent to improved information sharing is the enhancement of its quality and integrity which, in turn, escalates the level of situational awareness that is the foundation for efficient, real-time collaboration among the involved entities, their self-synchronization, and operational sustainability which leads to a dramatic increase in mission effectiveness (Cebrowski & Garstka, 1998).

As described by von Lubitz and Wickramasinghe (2006a, 2006b, 2006c), networkcentric healthcare operations must be conducted within the intersecting territory of three mutually interconnected and functionally related domains (Garstka, 2000):

- The *physical domain* which encompasses the structure of the entire environment healthcare operations intend to influence directly or indirectly, for example, elimination of disease, fiscal operations, political environment, patient and personnel education, and so forth.
- The *information domain* which contains all elements required for generation, storage, manipulation, dissemination/sharing of information, and its transformation and

12 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:
www.igi-global.com/chapter/using-object-oriented-technologies-build/49905

Related Content

Disruptive-Technology Avoidance in Healthcare: A Revealed Causal Mapping (RCM) Approach
Bahae Samhanand K.D. Joshi (2019). *International Journal of Healthcare Information Systems and Informatics* (pp. 28-48).

www.irma-international.org/article/disruptive-technology-avoidance-in-healthcare/222729

Health Executives Initiatives Against Recession: Report on 16th Congress of Hellenic Health Services Management Association (HHSMA)

Georgia (Gogo) Oikonomopoulou (2015). *International Journal of Reliable and Quality E-Healthcare* (pp. 62-64).

www.irma-international.org/article/health-executives-initiatives-against-recession/126991

Data Mining Medical Information: Should Artificial Neural Networks Be Used to Analyse Trauma Audit Data?

Thomas Chesney, Kay Penny, Peter Oakley, Simon Davies, David Chesney, Nicola Maffulliand John Templeton (2006). *International Journal of Healthcare Information Systems and Informatics* (pp. 51-64).

www.irma-international.org/article/data-mining-medical-information/2183

Evolution of Information Systems and Technologies Maturity in Healthcare

Álvaro Rocha (2013). *Healthcare Information Technology Innovation and Sustainability: Frontiers and Adoption* (pp. 238-246).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/evolution-information-systems-technologies-maturity/73825

Patient Satisfaction Regarding Health Education and Practice Environment Using the PPAESS Survey

Paul Jordan Washburn (2016). *International Journal of User-Driven Healthcare* (pp. 57-64).

www.irma-international.org/article/patient-satisfaction-regarding-health-education-and-practice-environment-using-the-ppaess-survey/181317