



Collaborative Command and Control Practice: Adaptation, Self-Regulation and Supporting Behavior

Jiri Trnka, Linköpings Universitet, Sweden

Björn Johansson, Saab Security, Sweden

ABSTRACT

This article describes a study, which aimed to document the work practice of a team of commanders responding to an emergency, and to identify areas and activities, that may be enhanced by the use of command and control tools. The study was based on a 'human-in-the-loop' simulation with emergency management commanders as participants. Communication analyses – episodic analysis, socio-metric status and communication roles – were used to assess coordination among the commanders. The results indicate that the commanders used informal arrangements within the established command and control structures to perform various informal functions and take on diverse communication roles across organizational and domain boundaries. Cross-domain and cross-organizational knowledge was the most important enabler of this type of coordination. General tools providing methods and aids to indicate and support informal functions and communication roles were identified as absent. [Article copies are available for purchase from InfoSci-on-Demand.com]

Keywords: Command and Control; Communication; Communication Roles; Coordination; Episodes; Simulation; Socio-metric Status

INTRODUCTION

Many organizations working with emergency management invest in information and communication technology, hoping to increase performance and control capa-

bilities in both everyday work and critical situations. Information and communication technologies that are particularly relevant in this context are tools designed to enhance resource management, real-time situation assessment and communications in 'com-

mand and control' (C²). Such tools integrate diverse technologies, like multimodal communications, geographical information systems, real-time sensors and advanced (non-conventional) databases.

An issue is if and in what way C² tools increase the performance and the control capabilities in reality. Authors working in diverse fields, such as computer supported cooperative work (Schmidt & Bannon, 1992), distributed cognition (Hutchins, 1995) and cognitive systems engineering (Hollnagel & Woods, 2005), all emphasize the importance of scrutinizing the usefulness and the actual effect of new tools when applied in practice in addition to assessment of potential effects of these tools from a theoretical understanding.

A key feature of modern C² tools is that they are often intended to support teams of commanders working collaboratively. These tools often allow novel ways of work, leading to radical organizational and technological changes (Woods & Dekker, 2000; Cummings, 2004). It is therefore equally important to take into account not only how a C² organization should behave, but also what the actual C² organization does when designing C² tools. In other words; it is necessary to empirically evaluate how an actual C² organization performs and how the C² organization's performance changes when using a new C² tool (Adelman, 1991; Hollnagel & Woods, 2005).

Problem Area

This research focuses on the issues of design and development of C² tools to support collaborative work and processes in C² teams, where team members may be distributed across different organizations. This article presents empirical results from a C² study, called ALFA-05, which is based

on a 'human-in-the-loop' simulation with professionals – emergency management commanders – as participants. The study aimed (1) to document the work practice of a team of commanders responding to an emergency, and (2) to identify areas and activities that may be enhanced by C² tools.

BACKGROUND

Team Perspective

This research concerns a group of commanders jointly responding to an emergency. We describe this group by applying the team perspective and related concepts.

A team is a group of people (team members) engaged in a set of goal oriented activities, which are carried out in a collaborative manner (Orasanu & Salas, 1993). Team members often have explicit roles and tasks; they also have access to different information and often use specialized tools (Klein & Thordsen, 1989; Artman, 2000). The team members' actions take place within the same time-framework and are interrelated and interdependent (Orasanu & Salas, 1993; Brannick & Prince, 1997).

The type of teams in focus here are engaged in 'dynamic control tasks', such as emergency response or military operations. Teams working under such circumstances are called 'C² teams'.

This also means that C² teams exist on a temporary basis, i.e., the actual structure and size of a C² team is dependent on the nature and the impact of the event the C² team is aiming to control. C² teams are also commonly distributed and the communication with some of the team members is mediated through a technical system (Urban et al.,

19 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/article/collaborative-command-control-practice/4012

Related Content

Looking Glass

Anni R. Coden, John R. Harrauld, Michael Tanenblatt, Theresa Jefferson and Pamela Murray-Tuite (2012). *International Journal of Information Systems for Crisis Response and Management* (pp. 17-28).

www.irma-international.org/article/looking-glass/66345

MedISys: Medical Information System

Jens P. Linge, Ralf Steinberger, Flavio Fuat, Stefano Bucci, Jenya Belyaeva, Monica Gemo, Delilah Al-Khudhairy, Roman Yangarber and Erik van der Goot (2010). *Advanced ICTs for Disaster Management and Threat Detection: Collaborative and Distributed Frameworks* (pp. 131-142).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/medisys-medical-information-system/44848

Keyword Selection Methodology for Identification of Major Events using Social Networks

Eitan Bahir and Ammatzia. Peled (2015). *International Journal of Information Systems for Crisis Response and Management* (pp. 42-60).

www.irma-international.org/article/keyword-selection-methodology-for-identification-of-major-events-using-social-networks/142942

A Restrictive Humanitarian Policy and the Wellbeing of the Disabled in Disasters in Kisumu County

Phitalis Were Masakhwe, Kennedy Onkware and Susan Kilonzo (2020). *International Journal of Disaster Response and Emergency Management* (pp. 48-56).

www.irma-international.org/article/a-restrictive-humanitarian-policy-and-the-wellbeing-of-the-disabled-in-disasters-in-kisumu-county/258606

Agile Response and Collaborative Agile Workflows

Lisa Wood, Monika Büscher, Bernard van Veelen and Sander van Splunter (2013). *International Journal of Information Systems for Crisis Response and Management* (pp. 1-19).

www.irma-international.org/article/agile-response-and-collaborative-agile-workflows/96919