

Chapter 2

Experiences and Perceptions of Learner Engagement in Blended Learning Environments: The Case of an Australian University

Linda De George-Walker

University of Southern Queensland, Australia

Abdul Hafeez-Baig

University of Southern Queensland, Australia

Raj Gururajan

University of Southern Queensland, Australia

P. A. Danaher

University of Southern Queensland, Australia

ABSTRACT

One of the most significant challenges in learning and teaching is to maximize successful and sustainable learner engagement. The growing literature about blended learning environments and technologies provides plenty of scope for an interrogation of the intersection between learner engagement and blended learning. This chapter takes up that interrogation by presenting the case of the University of Southern Queensland, Australia. The chapter focuses on three postgraduate courses offered by the institution: two in the Faculty of Business and the other in the Faculty of Education; and each course representing a different position along the continuum from blended to fully online. The chapter presents a detailed examination of all three courses in relation to design, development, and management, informed by a conceptualization of blended learning as it intersects with learner engagement. Several types of evidence are deployed in evaluating the courses against the assembled information about experiences and perceptions of learner engagement and blended learning communicated by students, academic staff members, and program administrators. This evidence suggests some significant implications for the ongoing theorization of blended learning, how its effectiveness can be maximized, and what its connections with learner engagement are and should be.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60566-880-2.ch002

INTRODUCTION

One of the greatest challenges in learning and teaching, regardless of delivery mode, is to maximize successful and sustainable learner engagement, whether with fellow students, teaching staff members, content, context, or the educational technologies being used to provide the instruction (Guthrie, 2001; Linnenbrink & Pintrich, 2003; McFadden & Munns, 2002; Zyngier, 2005). The complexity of this challenge derives partly from a lack of consensus in conceptualizing learner engagement (Butler-Kisber & Portelli, 2003; Vibert & Shields, 2003; Zyngier, 2008), and partly from the difficulty of establishing a direct, one-to-one correspondence between different types of inputs and the desired output of learner participation and achievement. This is certainly the case in exploring learner engagement with various educational technologies, including educational software (Bangert-Drowns & Pyke, 2001, 2002) and simulations (Davies, 2002).

The burgeoning scholarship about blended learning environments and technologies provides plenty of scope for an interrogation of the intersection between learner engagement and blended learning. Elements of that literature include efforts to define blended learning (Driscoll, 2002; Osguthorpe & Graham, 2003), to explore examples in corporate, multinational, workplace, and higher education contexts (Bonk & Graham, 2006), to elicit strategies for making it effective (Rossett, Douglass, & Frazee, 2003; Singh, 2003), to maximize its facilitation of a sense of community (Roval & Jordan, 2004), and to elaborate its transformative potential (Garrison & Kanuka, 2004). Provisionally, for the purpose of orienting the discussion in this chapter, we take Graham's (2006) account of the historical emergence of blended learning as the convergence between face-to-face and computer mediated learning environments as the starting point – although, as we shall see, by no means the finishing line – in defining blended learning.

It is therefore timely to bring together these two streams of literature, as the chapter seeks to do. For example, it is important to integrate claims about the educational benefits of blended learning with evidence gleaned from contemporary case studies about how learners and other stakeholders view the enactment of blended learning in specific educational programs. Likewise it is necessary to highlight strategies garnered from that evidence as being demonstrably effective in moving from theory to practice in maximizing learner engagement in blended learning environments.

The chapter takes up that challenge by presenting the case of the University of Southern Queensland (USQ), Australia. The chapter both links with and builds on current publications about the case site (Danaher, Gururajan, & Hafeez-Baig, 2009; Hafeez-Baig & Danaher, 2007a, 2007b) by focusing on three courses offered by the institution and not previously canvassed in those publications. As Table 1 indicates, the courses exhibit considerable diversity: while all three are postgraduate, two are located in the Faculty of Business and the other in the Faculty of Education. Furthermore, the courses occupy different positions along the continuum from face-to-face to blended to online: one course blends face-to-face, distance and online delivery; one blends distance and online delivery; and one is mainly online, with some face-to-face provision (the latter as potentially contrasting and/or overlapping with the other two courses).

What these three courses have in common is a commitment to enhancing learner engagement in varied combinations of learning environments. Indeed, the variety of the selected courses – in relation to paradigm, subject matter, and assessment – is helpful in illustrating the multiple ways in which blended learning can be implemented to facilitate learner participation and achievement.

The chapter's four objectives are as follows:

- (1) to outline a skeptical but hopeful conceptualization of blended learning gleaned from

19 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/experiences-perceptions-learner-engagement-blended/38007

Related Content

Incidental Second Language Vocabulary Learning from Reading Novels: A Comparison of Three Mobile Modes

Tony Fisher, Mike Sharples, Richard Pemberton, Hiroaki Ogata, Noriko Uosaki, Phil Edmonds, Anthony Hulland Patrick Tschorn (2012). *International Journal of Mobile and Blended Learning* (pp. 47-61).

www.irma-international.org/article/incidental-second-language-vocabulary-learning/74727

The Effect of Self-Regulated Learning in Online Professional Training

Qiwei Men, Belinda Gimbertand Dean Cristol (2023). *International Journal of Mobile and Blended Learning* (pp. 1-17).

www.irma-international.org/article/the-effect-of-self-regulated-learning-in-online-professional-training/318225

Urban Games: How to Increase the Motivation, Interaction and Perceived Learning of Students in the Schools

Liliana Vieiraand Clara Coutinho (2016). *International Journal of Mobile and Blended Learning* (pp. 55-71).

www.irma-international.org/article/urban-games/152275

Blended Learning in a Creative Writing Program: Lessons Learned from a Two-Year Pilot Study

Chris Morganand Janie Conway-Herron (2010). *Cases on Online and Blended Learning Technologies in Higher Education: Concepts and Practices* (pp. 63-75).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/blended-learning-creative-writing-program/38009

Adaptation Technologies in Mobile Learning

Paola Salomoniand Silvia Mirri (2011). *Open Source Mobile Learning: Mobile Linux Applications* (pp. 18-34).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/adaptation-technologies-mobile-learning/53965