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ABSTRACT

An open society, if it utilizes communications systems, requires open standards. The personal-computer revolution and the Internet have resulted in a vast new wave of Internet users. These new users have a material interest in the technical standards that proscribe their communications. These new users make new demands on the standardization processes, often with the rallying cry, “Open standards.” As is often the case, a rallying cry means many different things to different people. This chapter explores the different requirements suggested by the term open standards. Perhaps when everyone agrees on what requirements open standards serve, it will be possible to achieve them and maintain the open society many crave.

INTRODUCTION

Open systems, open architecture, open standards, and open source, all sound appealing, but what do they mean?

¹This chapter appears in the book, Advanced Topics in Information Technology Standards and Standardization Research, Volume 1 edited by Kai Jakobs © 2006, Idea Group Inc.
The X/Open Company, Ltd. provided an early public usage of the term “open.” X/Open Company, Ltd. was a consortium founded in 1984 to create a market for open systems. X/Open initially focused on creating an open standard operating system based on UNIX to allow the 10 founding computer manufacturers to better compete with the proprietary mainframe operating systems of IBM (Gable, 1987). Later, its direction evolved (and IBM joined) to combine existing and emerging standards to define a comprehensive, yet practical, common applications environment (CAE) (Critchley & Batty, 1993). X/Open managed the UNIX trademark from 1993 to 1996 when X/Open merged the Open Software Foundation (OSF) to form The Open Group.²

Perhaps the genesis of the confusion between open standards and open source developed with the similarly named Open Software Foundation (OSF) and the Free Software Foundation (FSF), two independent consortia based in Cambridge, Massachusetts. While the OSF addressed creating an open standard UNIX operating system, the FSF supported software that could be used, copied, studied, modified, and redistributed by creating the GNU (GNU’s Not UNIX) licensing for software.³ GNU licensed software is open source software.

The term “open architecture” also evolved from a related consortium. The Open Group is responsible for The Open Group Architecture Framework (TOGAF) which is a comprehensive foundation architecture and methods for conducting enterprise information architecture planning and implementation. TOGAF is free to organizations for their own internal, noncommercial purposes.⁴ Considering this history, it is not surprising that there is some confusion between open systems, open architecture, open standards, and open source.

Some definitions are needed: Standards represent common agreements that enable information transfer, directly in the case of IT standards and indirectly in the case of all other standards. Open source describes an open process of software development. Often, open-source systems make use of open standards for operating systems (OSs), interfaces, or software-development tools, but the purpose of open source is to support continuous software improvement (Raymond, 2000) while the purpose of open standards is to support common agreements that enable an interchange available to all. Open architecture refers to a system whose internal and/or external interfaces are defined by open standards and/or available under open source license. Open systems embody each of these concepts to support an open systems environment.

Originally, the IEEE standard POSIX 1003.0 (now ISO/IEC TR 14252) defined an open system environment as “the comprehensive set of interfaces, services, and supporting formats, plus user aspects, for interoperability or for portability of applications, data, or people, as specified by information technology standards and profiles” (Critchley & Batty, 1993).

A few other definitions are needed. The term “standards-setting organization” (SSO) refers to any and all organizations that set, or attempt to set, what the market perceives as standards. The term “recognized SSO” refers to any
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