

Chapter III

Jurisdiction in B2C E-Commerce Dispute within European Union

Ong Chin Eang, Monash University, Malaysia

Abstract

E-commerce jurisdiction has always been an issue because e-commerce exists in a borderless environment, and this e-environment diminishes the importance of physical location and locality. This imposes a great concern over which country's jurisdiction to engage when disputes occur between business and consumer in the e-environment. This is crucial when the consumer is seeking "redress" as there is always the question as to where a court action should be brought in. The current jurisdictions by the European Commission (EC) within the European Union (EU), The E-Commerce Directive—Country of Origin, and Rome II are still in the drafting process. These legislations are not the total solution. This paper reviews the issue of current jurisdiction, whether there is a need to call for a single jurisdiction, and what complications arise when seeking redress in this borderless e-environment. This paper also raises important issues that relate to the gaps and loopholes that exist in Country of Origin and Rome II.

Jurisdiction

Stoney (2001) claims that jurisdiction is a word that has had significant meaning and influence in the off-line world for hundreds of years. The problems posed by jurisdiction are not problems created by the Internet and e-commerce. The global and seamless nature of the Internet has simply added to or compounded the problem as e-commerce (whether it is business-to-business [B2B] or business-to-consumer [B2C]) expands and increasingly gains the confidence of the commercial and consumer sectors.

All the same, it is the fact that the Internet has momentarily augmented the potential for jurisdiction around the global e-commerce market, and more importantly it has extended the potential scope of liability through e-commerce to make it practically boundless and immeasurable. There are several phenomena absent from e-commerce relations when compared with face-to-face communication. The presumption of desired relational development is not present, the degree of intimacy possible in an e-commerce relationship is constrained, and the expansion of whatever e-relationship exists in other domains is limited (Johnson, 2001; Podlas, 2000). Consequently, e-commerce radically undermines the relationship between legally significant (on-line) phenomena and physical location. This rise of the cross border e-commerce activity is destroying:

- the link between geographical location;
- the power of local governments to assert control over online behavior;
- the effects of online behavior on individuals or things;
- the legitimacy of a local sovereign's efforts to regulate global phenomena; and
- the ability of physical location to give notice of which sets of rules apply.

Cross border e-commerce thus radically subverts the system of rule making based on borders between physical spaces (Johnson & Post, 1996).

In addition to breaking down barriers between physical jurisdictions, it has no territorially based boundaries. Substantive and procedural laws vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction. The question of what laws govern transactions over cross-border e-commerce environments and where consumers and businesses are subject to jurisdiction can be important. Notions of jurisdiction are based on a physical reality that does not exist in the e-commerce environment. However, actions in the virtual world of e-commerce have legal ramifications in the tangible world. Disputes occurring from e-commerce transactions introduce the most difficult application of traditional law, when businesses are being contacted via electronic means. Contract

16 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/jurisdiction-b2c-commerce-dispute-within/30690

Related Content

Personalized Hybrid Book Recommender

Hossein Arabiand Vimala Balakrishnan (2019). *International Journal of Information Systems in the Service Sector* (pp. 70-97).

www.irma-international.org/article/personalized-hybrid-book-recommender/230915

Service Selection Based on Customer Preferences of Non-Functional Attributes

Abhishek Srivastavaand Paul G. Sorenson (2012). *Handbook of Research on Service-Oriented Systems and Non-Functional Properties: Future Directions* (pp. 280-296).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/service-selection-based-customer-preferences/60890

An Investigation of Product Service System Models

Linda Ryan (2012). *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology* (pp. 35-49).

www.irma-international.org/article/investigation-product-service-system-models/68969

Enhancing Complaint and Problem Management: Design and Evaluation of an M-Service Using Pictures and Positioning

Gustaf Juell-Skielse (2010). *International Journal of E-Services and Mobile Applications* (pp. 1-16).

www.irma-international.org/article/enhancing-complaint-problem-management/42988

Virtualization of University Education: The Impact of ICT-Mediated Learning Environment on Students' Performance

Samuel Adu Gyamfiand Patrick Ohemeng Gyaase (2017). *International Journal of E-Services and Mobile Applications* (pp. 24-40).

www.irma-international.org/article/virtualization-of-university-education/188749