Measuring the Business Value of IT: A Resource-Based View of Leading Indicators

Penelope Sue Greenberg, Department of Penelope Sue Greenberg, Department of MIS/DS, School of Business Administration, Widener University, Chester, PA 19013, Tel: (610) 499-4475, Fax: (610) 499-4614, psg0001@mail.widener.edu

Ralph H. Greenberg, Department of Accounting, Fox School of Business & Management, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122, Tel: (215) 204-6830, Fax: (215) 204-5587, greenber@temple.edu

Kevin E. Dow, Department of Accounting, College of Business Administration, Kent State University, Kent, Ohio 44242, Tel: (330) 672-1109, Fax: (330) 672-2548, kdow@kent.edu

Jeffrey Wong, Department of Accounting, College of Business, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon 97331, Tel: (541) 737-4890, Fax: (541) 737-6044, jeff.wong@bus.oregonstate.edu

ABSTRACT

The business value of IT is a topic of importance to both IT and business sides of the organization. However, despite the growing body of research on assessing the value of IT, there are still issues and debates concerning the appropriate approach. Most of the research has focused on ex post measurements, which are dependent on factors that influence the measurements in innumerable identified and unidentified ways. This paper contributes to this literature by proposing a set of metrics that focus on *leading* indicators of value as opposed to ex post measurements. To develop these leading indicators, we use the resource-based theory of the firm and resource dependency theory to adapt and extend two previously identified sets of metrics. The first is the balanced scorecard, which is widely used by business managers to align internal performance measures with strategic goals. The second is DeLone and McLean's measures of IS success. The extension focuses on two additional areas. The first is measuring system and business flexibility, which is critical in today's fast-changing environment. The second addresses inter-organization and network IT measurement issues.

OVERVIEW

The business value of IT is a topic of importance to both IT and business sides of the organization, as evidenced by the number of professional seminars, workshops and conferences offered by professional organizations and consulting companies, the recent research interest by economics, organizational behavior and IT scholars, and the number of articles published in both academic and professional journals. While various conceptualizations of IT and the appropriate related metrics exist, recent literature reviews (Melville, Kraemer and Gurbaxani 2004; Kohli and Devaraj 2003) indicate that IT value research has focused on efficiency (productivity) and effectiveness (profitability) measures. The measurements are usually taken ex post to test hypothesized models of the IT value. Here, we propose that for both investment and evaluation purposes, leading indicators would be useful additions to the previously identified set of metrics.

In addition, much of the IT value research has been at the firm level. On one hand, this approach uses aggregate measures which limit the ability to differentiate among types of IT investments and outcomes (Kumar 2004). On the other hand, this approach ignores the value chain relationships pervasive in the networked economy (Straub, Rai and

Klein 2004). The value of IT can be seriously underestimated if complementarities between IT infrastructure and e-commerce capabilities are ignored (Zhu 2004).

The objective of this research is to develop a more comprehensive set of measures that address the problems identified above. To accomplish this, we build upon two widely-accepted sets of measurement variables, one from systems, DeLone and McLean's (1992) six-factor taxonomy of IS success (system quality, information quality, IS use, user satisfaction, individual impact and organization impact) and one from business, Kaplan and Norton's (2001, 2004) balanced scorecard (efficiency of the internal business process, quality to the customer, and financial, and continuous improvement measures). But what is missing from these is the measurement and evaluation of flexibility. Flexibility is necessary because of the hyper-competitive nature of e-commerce and the short life cycles of products and services, which require firms to adapt their products and services rapidly.

Also missing from these sets of variables is any measurement of value-chain or network level performance metrics. Straub, Rai and Klein (2004) indicate that "There is a pressing need to move forward with measuring performance at a networked organizational level, …" (p. 85).

To accomplish the development of a more comprehensive set of measures, we will rely on the resource-based theory of the firm (Penrose 1959, Wernerfelt 1984) and resource-dependency (Scott 1987). The resource-based view of the firm emphasizes heterogeneous resource endowments as the basis for competitive advantage to the firm. The conditions necessary for a sustainable competitive advantage are value, rareness, inimitability, and non-substitutability (Barney 1991).

Resource-dependency theory argues that organizations must engage in exchanges with their environment to obtain resources. This need creates dependencies that need to be addressed in developing network and value chain performance measures.

REFERENCES

Ambrosio, Johanna. 2001. What to count? Computerworld: ROI Framingham: Jul/Aug Vol. 1, Iss. 3, p. 16-22.

Barney, J. B. 1991. Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantage. *Journal of Management* Vol. 17, Issue 1 pp. 99-120.

Barringer, Bruce R., and Jeffrey S. Harrison. 2000. Walking a Tightrope" Creating Value through Interorganizational Relationships. *Journal of Management*. Vol. 26 Issue 3, pp. 367-403.

DeLone, W. H., and E. R. McLean. 1992. Information Systems Success: The Quest for the Dependent Variable. *Information Systems Research* Vol 3. Issue 1, pp. 60-95.

Kaplan, Robert S., and David P. Norton. 2004. *Strategy Maps: Converting Intangible Assets into Tangible Outcomes.* Harvard Business Publishing Corporation. Boston, MA.

Kaplan, Robert S., and David P. Norton. 2001. *The Strategy-focused Organization: How Balanced Scorecard Companies Thrive in the New Business Environment*. Harvard Business Publishing Corporation. Boston, MA.

Kohli, Rajiv, and Sarv Devaraj. 2003. Measuring Information Technology Payoff: A Meta-Analysis of Structural Variables In Firm-Level Empirical Research. *Information Systems Research* Linthicum: June Vol. 14, Iss. 2, p. 127.

Kumar, Ram L. 2004. A Framework for Assessing the Business Value of Information Technology Infrastructures *Journal of Management Information Systems* Armonk:Fall 2004. Vol. 21, Iss. 2, p. 11-32

Melville, Nigel, Kenneth Kraemer and Vijay Gurbaxani. 2004. Review: Information Technology And Organizational Performance: An Integrative Model Of It Business Value. *MIS Quarterly* Minneapolis:June Vol. 28, Iss. 2, p. 283-322.

Penrose, E. 1959. *The Theory of the Growth of the Firm.* London: Basil Blackwell.

Rai, Arun, Sandra S. Lang, and Robert B. Welker. 2002. Assessing the Validity of IS Success Models: An Empirical Test and Theoretical Analysis. *Information System Research* Vol. 13, Issue 1, pp. 50-69.

Scott, E., 1987. *Organizations.* Englewood cliffs, NJ: Simon and Schuster.

Straub, Detmar, Arun Rai, and Richard Klein. 2004. Measuring Firm Performance at the Network Level: A Nomology of the Business Impact of Digital Supply Networks *Journal of Management Information Systems* Armonk: Summer Vol. 21, Iss. 1, p. 83-114.

Wade, and Hulland, 2004. *Management Information Systems Quarterly* Vol.

Wernerfelt, B. 1984. A Resource-Based View of the Firm. *Strategic Management Journal* Vol. 5 Issue 2, pp. 171-180.

Zhu, Kevin, and Kenneth L Kraemer. 2005. Post-Adoption Variations in Usage and Value of E-Business by Organizations: Cross-Country Evidence from the Retail Industry. *Information Systems Research* Linthicum: March Vol. 16, Iss. 1, p. 61-84.

Zhu, Kevin. 2004. The Complementarity of Information Technology Infrastructure and E-Commerce Capability: A Resource-Based Assessment of Their Business Value. *Journal of Management Information Systems* Armonk:Summer 2004. Vol. 21, Iss. 1, p. 167-202.

Related Content

The Evolution of the ISO/IEC 29110 Set of Standards and Guides
Rory V. O'Connorand Claude Y. Laporte (2017). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach (pp. 1-21).*
www.irma-international.org/article/the-evolution-of-the-isoiec-29110-set-of-standards-and-guides/169765

Exploring the Growth of Wireless Communications Systems and Challenges Facing 4G Networks
Amber A. Smith-Ditizioand Alan D. Smith (2018). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Fourth Edition (pp. 6094-6105).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/exploring-the-growth-of-wireless-communications-systems-and-challenges-facing-4g-networks/184308

Image Identification and Error Correction Method for Test Report Based on Deep Reinforcement Learning and IoT Platform in Smart Laboratory
Xiaojun Li, PeiDong He, WenQi Shen, KeLi Liu, ShuYu Dengand LI Xiao (2024). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach (pp. 1-18).*
www.irma-international.org/article/image-identification-and-error-correction-method-for-test-report-based-on-deep-reinforcement-learning-and-iot-platform-in-smart-laboratory/337797

Exploration on the Operation Status and Optimization Strategy of Networked Teaching of Physical Education Curriculum Based on AI Algorithm
Yujia Wang (2023). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach (pp. 1-15).*
www.irma-international.org/article/exploration-on-the-operation-status-and-optimization-strategy-of-networked-teaching-of-physical-education-curriculum-based-on-ai-algorithm/316892

A Study of Knowledge Discovery and Pattern Recognition Based on Large-Scale Sentiment Data in Online Education for College Students
Guoliang Li, Bing Wangand Maoyin You (2023). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach (pp. 1-13).*
www.irma-international.org/article/a-study-of-knowledge-discovery-and-pattern-recognition-based-on-large-scale-sentiment-data-in-online-education-for-college-students/323194