

Chapter 1.6

Social Learning Aspects of Knowledge Management

Irena Ali

Department of Defence, Australia

Leoni Warne

Department of Defence, Australia

Celina Pascoe

Department of Defence, Australia

INTRODUCTION

There are probably as many variations of knowledge management definitions as there are practitioners and researchers in the discipline. Complete consensus in such a group would be a surprising finding. This is because the two words are loaded with pre-existing meanings that do not always sit comfortably in juxtaposition, so what it means to “manage knowledge” is difficult to ascertain, and hence comes to mean different things to different people.

We do know however, that knowledge exists in the minds of individuals and is generated and shaped through interaction with others. In an organizational setting, knowledge management must, *at the very least*, be about how knowledge is acquired, constructed, transferred, and otherwise shared with other members of the organization,

in a way that seeks to achieve the organization’s objectives. Put another way, knowledge management seeks to harness the power of individuals by supporting them with information technologies and other tools, with the broad aim of enhancing the *learning capability* of individuals, groups, and in turn, organizations (Ali, Warne, Bopping, Hart, & Pascoe, 2004). Social learning, in this context, is defined as learning occurring in or by a cultural cluster or organizational group or team and includes procedures for transmitting knowledge and practices across different work situations, settings, and time. However, the application of technology must be guided by the needs of the organization and its workers. As Davenport (2005, p.162) states, “While I don’t question the importance of technology in organizations today, it’s only one source of knowledge and learning for knowledge workers.”

BACKGROUND

In this article, we examine both theoretical and practical socio-cultural aspects of knowledge management based on years of research by the authors in a large and diverse organization. The study involved numerous functional settings of the organization and the researchers used qualitative and quantitative methodology to gather data. Elements required to build an organizational culture that supports knowledge management are discussed. Unless otherwise specified, words in double quotes in the text are direct quotes from personnel in research settings.

ENABLERS OF SOCIAL LEARNING


The research team identified seven basic categories that constitute enabling processes and strategies to facilitate social learning: common identity, problem solving, team building, access to information, development of individual expertise, communication, and induction and enculturation (see Figure 1).

- **Common identity:** A common ground/understanding to which many people/groups can subscribe, and requires a shift from seeing oneself as separate to seeing oneself as connected to and part of an organization unit. Based on our research, motivators impacting on *common identity* are: goal alignment, cul-

tural identity, gendered identity, language, morale, and workplace design (spatial and physical design).

- Doney et al. (1998) discuss the relationship between goal alignment and group cohesiveness, claiming that the extent of group cohesiveness relies on the extent to which a team's goals are clear and accepted and also on the degree to which all members adopt team behaviors.
- The term cultural identity refers to member's sense of self in relation to the specific "tribe" and "tradition" to which they belong and how this distinctiveness applies in their workplace. Cultural identity is another important motivator for social learning because, like common identity, it impacts on the extent to which staff feel that they are part of the system or alienated from it.
- Gendered identity relates specifically to one's sense of self, which is imbued with the social, cultural and historical constructions surrounding femininity and masculinity. Gender identity, because of its relationship with common identity, was also seen to impact on social learning (Agostino, 1998).
- Language is another important factor fundamental to the overall social learning processes. By reflecting the

Figure 1. Constructs enabling social learning



8 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/social-learning-aspects-knowledge-management/39712

Related Content

Empowering the Economic Impact of Virtual Communities: Managing Trust in the Sharing Economy

Tuğçe Aslanand Adem Akbyk (2020). *Managing Social Media Practices in the Digital Economy* (pp. 150-171).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/empowering-the-economic-impact-of-virtual-communities/242524

Travel Instagramability: A Way of Choosing a Destination?

Cecília Avelino Barbosa, Marina Magalhãesand Maria Rita Nunes (2022). *Research Anthology on Social Media Advertising and Building Consumer Relationships* (pp. 675-692).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/travel-instagramability/305363

Social Networking and Privacy: A Contradiction?

Steffen Ortmann, Peter Langendörferand Michael Maaser (2012). *Handbook of Research on Business Social Networking: Organizational, Managerial, and Technological Dimensions* (pp. 894-914).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/social-networking-privacy/60348

Profile, Gaming Usage and Purposes of Gaming of Internet Café Users in Manila: An Exploratory Study

Ana Clariza Natanauan, Jenmart Bonifacio, Mikael Manuel, Rex Bringulaand John Benedic Enriquez (2013). *International Journal of Virtual Communities and Social Networking* (pp. 43-58).

www.irma-international.org/article/profile-gaming-usage-and-purposes-of-gaming-of-internet-caf-users-in-manila/110967

Evolution of Trust and Formation of Preference Clusters in Distributed Networked Structure

Purnendu Karmakarand Rajarshi Roy (2011). *International Journal of Virtual Communities and Social Networking* (pp. 17-50).

www.irma-international.org/article/evolution-trust-formation-preference-clusters/61432