# Chapter 9 Development of Facial Recognition in Clinical Decision Support

## Hardianto Wibowo

b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8209-6434 Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang, Indonesia

Mario Soflano https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0758-1509 Glasgow Caledonian University, UK

Wildan Suharso https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8886-7068 Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang, Indonesia

# ABSTRACT

This chapter discusses the current state of the art of facial recognition technology for clinical decision support through a systematic literature review that identifies the medical areas where it is used, the source of facial datasets used, the machine learning approach and algorithms used, and how it has been the evaluated. Findings show that the technology has been used in diagnosing genetic disorders, mental illness, and depression, and to train the algorithms, the studies identified used either publicly available datasets, published datasets from the literature, or they collected their own data. However, the majority of papers did not explicitly explain how these datasets were obtained. The finding also shows CNN is currently the most used ML algorithm for facial recognition while appearance-based is the most common approach. The evaluations in the shortlisted papers generally focus on the accuracy of the facial recognition capabilities, and the empirical evidence shows the advantage of the technology in supporting clinicians to diagnose symptoms through facial expressions.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-6684-5092-5.ch009




Figure 1. Anatomy Facial Muscles (Sobotta, 1909)

## INTRODUCTION

Facial recognition technology maps a person's facial data for verification or authentication purposes (Martinez-Martin, 2019). Gender, geographic region, age or character are the most common facial features that can be identified through the technology (Kristian et al., 2017; Wibowo et al., 2018; Kasim et al., 2017). The data can be used to identify a person for security purposes or animation that requires avatars to mimic human faces and facial expressions (Bibliowicz, 2005; Abrantes & Pereira, 1999; Delp & Loan, 2000). In the health domain, identification and analysis of changes in facial data in a series of facial expressions have been identified as one of the diagnostic processes to determine symptoms of diseases that would require measurement for its severity and immediate treatment. In the face anatomy, changes in facial expression are affected by the 7<sup>th</sup> cranial nerve, which innervate the facial muscles (Prendergast, 2013). Figure 1 shows the various parts of facial muscles. These changes can be identified by facial recognition technology by looking at activation areas for example, eyes, brow and cheeks are relaxed (a neutral expression), brows lowered and drawn together, upper eyelids are raised. The Ekman and Friesen (1976) proposed the Facial Action Coding System (FACS) method that breaks down facial expressions into individual components of muscle movement as Action Units that allows facial analysis to be performed per unit for more accuracy (Wibowo et al., 2019; Abrantes & Pereira, 1999).

Some diseases can affect the contraction of the facial muscles, and can be used to detect, for example, facial palsy (Cawthorne & Haynes, 1956; Volk et al., 2014), Bell's palsy (Gilden, 2004; Holland & Weiner, 2004; Baugh et al., 2013), neurological disorders such as Parkinson Plus (Piercy, 2005; Poewe & Wenning, 2007; Jin et al., 2020, Priebe et al., 2015), pain in infants (Kristian et al., 2017), and stroke and genetic diseases such as DiGeorge syndrome (Kruszka et al., 2017). According to the systematic analysis for Global Burden of Disease Study between 1990 to 2016, the four largest contributors of neurological disability-adjusted life-years were stroke, migraine, Alzheimer's and other dementias and

21 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/development-of-facial-recognition-in-clinicaldecision-support/313786

# **Related Content**

#### Systemic Approach to Decision Making

(2017). *Multi-Criteria Decision Making for the Management of Complex Systems (pp. 1-16).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/systemic-approach-to-decision-making/180005

## A Data Mining Model for Risk Assessment and Customer Segmentation in the Insurance Industry

Payam Hanafizadehand Neda Rastkhiz Paydar (2013). International Journal of Strategic Decision Sciences (pp. 52-78).

www.irma-international.org/article/data-mining-model-risk-assessment/77336

#### Performance Assessment of R&D-Intensive Manufacturing Companies on Dynamic Capabilities

Mohammadyasser Darvizeh, Jian-Bo Yangand Stephen Eldridge (2020). International Journal of Strategic Decision Sciences (pp. 1-23).

www.irma-international.org/article/performance-assessment-of-rd-intensive-manufacturing-companies-on-dynamiccapabilities/269686

## Possible Approaches for Character Recognition With Existing Methodologies and State-of-the-Art Techniques

Rashmi Welekarand Nileshsingh V. Thakur (2019). *Technological Innovations in Knowledge Management* and Decision Support (pp. 232-246).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/possible-approaches-for-character-recognition-with-existing-methodologies-andstate-of-the-art-techniques/208752

#### The Backbone of Decision Support Systems: The Sensor to Decision Chain

Philipp Hertweck, Jürgen Moßgraber, Efstratios Kontopoulos, Panagiotis Mitzias, Tobias Hellmund, Anastasios Karakostas, Désirée Hilbring, Hylke van der Schaaf, Stefanos Vrochidis, Jan-Wilhem Blumeand Ioannis Kompatsiaris (2021). *Research Anthology on Decision Support Systems and Decision Management in Healthcare, Business, and Engineering (pp. 25-47).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-backbone-of-decision-support-systems/282578