Chapter 2.4 Motivation in Component-Based Software Development

G. Chroust

J. Kepler University Linz, Austria

INTRODUCTION

Information systems are designed for the people, by the people. The design of software systems with the help of software systems is another aspect of human-computer interfaces. New methods and their (non-)acceptance play an important role. Motivational factors of systems developers considerably influence the type and quality of the systems they develop (Arbaoui, Lonchamp & Montangero, 1999; Kumar & Bjoern-Andersen, 1990). To some extent, the quality of systems is a result of their developers' willingness to accept new and (supposedly) better technology (Jones, 1995). A typical example is componentbased development methodology (Bachmann et al., 2000; Cheesman & Daniels, 2001). Despite considerable publication effort and public lip service, component-based software development (CBD) appears to be getting a slower start than anticipated and hoped for. One key reason stems from the psychological and motivational attitudes of software developers (Campell, 2001; Lynex & Layzell, 1997). We therefore analyze the attitudes that potentially hamper the adoption of the component-based software development approach. Maslow's Hierarchy of Need (Boeree,

1998; Maslow, 1943) is used for structuring the motives.

BACKGROUND

The Human Side of Software Engineering

Kunda and Brooks (1999) state that "software systems do not exist in isolation ... human, social and organizational considerations affect software processes and the introduction of software technology. The key to successful software development is still the individual software engineer" (Eason et al., 1974; Kraft, 1977; Weinberg, 1988). Different software engineers may account for a variance of productivity of up to 300% (Glass, 2001). On the other hand, any other single factor is not able to provide an improvement of more than 30%. The influence of an individual's motivation, ability, productivity, and creativity has the biggest influence by far on the quality of software development, irrespective of the level of technological or methodological support. Therefore, it is worthwhile investigating for what reasons many software engineers do not fullheartedly accept componentbased methods (Lynex & Layzell, 1997).

Software development in general introduced a new type of engineers who show marked differences when compared to (classical) engineers (Badoo & Hall, 2001; Campell, 2001; Eason et al., 1974; Kraft, 1977; Kunda & Brooks, 1999; Lynex & Layzell, 1997). The phenomenon is not fully understood yet but seems to have to do with the peculiarities of software (Brooks, 1986), the type of processes and environments needed to develop software (Kraft, 1977), and especially to the proximity of software development to other mental processes (Balzert, 1996).

Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs

Maslow's theory (Boeree, 1998; Huitt, 2002; Maslow, 1943; McConnell, 2000) provides a practical classification of human needs by defining a five-level Hierarchy of Needs (Figure 1).

The five levels are as follows:

- Basic Physiological Needs (Survival): At this level, the individual is fighting for survival against an adverse environment, trying to avert hunger, thirst, cold, and inconvenient and detracting physical work environments.
- Security (Physical, Economic ...): On this level, the individual is concerned with the stability of his or her future and the safety of the environment. Worries include job security, loss of knowledge, loss of income, health, and so forth.

- Social Environment: This category includes the need to have friends, belong to a group, and to give and receive love.
- Recognition: Individuals strive to receive appropriate recognition and appreciation at work and to be recognized as having a valuable opinion.
- Self-Fulfillment: This level is considered the highest stage attainable in the development of a person, drawing satisfaction from the realization of one's own contribution to a goal and one's fullfillment of their full potential as a human being.

Reuse and Component-Based Software Development (CBD)

An old dream in software development is to avoid unnecessary duplication of work by consistently and systematically reusing existing artifacts. Reuse promises higher productivity, shorter time-to-market, and higher quality (Allen, 2001; Cheesman & Daniels, 2001). Initially, ready-made pieces of software were made available; these delivered a defined functionality in the form of a black box (i.e., without divulging the internal structure to the buyer/user). They were called COTS (commercials off the shelf) (Voas, 1998). Later, an improved and more restricted concept was employed: software components (Bachmann et al., 2000; Cheesman & Daniels, 2001; Woodman et al., 2001). Software components have to

Figure 1. Maslow's hierarhy of needs



7 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/motivation-component-based-software-development/29410

Related Content

Reconfiguration of Uniprocessor Sporadic Real-Time Systems: The Sensitivity Approach

Laurent Georgeand Pierre Courbin (2011). Reconfigurable Embedded Control Systems: Applications for Flexibility and Agility (pp. 167-189).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/reconfiguration-uniprocessor-sporadic-real-time/50429

Image Segmentation Using Electromagnetic Field Optimization (EFO) in E-Commerce Applications

Pankaj Upadhyayand Jitender Kumar Chhabra (2019). *International Journal of Information System Modeling and Design (pp. 76-91).*

www.irma-international.org/article/image-segmentation-using-electromagnetic-field-optimization-efo-in-e-commerce-applications/234772

Putting a TAG on Software: Purchaser-Centered Software Engineering

Mike Barker, Kenichi Matsumotoand Katsuro Inoue (2010). *Handbook of Research on Software Engineering and Productivity Technologies: Implications of Globalization (pp. 38-48).*www.irma-international.org/chapter/putting-tag-software/37023

A Goal-Oriented Approach to Requirements Development and Quantitative Security Assurance

Zhengshu Zhou, Qiang Zhi, Zilong Liangand Shuji Morisaki (2021). *International Journal of Systems and Software Security and Protection (pp. 46-62).*

www.irma-international.org/article/a-goal-oriented-approach-to-requirements-development-and-quantitative-security-assurance/272090

Requirements

(2017). Model-Based Design for Effective Control System Development (pp. 32-74). www.irma-international.org/chapter/requirements/179498