

701 E. Chocolate Avenue, Suite 200, Hershey PA 17033-1240, USA Tel: 717/533-8845; Fax 717/533-8661; URL-http://www.idea-group.com

This paper appears in the publication, Supply Chain Management: Issues in the New Era of Collaboration and Competition edited by William Yu Chung Wang, Michael S. H. Heng, Patrick Y. K. Chau © 2007, Idea Group Inc.

Chapter IV

Virtual Integration: Antecedents and Role in Governing Supply Chain Integration

Jeffrey C. F. Tai, National Central University, Taiwan

Eric T. G. Wang, National Central University, Taiwan

Kai Wang, Ming Chuan University, Taiwan

Abstract

The integration and coordination of strategic suppliers becomes increasingly important as the manufacturer relies on external transactions to build up collaborative advantages. By conceptualizing virtual integration as an efficient and effective vertical coordination mechanism, the study discussed in this chapter developed a model to examine the role virtual integration plays in improving manufacturing performance and the antecedent factors that can lead supply chain members to rely on virtual integration to govern supply chain integration. Based on the resource-based view and transaction costs theory, the suppliers' specific investments and environmental uncertainty are identified as critical antecedents to virtual integration. The results show that the suppliers' specific investments can significantly

improve the manufacturers' achievement of manufacturing goals, thereby motivating the manufacturer to rely on virtual integration to better coordinate with the suppliers who made significant idiosyncratic investments for enhancing transaction value while controlling the potential hazards.

Introduction

There has been a relative shift from capacity to specialized subcontracting by large original equipment manufacturing (OEM) makers when they were facing increasingly fragmented and uncertain demand (Whitford & Zeitlin, 2004). With eroded operating margins and shortened product life cycles, modern manufacturers have increasingly leveraged outsourcing practices for the benefit of low-cost manufacturing, global logistics services, and accelerated product development. Moreover, other than fabrication services, suppliers have been more and more involved in the manufacturers' value chain activities to provide add-on values to buyers and to construct higher entry barriers against competing suppliers (Carter & Narasimhan, 1990). Although strategic sourcing has become an important instrument for realizing the ideal of "externalization of the core," careful management of such supply chain integration efforts are required to reap the expected profit (Narasimhan & Das, 1999; Nesheim, 2001).

The management of supply chain integration has been increasingly addressed in academic research as the practice of supply chain management continues to proliferate in industrial networks. Two themes predominantly examined by prior research were practices of supply chain integration and the impact of supply chain integration on performance improvement. For the former case, prior studies examined the scope, sophistication and focus of supply chain integration, which aimed at illuminating the essence of supply chain integration (Frohlich & Westbrook, 2001; Morash & Clinton, 1998; Narasimhan & Jayaram, 1998; Simatupang, Wright, & Sridharan, 2002). For the latter, supply chain integration was shown to be positively associated with manufacturing and operational performance improvements (Frohlich & Westbrook, 2001; Narasimhan & Jayaram, 1998). Although the fundamental importance of supply chain integration is widely accepted given the aforementioned studies, important questions remain open about how to manage supply chain integration. In particular, our knowledge is still weak concerning the kinds of mechanisms suppliers and customers use to govern supply chain integration under different transacting circumstances. Moreover, we know little about the causal linkages between governance mechanisms choices and resulting performance implications in the context of supply chain integration. Such questions motivated this research to focus on exploring the use

39 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/chapter/virtual-integration-antecedents-rolegoverning/29999

Related Content

and Supply Chain Management (pp. 1-17).

A Framework for Smart Supply Chain Risk Assessment: An Empirical Study Khalid Khanand Abbas Keramati (2023). *International Journal of Information Systems*

www.irma-international.org/article/a-framework-for-smart-supply-chain-risk-assessment/316167

Investment in Transaction-Specific Assets and Opportunistic Behavior in a Chinese Supply Chain

Xiande Zhao, Yi Liu, Liping Qianand Barbara Flynn (2011). *Managing Global Supply Chain Relationships: Operations, Strategies and Practices (pp. 71-97).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/investment-transaction-specific-assets-opportunistic/48466

A Supplementary Framework for Evaluation of Integrated Logistics Service Provider

Kwok H. Lauand Wun L. Ma (2008). *International Journal of Information Systems and Supply Chain Management (pp. 49-69).*

 $\frac{\text{www.irma-international.org/article/supplementary-framework-evaluation-integrated-logistics/2507}{}$

Transparency and Accountability in Public Procurement of Essential Medicines in Developing Countries

Anita Kotwani (2013). Supply Chain Management: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1437-1452).

 $\underline{\text{www.irma-}international.org/chapter/transparency-accountability-public-procurement-essential/73409}$

Mapping Communication along the Supply Chain in a Reputation-Sensitive Environment: Preliminary Insights

Mattia Giovanardi (2014). *International Journal of Information Systems and Supply Chain Management (pp. 1-19).*

www.irma-international.org/article/mapping-communication-along-the-supply-chain-in-a-reputation-sensitive-environment/120158