The Role of Crop Systems Simulation in Agriculture and Environment

K. J. Boote, University of Florida, USA J. W. Jones, University of Florida, USA G. Hoogenboom, University of Georgia, USA J. W. White, USDA-ARS, Arizona, USA

ABSTRACT

Simulation of crop systems has evolved from a neophyte science into a robust and increasingly accepted discipline. Our vision is that crop systems simulation can serve important roles in agriculture and environment. Important roles and uses of crop systems simulation are in five primary areas: 1) basic research synthesis and integration, where simulation is used to synthesize our understanding of physiology, genetics, soil characteristics, management, and weather effects, 2) strategic tools for planning and policy to evaluate strategies and consequences of genetic improvement or resource management, 3) applications for management purposes, where crop systems simulations are used to evaluate impacts of weather and management on production, water use, nutrient use, nutrient leaching, and economics, 4) real time decision support to assist in management decisions (irrigation, fertilization, sowing date, harvest, yield forecast, pest management), and 5) education in class rooms and farms, to explain how crop systems function and are managed.

Keywords: Decision Model, Dynamic Data Model, Ecological Modeling, Environmental Modeling, Input/ Output Models, Knowledge Utilization, System

INTRODUCTION

Simulation of crop systems has advanced greatly over the past 30 to 40 years. From a neophyte science with inadequate computing power, the field has evolved into a robust and increasingly accepted science supported by improved software, languages, development tools,

and computer capabilities, but the foundation continues to be scientific insights from plant physiology, soil science, agroclimatology, and related fields. Crop system simulators contain mathematical equations describing basic flow and conversion processes of carbon, water, and nitrogen balance that are integrated daily or hourly by the computer program to predict the time course of crop growth, nutrient uptake, and water use, as well as to predict final yield and

DOI: 10.4018/jaeis.2010101303

Copyright © 2010, IGI Global. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of IGI Global is prohibited.

other plant traits and outputs. The goal of this paper is to give our vision on how crop systems simulation can serve important future roles in agriculture and environment and suggests how to prioritize research to better support these roles. The paper leads off with an historical overview describing how crop system models began, then discusses five primary roles and uses of crop systems simulation in agriculture and environment, concludes with a challenge for potential linkage of crop models with molecular biology-genetics, and suggests the need for continued improvement of the science in crop system models.

AN HISTORICAL OVERVIEW

The use of crop system models and simulation had its start in crop physiology, soil physics, and soil-crop-water processes. Early models focused mainly on the crop carbon (C) balance under optimum conditions, where only solar radiation and temperature were the driving variables. Simulation of crop canopy photosynthesis from leaf-level parameters was a primary focus (DeWit, 1965; Duncan, 1971), along with predicting crop development as described through their growth stages and examining strategies for increasing reproductive yield. These patriarchs of crop modeling soon advanced to developing simple whole crop models. Concurrently, the early agricultural engineers and soil physicists were developing soil-plant-water balance models that predicted daily crop evapotranspiration, crop water uptake, and water flow processes in soils (Whisler et al., 1986). See Whisler et al. (1986) for an overview and history of crop simulation models up to the mid-1980s, including typical processes considered, data required, model testing, and applications. The crop aspects of many of the early soil-waterbalance models were often fairly simple, estimating daily growth from light-interception and radiation-use-efficiency. The soil water balance models vary from tipping bucket onedimensional water balance (Ritchie, 1985, 1998) to more complex Darcy-driven water flow with

two dimensional flow such as 2-DSOIL (Ahuja, Ma, & Timlin, 2006) and RZWQM (Ma et al., 2003). The next improvement in crop system models came with the simulation of soil nitrogen (N) balance with a simple tipping bucket plug-flow of nitrate N to allow simulation of N leaching, but success was limited until improvement in two major components had occurred: first, the crop C balance routines needed to estimate crop N demand accurately and second, accurate routines to estimate soil organic matter mineralization are needed to estimate the supply of soil mineral N beyond that coming from applied fertilizer N. There are many published soil organic matter models (e.g., see Smith et al., 1997, who compared nine different soil organic matter models). The most frequently cited organic matter models are CENTURY (Parton, Stewart, & Cole, 1988) and ROTHC (Jenkinson & Rayner, 1977), and these models often serve as reference models for many studies (Traore, Bostick, Jones, Koo, Goita, & Bado, 2008). Each of these models has shortcomings, and there are many difficulties correctly simulating soil organic matter dynamics, even after 20-30 years of progress, because soils are so variable and soil organic matter is complex.

Over the past 10 to 20 years, crop system model developers have succeeded in linking good crop C balance (N demand) with good soil water balance and good soil-crop N balance. The DSSAT V3.5 models (Hoogenboom, Wilkens, Porter, Batchelor, & Hunt, 1999; Jones et al., 1998) were among the early models to succeed in this full linkage, but APSIM (Keating et al., 2003; McCown, Hammer, Hargreaves, Holzworth, & Freebairn, 1996) and other models are also at this stage of development. The DSSAT-CSM V4.0 model (Hoogenboom et al., 2004; Jones et al., 2003) was a further improvement, in its use of a land-unit module, which is the interface of crop-soil-weather, where the soil organic matter module used can be the CENTURY model (Gijsman, Hoogenboom, Parton, & Kerridge, 2002) or the older Godwin soil organic matter model (Godwin & Jones, 1991; Godwin & Singh, 1998). Table 1 lists five current crop system models, APSIM,

12 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <u>www.igi-</u> <u>global.com/article/role-crop-systems-simulation-</u> <u>agriculture/39027</u>

Related Content

A Physiological-Monitoring Electronic Platform for Cattle Grazing Systems

Ricardo R. Santos, Fabiana V. Alves, Patrik O. Bressan, Ricardo E. Aguiar, Wellington O. Santosand Rafael A. Costa (2020). *International Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Information Systems (pp. 1-12).*

www.irma-international.org/article/a-physiological-monitoring-electronic-platform-for-cattlegrazing-systems/256987

Embedding Biodiversity Modelling in the Policy Process

Nguyen Dieu Trinhand Wilbert van Rooij (2011). *Land Use, Climate Change and Biodiversity Modeling: Perspectives and Applications (pp. 388-401).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/embedding-biodiversity-modelling-policy-process/53762

IoT-Based Framework for Smart Agriculture

Jian Yang, Amit Sharmaand Rajeev Kumar (2021). *International Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Information Systems (pp. 1-14).* www.irma-international.org/article/iot-based-framework-for-smart-agriculture/275239

GIS Application for Detection of Ecological Risk Zones

Victor P. Belogurov (2001). Environmental Information Systems in Industry and Public Administration (pp. 198-205).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/gis-application-detection-ecological-risk/18536

Potential Nitrogen Load from Crop-Livestock Systems: A Spatial Database for a Multi-Scale Assessment and Mapping

Marco Vizzari, Sara Antognelli, Mariano Pauselli, Paolo Benincasa, Michela Farneselli, Luciano Morbidini, Piero Borghi, Giacomo Bodoand Alessandra Santucci (2016). *International Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Information Systems (pp. 21-40).*

www.irma-international.org/article/potential-nitrogen-load-from-crop-livestock-systems/163317