

Optimum Design of Timber Roof Structural Members in the Case of Fire

Serdar Ulusoy, Turkish-German University, Turkey*

Gebrail Bekdaş, Istanbul University-Cerrahpaşa, Turkey

Sinan Melih Nigdeli, Istanbul University-Cerrahpaşa, Turkey

ABSTRACT

One of the most important tasks of structural engineers is the design of structural elements with appropriate cross-sections to ensure the balance of economy and safety in structural design. In this context, different numerical algorithms are developed for the optimum design of structural systems. In this study, the optimum design of the roof structural model made of wood is done using teaching-learning based optimization (TLBO) in case of fire-free and fire according to Eurocode 5. The sections of the existing timber roof structure in the literature are calculated in case of fire-free and fire (30 and 60 minutes of fire). The necessity of the proposed method is emphasized by comparing the obtained optimum cross-sections in the case of fire-free with the values available in the literature. As a result, the optimum cross-section of timber roof structural elements and the wood type is determined in a short time for the different fire situations where the cross-section and characteristic features change with time.

KEYWORDS

Eurocode 5, Metaheuristic Algorithms, Optimization, Roof Structures, Structural Fire Safety, Structural Timber, Teaching-Learning-Based Optimization

INTRODUCTION

For simple structural problems, traditional mathematical methods are usually enough to keep balance between building safety and cost but for solving complex problems, these methods have been replaced with some applications and simplifications to achieve the optimum results. One of the important representative of these applications and simplifications is metaheuristic algorithms which express real-life approaches with mathematical equations to obtain the minimum or maximum value of the objective function effectively in short time using computer science. Although the mathematical equations that make up the structure of each metaheuristic algorithm differ, the feature of choosing the most successful result as a solution is present in the structure of all algorithms.

Countless metaheuristic algorithms are available in literature. However, they are mainly separated into three groups: evolutionary algorithms, swarm intelligence, and other metaheuristic algorithms. Ant colony optimization (ACO) inspired by the behavior of ants was proposed by Dorigo (1996).

DOI: 10.4018/IJDIBE.294444

*Corresponding Author

Particle swarm optimization (PSO) formulated the behavior of swarm was initially mentioned by Kennedy and Eberhart (1995). Flower Pollination algorithm (FPA), Bat algorithm (BA) and Firefly algorithm (FA) inspired by the pollination process of flowers, echolocation behaviour of micro bats and the flashing behavior of fireflies were evolved (Yang, 2012; Yang, 2010; Yang, 2010). Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) Algorithm inspired by the behavior of honey bees was defined by Karaboga (2008). Genetic Algorithm (GA) based on the features of biological evolution was developed by Holland (1975). Harmony search algorithm (HSA) was formulated by Ge, Kim and Loganathan (2001) by observing music performances. Teaching– Learning based Optimization (TLBO) and Jaya Algorithm (JA) were proposed formulating teaching–learning event of a class (Rao et al. 2010; Rao, 2016).

Metaheuristic algorithms or newly developed metaheuristic algorithms or modified existing metaheuristic algorithms are used to solve engineering problems. For instance, carbon dioxide and construction costs are minimized with harmony search algorithm when designing reinforced concrete retaining walls and reinforced concrete piles (Kayabekir et al. 2020; Arama et al. 2020). Jaya, flower pollination and learning teaching-based algorithm are used to determine the PID controller parameters of the active tendon controlled structures including soil structure interaction (Ulusoy et al. 2020). The PID controller parameters of structures with the same algorithms are determined considering different time delays and control limits (Ulusoy et al. 2020). PID controller parameters of structures with active mass damper are calculated using modified harmony search algorithm (Kayabekir et al. 2020). Passive mass damper parameters are optimized via bat algorithm (Bekdas et al. 2018) and flower pollination algorithm (Yucel et al. 2019). The post-tensioned axial cylindrical wall with different wall heights are optimally designed under different loads with the help of harmony search and hybrid metaheuristic algorithms (Bekdas, 2015; Bekdas, 2019). Optimum steel I profile cross-sections (Cakiroglu et al. 2020) and optimum laminated composite plates (Cakiroglu et al. 2020) are computed taking into account the local buckling and the maximum buckling load with harmony search algorithm. Reinforced concrete structural members (Nigdeli et al. 2018; Nigdeli et al. 2015; Nigdeli and Bekdas, 2017; Ulusoy et al. 2018; Ulusoy et al. 2020) and steel structural members (Artar and Daloglu, 2018; Camp et al. 2005; Bekdas et al. 2019) are dimensioned with different algorithms.

In this study, the optimum design of the timber roof structural members, which are generally used on the top floor of the structures to protect from snow and rain, is done according to Eurocode 5—Design of timber structures, Part 1–2: General—Structural fire design (CEN, 2004). As a result, the use of metaheuristic algorithms is an appropriate method to determine safe and economical structural sections in fire and non-fire conditions.

GENERAL FIRE DESIGN OF TIMBER STRUCTURES

Although there are flammable materials in timber structural members, they have very high fire resistance if their cross sections are sufficient. The most important reason for this positive behavior is the formation of a protective coal layer with very low thermal conductivity on the outer surface of the wood (Werner et al. 2008). The low thermal conductivity coal layer formed on the outer surface of the wood section exposed to fire is shown in Figure 1. In the event of a fire, structural members are classified according to their fire resistance times such as F30-B (fire resistance time more than 30 minutes), F60-B (fire resistance time more than 60 minutes) and F90-B (fire resistance time more than 90 minutes) (Nebgen and Peterson, 2015). In addition, the load combination specified in EN 1990: 2002 (Eurocode—Basis of structural design) (BS EN, 2002) and Eurocode 5: (Eurocode 5—Design of timber structures, Part 1–2: General—Structural fire design) are used for safe design in case of fire. This load combination is given in Equation 1. $E_{d,fi}$ is the design load in case of fire, γ_{GA} is the permanent load coefficient in case of fire (equal to 1.0), G_k is the permanent load, $\psi_{1,i}$ and $\psi_{2,i}$ are the combination factor, $Q_{k,1}$ and $Q_{k,i}$ are live loads and $A_d(t)$ is the design value of the indirect loads. If indirect loads have a minor influence on the load-bearing behavior, they can be neglected (Dietmer, 2012):

14 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/article/optimum-design-of-timber-roof-structural-members-in-the-case-of-fire/294444

Related Content

Improving the Use of BIM Using System Engineering for Infrastructure Projects

Charles-Edouard Tolmer (2017). *International Journal of 3-D Information Modeling* (pp. 17-32).

www.irma-international.org/article/improving-the-use-of-bim-using-system-engineering-for-infrastructure-projects/208157

Clustering-Assisted Regional Spatio-Temporal Sequence Pattern Mining in Crime Database: CReST

Sharmiladevi S., Siva Sathya S. and Ramesh Nangi (2022). *International Journal of Applied Geospatial Research* (pp. 1-18).

www.irma-international.org/article/clustering-assisted-regional-spatio-temporal-sequence-pattern-mining-in-crime-database/298300

GIS and Decision-Making in Business: A Literature Review

Esperanza Huerta, Celene Navarrete and Terry Ryan (2005). *Geographic Information Systems in Business* (pp. 20-35).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/gis-decision-making-business/18861

HERMES: A Trajectory DB Engine for Mobility-Centric Applications

Nikos Pelekis, Elias Frentzos, Nikos Giatrakos and Yannis Theodoridis (2016). *Geospatial Research: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 717-742).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/hermes/149520

The Application of BIM-Enabled Facility Management System in Complex Building

Jun Wang, Shirong Li, Xiangyu Wang, Chao Mao and Jun Guo (2013). *International Journal of 3-D Information Modeling* (pp. 16-33).

www.irma-international.org/article/the-application-of-bim-enabled-facility-management-system-in-complex-building/99615