

## Chapter 6.2

# Building the IT Workforce of the Future:

### The Demand for More Complex, Abstract, and Strategic Knowledge

**Deborah J. Armstrong**

*Florida State University, USA*

**H. James Nelson**

*Southern Illinois University Carbondale, USA*

**Kay M. Nelson**

*Southern Illinois University Carbondale, USA*

**V.K. Narayanan**

*Drexel University, USA*

#### **ABSTRACT**

The software development process has undergone a considerable amount of change from the early days of spaghetti code to the present state of the art of development using strategic patterns. This has caused not only changes in the toolkits that developers use, but also a change in their mindset—the way that they approach and think about software development. This study uses revealed causal mapping techniques to examine

the change in mindset that occurs across the procedural to OO development transition, and lays the foundation for future studies of the OO/pattern cognitive transition. The results indicate that there is not only increasing complexity in the cognitive maps of the OO developers, but also that there is a need for the developer to shift from routine, assembly line coding to more abstract thought processes.

## INTRODUCTION

No one doubts that the software development process has undergone a profound transformation. Twenty years ago, the state of the art was the waterfall model of the systems development life cycle. The project planning and feasibility study steps were followed by systems analysis and requirements gathering, system design, coding, integration and testing, and finally installation and maintenance. The waterfall model fit very nicely within the rigid hierarchical organizational structures of the time. Functional silos and economies of scale drove software development. Systems analysts created data flow diagrams and ER diagrams and passed these to the designers. Designers would create functional decomposition diagrams and relational data models and pass these to the coders. Finally, the coders rendered all these into COBOL, FORTRAN, or a number of other procedural programming languages and database management systems. The constant translation from model to model enforced a sequence on the development process (Coad & Yourdon, 1991), with the side effect of keeping each different kind of developer in his or her place. Expert coders could not easily transition to the more abstract world of the designer and the analyst (Crowder, 1976).

The software development revolution of the 1990s began with the need for easier modeling, increased code reuse, higher quality, and easier to maintain software (Johnson, Hardgrave, & Doke, 1999). The structured programming paradigm focused on simplifying and controlling the development process (Martin & McClure, 1988) as well as increasing the efficiency and effectiveness of the development team. Where the design, code, and implementation steps of the “spaghetti code era” was replaced with a structured software engineering approach, the object oriented (OO) programming methods focus more on reuse of tested software, flexibility, and ease of maintenance with a more seamless integration of the

analysis, design, and implementation development steps. This results in a development process that is incremental, concurrent, iterative, and evolutionary (Xing & Stroulia, 2005). The changes in the development process from spaghetti to structured to OO and beyond are shown in Figure 1.

The blurring of the boundaries and the smooth iteration between analysis and design in OO combined with the iterative, if not concurrent, performance of these activities has led to a cognitive blurring as well. While coders had to move to the more abstract world of analysis and design, designers had to become more analytical. Analysts, in turn, needed to move from relatively limited requirements analysis to the much more extensive domain analysis and the development of organizational information architectures (Evernden, 1996). Further, the breakdown of the barriers between the analyst and the designer and the use of models that span the lifecycle (for example, UML, Booch, Rumbaugh, & Jacobson, 1999) has created the need for more extensive project management skills. The shift from “doing analysis” then “doing design” structured by the different models in use has been replaced by an iterative and seamless development life cycle. However, just as coders have difficulty transitioning to the more abstract world of analysis, expert analysts have difficulty transitioning to the strategic world of the project planner (Nelson & Nelson, 2003).

This trend is continuing with the beginning of another software development revolution. One of the causes of recent technology project failures is the disconnect between organizational strategy and technology (Luftman & Brier, 1999; Luftman, 1996). An example of this disconnect can be found in the implementation of enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems. ERPs were sold as strategic enterprise solutions, even though at their core is a set of integrated, somewhat standardized business processes (Lee, Siau, & Hong, 2003). This approach to product development had virtually no strategic intent, and therefore ERPs, while sometimes solving process level problems,

15 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/building-workforce-future/36798](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/building-workforce-future/36798)

## Related Content

---

### The Contribution of ICTs to Sustainable Urbanization and Health in Urban Areas in Cameroon

Adolphe Ayissi Eteme and Justin Moskolai Ngossaha (2018). *International Journal of Strategic Information Technology and Applications* (pp. 59-75).

[www.irma-international.org/article/the-contribution-of-icts-to-sustainable-urbanization-and-health-in-urban-areas-in-cameroon/227013](http://www.irma-international.org/article/the-contribution-of-icts-to-sustainable-urbanization-and-health-in-urban-areas-in-cameroon/227013)

### Critical Risk Path Method: A Risk and Contingency-Driven Model for Construction Procurement in Complex and Dynamic Projects

Chi Iromuanya, Kathleen M. Hargiss and Caroline Howard (2013). *International Journal of Strategic Information Technology and Applications* (pp. 61-73).

[www.irma-international.org/article/critical-risk-path-method/89361](http://www.irma-international.org/article/critical-risk-path-method/89361)

### When I Seem More Important than T in IT: The Case of Police Intelligence

Petter Gottschalk (2010). *International Journal of Strategic Information Technology and Applications* (pp. 8-22).

[www.irma-international.org/article/when-seem-more-important-than/39110](http://www.irma-international.org/article/when-seem-more-important-than/39110)

### Information Technology Adoption and the Role of Organizational Readiness: The Case of an Indian Bank

Monideepa Tarafdar and Sanjiv D. Vaidya (2010). *Strategic Information Systems: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1379-1397).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/information-technology-adoption-role-organizational/36762](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/information-technology-adoption-role-organizational/36762)

### Market Factors, Training Programs, Strategic Management and Performance: An Empirical Study of the Iranian Insurance Companies

Mahmoud Zamani, Changiz Valmohammadi and Mona Moshiri (2014). *International Journal of Strategic Information Technology and Applications* (pp. 1-8).

[www.irma-international.org/article/market-factors-training-programs-strategic-management-and-performance/125023](http://www.irma-international.org/article/market-factors-training-programs-strategic-management-and-performance/125023)