Chapter 3.14 Integrating Software Engineering and Costing Aspects within Project Management Tools

Roy Gelbard

Bar-Ilan University, Israel

Jeffrey Kantor Bar-Ilan University, Israel, & University of Windsor, Canada

> Liran Edelist Bar-Ilan University, Israel

INTRODUCTION

Currently, there is no integration among CASE tools (computer aided software engineering, also named AMD tools, analysis modeling and design), costing tools, and project management (PM) tools. Not only are there no integrated tools, but there is also no conceptual integration among software engineering (SE) aspects and accounting-costing aspects of software projects within PM tools. PM tools, as well as costing tools are used not only for tracking and controlling an ongoing software project, but also at the very beginning stages of the project, in which critical estimations concerning budget and time frame are made. In order to have a firm, robust, and accurate planning, project planning should be based directly upon raw SE components-objects, that is, upon analysis and design components-objects.

According to the Standish Group CHAOS Report 2003, each year in the USA there are approximately 175,000 projects in IT Application Development which spends \$250 billion. Among these, 31.1% of projects will be cancelled, 52.7% of projects will cost 189% of their original estimates, only 52% of required features and functions make it to the released product, and Time overruns 82%. In financial terms \$55 Billion dollars is wasted in these projects (Madpat, 2005).

Budget overrun indicates cost management problems, although this area is defined by the project management integration (PMI), as one of the nine core activities of projects management. Costing difficulties result from both implementation limitations of costing solutions in complex and changing requirements as well as the technological environment. Risk management is also defined by the PMI as one of the nine core areas of project management; but there is also no integration between PM tools and SE tools in light of the need for risk management.

According to Maciaszek and Liong (2005), success of a software project depends on five software engineering areas that are related to each other: the development of the life cycle of the software, processes management, the model's configuration and language, and SE tools and project planning. The combining between formal tools of SE and PM processes in the different stages has been proved by research as holding a positive contribution to the efficacy of the project and as an improver of the adherence to costs, technical requirements, and the schedules that were allocated to the project (Barker & Verma, 2003).

This study proposes and prototypes a model that integrates these three aspects of software projects by automatically mapping SE objects and accounting–costing objects into PM objects. To validate the feasibility of the model and without loss of generality, it is demonstrated using former research platform focused on conversion of data flow diagrams (DFD), which are actually full enterprise set of use cases diagrams reflecting entire system-software project into Gantt charts.

BACKGROUND

CASE and PM Tools

CASE/AMD tools support the analysis, design, construction, and implementation stages of the information system life cycle (ISLC) (Barker & Longman, 1992; Pendharkar, Subramanian, & Rodger, 2005; Sommerville, 2004). Commercial tools, such as IBM–Rational XDE, are covering main stages of ISLC; the "Requisite-Pro" module, for instance, is designated to the stage of requirement definition, "Rose" module to the analysis and design stage, and "Test-Studio" module to the testing stage.

Although PM tools support management and control along the ISLC, there is hardly any integration between CASE tools and PM tools. Thus, ISLC modeling approaches, such as the functional approach (e.g., DFD, ERD, STD), as well the object-oriented approach (e.g., use cases, activity diagrams, STD), even when automated, are used mainly in the early analysis stage primarily for visual documentation. The "database of specifications," laboriously elicited and gathered during the creation of modeling diagrams, is hardly ever applied again for project management purposes, even though this information is valuable for project managers who are involved in the construction and implementation stages. In fact, due to lack of integration along the ISLC, the specifications database is often either overlooked altogether or collected again as if their creation earlier never took place. Moreover, standard methods for system analysis and development usually make no reference to methods for project management. Accounting and costing parameters, which are reviewed at the next chapter, are not represented not at SE tools or at PM tools, and handled in totally separated systems.

15 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/integrating-software-engineering-costingaspects/29450

Related Content

Pluralism, Realism, and Truth: The Keys to Knowledge in Information Systems Research

John Mingers (2010). Emerging Systems Approaches in Information Technologies: Concepts, Theories, and Applications (pp. 86-98).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/pluralism-realism-truth/38175

Development Frameworks for Software Startups: A Literature Review

Narendranath Shanbhagand Eric Pardede (2022). Emerging Technologies for Innovation Management in the Software Industry (pp. 1-43).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/development-frameworks-for-software-startups/304535

RDF Model Generation for Unstructured Dengue Patients' Clinical and Pathological Data

Runumi Devi, Deepti Mehrotraand Hajer Baazaoui-Zghal (2019). International Journal of Information System Modeling and Design (pp. 71-89).

www.irma-international.org/article/rdf-model-generation-for-unstructured-dengue-patients-clinical-and-pathologicaldata/243440

Monitoring Buffer Overflow Attacks: A Perennial Task

Hossain Shahriarand Mohammad Zulkernine (2010). *International Journal of Secure Software Engineering* (pp. 18-40).

www.irma-international.org/article/monitoring-buffer-overflow-attacks/46150

Semantic Framework for Energy-Aware Resource Management of IoT in Business Processes

Kunal Suri, Walid Gaaloul, Arnaud Cuccuruand Sebastien Gerard (2018). International Journal of Systems and Service-Oriented Engineering (pp. 21-43).

www.irma-international.org/article/semantic-framework-for-energy-aware-resource-management-of-iot-in-business-

processes/207348