

Chapter 6

Conflict Modes

ABSTRACT

There are basically five different ways or modes of handling conflict. Two underlying dimensions are at the basis of the model: assertiveness and cooperativeness. The first dimension, assertiveness, is the extent to which a person tries to satisfy his or her needs or concerns irrespective of those of others. The second dimension, cooperativeness, is the extent to which a person tries to satisfy another person's needs or concerns irrespective of his or hers. Combining the two dimensions in all ways results in five basic modes: Competing (high in assertiveness and low in cooperativeness), accommodating (low in assertiveness and high in cooperativeness), compromising (moderate in both assertiveness and cooperativeness), avoiding (low on both dimensions), and collaborating (high on both dimensions).

“10% of conflict is due to difference in opinion and 90% is due to delivery and tone of voice.” – Unknown

Learning Objectives

- Identify the five different modes of handling conflict
- Summarize Assertiveness
- Describe Cooperativeness
- List key attributes of a conflict situation

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-6684-6563-9.ch006


This chapter published as an Open Access Chapter distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and production in any medium, provided the author of the original work and original publication source are properly credited.

INTRODUCTION

The Jungian Personality Framework does not of course exhaust all of the relevant attributes of a person’s personality. Indeed, no single framework ever could. One of the most important aspects is how a person handles and responds to conflict. In this regard, the Thomas-Kilmann Conflict Model (Kilman, 2021) is particularly relevant. For this reason, the student is especially encouraged to go online and take the Thomas-Kilmann Conflict Assessment Instrument. Current academic discourse notes that the model is valuable for self-awareness and team dynamics but raises questions about its oversimplification of complex human interactions. Critics argue that cultural, gender, and power dynamics are not fully captured. Overall, the TKI remains a foundational tool, albeit with limitations recognized by the academic community.

Essentially, there are basically five different ways or modes of handling conflict. Two underlying dimensions are at the basis of the Model: Assertiveness and Cooperativeness. The first dimension, Assertiveness, is the extent to which a person tries to satisfy *his or her* needs or concerns irrespective of those of others. The second dimension, Cooperativeness, is the extent to which a person tries to satisfy *another* person’s needs or concerns irrespective of his or hers.

Figure 1. The two dimensions of the TKI conflict model: Assertiveness and cooperativeness (Kilman, 2021)



18 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/conflict-modes/333661

Related Content

Perceptions and Framing of Risk, Uncertainty, Loss, and Failure in Entrepreneurship

Kimberly M. Green (2014). *International Journal of Risk and Contingency Management* (pp. 1-17).

www.irma-international.org/article/perceptions-and-framing-of-risk-uncertainty-loss-and-failure-in-entrepreneurship/115815

From the Risk Society to Thana Capitalism: Dialogues About Genocide

Maximiliano Emanuel Korstanje (2021). *International Journal of Risk and Contingency Management* (pp. 84-94).

www.irma-international.org/article/from-the-risk-society-to-thana-capitalism/284444

Plan for Prevention of Risks of Corruption and Related Infractions: The Application of FMEA Methodology

Marisa Pinho and Carlos Santos (2016). *Global Perspectives on Risk Management and Accounting in the Public Sector* (pp. 390-412).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/plan-for-prevention-of-risks-of-corruption-and-related-infractions/144035

How Confirmation Bias Influences Risk and Contingency Management: Lessons From Global Leaders' Responses to the 2020 Pandemic

Ji Li, Xiaolong Tao, Ting Gong and Xin Li (2022). *International Journal of Risk and Contingency Management* (pp. 1-12).

www.irma-international.org/article/how-confirmation-bias-influences-risk-and-contingency-management/290040

Consistent Application of Risk Management for Selection of Engineering Design Options in Mega-Projects

Yuri Raydugin (2012). *International Journal of Risk and Contingency Management* (pp. 44-55).

www.irma-international.org/article/consistent-application-risk-management-selection/74752