Chapter 4 Culturally Determined Preferences: Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) Systems vs. Live Help

Osamuyimen Stewart *IBM T.J Watson Research Labs, USA*

Joyram Chakraborty State University of New York, USA

ABSTRACT

Theoretical models for the study of cross cultural variables in communication abound. However, there are very few empirical studies to validate any of these models in the Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) literature involving Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR). This is the gap this chapter seeks to fill by addressing the broad and foundational question of whether a framework for cross cultural dimensions can be used to investigate how people use (or are likely to use) ASR systems versus Live (human) help. In particular, the authors focus on one of Hofstede's (1991) five factors: individualism-collectivism. They show that using Hofstede's questionnaire does not yield expected results in the HCI domain involving ASR. Consequently, the authors propose a new set of questions derived from cultural and psycholinguistic factors surrounding how people might tackle some common problems. This new questionnaire proves to be effective in deriving the cross-cultural distinctions congruent with benchmarked predictions, while also providing empirical evidence for culturally determined preferences for the use of ASR systems. Furthermore, the authors explore one implication from this study based on the discussion of the cross-cultural correlation between the nature of a task (simple or complex) and the evolution or adoption of ASR systems for self help.

INTRODUCTION

One of the critical success criteria of any technology is its effective use and adoption by large sections of the user population across all cultures. Thus, any systematic cross cultural evaluation of Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) technology is always significant because each culture or environment poses a unique set of challenges

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-61520-883-8.ch004

that must be dealt with in order for a particular technology to gain a foothold and be successfully used. Subtle properties or changes in the design can have major implications in the culture-context in which the technology is deployed. For example, Russo and Boor (1993) discuss many cases of cross-cultural blunders in product launch, two of which will suffice: When the British manufacturer Rolls Royce introduced the Silver Mist in Germany the adverts proved disastrous as the word 'mist' in German means manure. Also, when the Italian car maker Fiat introduced Uno in Finland it proved equally embarrassing as the word 'Uno' in Finnish translates as garbage. Thus, mere language translation without proper understanding of the culture-context can prove costly for cross-cultural usability. In general, language is regarded as a major vehicle of culture (Marcus and Gould, 2000; Kaplan, 1966; Kluckholn, 1950; Triandis et al, 1988; Ting Toomey, 1999). Therefore, Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) systems whereby humans use language to interact with computers offer an excellent opportunity for studying cross cultural issues. Increasingly, human interaction with computer systems involving ASR is becoming globally pervasive. Even in remote parts of the developing world such as India and Africa, the phenomenon of humans interacting with computer systems using speech is gaining in popularity. This has been attributed to the penetration of the ubiquitous mobile phone which allows various non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and technology service providers to offer telephone-based automated services and information to the populace (e.g., check mobile phone minutes used, check rolling electricity blackout times, etc.).

However, while the promise of using our own voice to interact with computer systems is being realized, the impact of culture on such systems is something that is generally acknowledged but not systematically studied (Nielson, 1990; Chakraborty et al, 2008; Stewart & Chakraborty, 2008; Stewart et al, 2009). The study of crosscultural issues in technology becomes more important especially in light of the fact that ASR systems are fraught with many usability problems including speech recognition errors, the cognitive burden on users having to quickly respond to the system (which is exacerbated in the event of an error), constraints on what the system can understand, etc., all of which increase the difficulty of using such systems, and underscores the need to investigate how different cultures perceive their use and/or usefulness in the context of HCI. Several theoretical models for the study of cross cultural variables in communication abound (Aykin, 2005; Chakraborty et al, 2008; Chakraborty, 2009; Hofstede, 1991; Marcus & Gould, 2000; Nielson, 1990; Yeo, 1996). Unfortunately, there is very little empirical data to validate any of the models in ASR. This is the issue we address in this chapter by focusing on the broad and foundational question of whether a framework for cross-cultural research like the one proposed by Hofstede (1991) can be applied directly to ASR. More specifically, in order to investigate culturally determined user preferences for the use of ASR systems, we examine the applicability of Hofstede's proposed questionnaire based on one major cultural variable: individualism versus collectivism. We address the following related questions: (a) Does individualism affect or influence how people interact with ASR systems? (b) Does collectivism in any way or form affect or influence how people interact with ASR systems?

The rest of the chapter is organized as follows. First, we review the theoretical background for addressing cross-cultural issues and from which we derive two key hypotheses to be tested in the individualism-collectivism dimension. Next, we describe the experiment set up for collecting empirical data to validate these hypotheses. Consequently, we show that the individualism section of Hofstede's (1991) complete cultural survey instrument (IDV, International Questionnaire) is inadequate for determining cross-cultural differences in user preferences in the use of ASR 18 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/culturally-determined-preferences/45038

Related Content

Gender and Telework in Information Technology

Paula F. Saddler, Donald D. Davis, Katherine A. Selgradeand Debra A. Major (2009). *Human Computer Interaction: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 2023-2029).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/gender-telework-information-technology/22366

Learning Cultural Heritage Through Information and Communication Technologies: A Case Study

Eleonora Pantanoand Assunta Tavernise (2009). *International Journal of Information Communication Technologies and Human Development (pp. 68-87).* www.irma-international.org/article/learning-cultural-heritage-through-information/34054

Mobile Phones as Assistive and Accessible Technology for People with Disabilities

John T. Morris, James L. Muellerand Michael L. Jones (2015). *Encyclopedia of Mobile Phone Behavior (pp. 1471-1487).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/mobile-phones-as-assistive-and-accessible-technology-for-people-withdisabilities/130249

Self-Managing Teams in Small and Medium Enterprises (SME)

Mercedes Rubio-Andrés, Santiago Gutiérrez-Broncanoand Luis Varona-Castillo (2019). *Human Performance Technology: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1453-1475).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/self-managing-teams-in-small-and-medium-enterprises-sme/226627

Technoethics and the State of Science and Technology Studies (STS) in Canada

Rocci Luppicini (2013). Moral, Ethical, and Social Dilemmas in the Age of Technology: Theories and Practice (pp. 249-263).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/technoethics-state-science-technology-studies/73623