

# Chapter 7.10

## Ethics in Software Engineering

**Pankaj Kamthan**

*Concordia University, Canada*

### INTRODUCTION

As software becomes pervasive in our daily lives, its values from a purely human perspective are brought to light. Ethical conduct is one such human value.

There are various reasons for discussing the issue of ethics within a software engineering context. By participating in a software development process, software engineers can influence the final product, namely the software itself, in different ways including those that may be contrary to public interest. In other words, they could engage in an unethical behavior, inadvertently or deliberately. This could lead to personal harm, and potentially result in loss of confidence in software and loss of trust in organizations that own them. This can adversely affect the acceptance of software as a useful product, question the credibility of software engineering as a profession, lead to

legal implications, and impact the bottom line of the software industry at-large.

This article is organized as follows. We first outline the background necessary for later discussion. This is followed by a proposal for a quality-based framework for addressing ethics, and software quality treatment of a software engineering code of ethics. Next, avenues and directions for future research are outlined, and finally, concluding remarks are given.

### BACKGROUND

By viewing software engineering as a profession, we define ethics as a code of professional standards, containing aspects of fairness and duty to the profession and the general public.

Since a software can either be a benefit or a hazard to its potential users, the issue of ethics in

its engineering arises. Software failures (Sipior & Ward, 1998) that have led to loss of human life, rendered computer systems unusable, led to financial collapse, or caused major inconveniences are grim reminders of that.

In this article, we discuss the issue of ethics from the viewpoint of software product quality considerations in practice. There is an apparent symbiosis between ethics and quality. For example, the causes of the aforementioned failures were attributed to violations of one or more quality attributes such as reliability, safety, and so forth, and/or to lack of proper validation/verification of these.

Indeed, in the Software Engineering Body of Knowledge (SWEBOK) (Abran, Moore, Bourque, & Dupuis, 2001), ethics has been placed within the software quality “knowledge area.” The issue of information technology in general, and the role of quality in software development in particular, have been addressed in (Reynolds, 2003; Tavani, 2004). Moreover, software quality is viewed as an ethical issue from a philosophical perspective (Peslak, 2004). However, these efforts are limited by one or more of the following issues: quality and ethics are often viewed as a tautology, treatment of software quality is at a very high level and often as a single entity, and there is lack of specific guidance for improvement of software quality within the domain of software ethics.

One way to enforce ethical standards in a software project is by explicitly documenting the ethical expectations from stakeholders such as via a *code of ethics*. The Software Engineering Code of Ethics and Professional Practice (SECEPP) is a recommendation of the ACM/IEEE-CS Joint Task Force on Software Engineering Ethics and Professional Practices. SECEPP puts forth eight categories of principles decomposed further into clauses that software engineers should adhere to in teaching and practicing software engineering. However, these principles and associated clauses

suffer from several issues (expounded in the next section): lack of separation (of concerns), recency, precision, completeness, reachability (to certain audience), and specificity, which makes their realization difficult. The relevance of SECEPP for practical purposes has been questioned (Qureshi, 2001), however the view is largely managerial rather than oriented towards the software product.

## **ETHICS IN SOFTWARE ENGINEERING AND SOFTWARE PRODUCT QUALITY**

For the purpose of this article, our understanding of the discussion on ethics in software engineering is based on the following interrelated hypothesis:

Hypothesis 1. Ethical behavior is dynamic, rather than static. Specifically, by appropriate means (such as code of ethics), ethical actions of software engineers could be regulated and with education even be instilled.

Hypothesis 2. Ethics is a “meta-concern” (Qureshi, 2001) leading us to adoption of steps for software quality assurance and evaluation. Specifically, ethics and software quality are related by direct proportionality, and so overall improvement in the quality of a software product leads to an improvement in ethical considerations related to that product.

## **A Theoretical Framework for Addressing Ethics from a Software Product Quality Perspective**

In order to address the practicality of introducing the ethical dimension in software engineering, we first need a theoretical foundation. To do that, we separate the concerns involved as follows:

6 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/ethics-software-engineering/29535](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/ethics-software-engineering/29535)

## Related Content

---

### Analysis and Comparison of Neural Network Models for Software Development Effort Estimation

Kamlesh Dutta, Varun Gupta and Vachik S. Dave (2022). *Research Anthology on Agile Software, Software Development, and Testing* (pp. 165-193).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/analysis-and-comparison-of-neural-network-models-for-software-development-effort-estimation/294464](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/analysis-and-comparison-of-neural-network-models-for-software-development-effort-estimation/294464)

### LDAP Vulnerability Detection in Web Applications

Hossain Shahriar, Hisham Haddad and Pranahita Bulusu (2017). *International Journal of Secure Software Engineering* (pp. 31-50).

[www.irma-international.org/article/ldap-vulnerability-detection-in-web-applications/204523](http://www.irma-international.org/article/ldap-vulnerability-detection-in-web-applications/204523)

### Chaos Synchronization of Optical Systems via a Fractional-Order Sliding Mode Controller

Abdesselem Boulkroune and Amina Boubellouta (2018). *Advanced Synchronization Control and Bifurcation of Chaotic Fractional-Order Systems* (pp. 218-260).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/chaos-synchronization-of-optical-systems-via-a-fractional-order-sliding-mode-controller/204802](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/chaos-synchronization-of-optical-systems-via-a-fractional-order-sliding-mode-controller/204802)

### Multi-View Model-Driven Projection to Facilitate the Control of the Evolution and Quality of the Architecture

Salim Kadri, Sofiane Aouag and Djalal Hedjazi (2020). *International Journal of Software Innovation* (pp. 21-39).

[www.irma-international.org/article/multi-view-model-driven-projection-to-facilitate-the-control-of-the-evolution-and-quality-of-the-architecture/262096](http://www.irma-international.org/article/multi-view-model-driven-projection-to-facilitate-the-control-of-the-evolution-and-quality-of-the-architecture/262096)

### Making the Case for Critical Realism: Examining the Implementation of Automated Performance Management Systems

Phillip Dobson, John Myles and Paul Jackson (2010). *Emerging Systems Approaches in Information Technologies: Concepts, Theories, and Applications* (pp. 329-344).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/making-case-critical-realism/38188](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/making-case-critical-realism/38188)