

Chapter 11

Autoethnography in Information Science Research: A Transformative Generation and Sharing of Knowledge or a Fallacy?

Vicki Lawal

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3967-0349>

University of Fort Hare, South Africa

Connie Bitso

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4727-1257>

University of Fort Hare, South Africa

ABSTRACT

This chapter examines the concept of autoethnography as a qualitative research method. It aimed to investigate the critical question of the importance of autoethnography as a transformative scientific research method for the purpose of generating and sharing knowledge to advance research in information science. The chapter is an exploratory study investigating the current context of autoethnography in information science, its applicability to the field for transformative learning and knowledge sharing, and possible challenges to be experienced. Findings indicate the potential of the autoethnographic method to provide the opportunity for information professionals to study experiences of information use in diverse contexts of information science. Recommendations highlight the viability of the application of sense making theory and the information search process (ISP) model to research practices in autoethnography by information scientists.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-6684-3881-7.ch011

INTRODUCTION

Qualitative research methods lie within the interpretive paradigm which focuses on complex social constructs that seek to understand human actions from the perspective of the social actors themselves. The key assumption in qualitative research is that events that occur can only be understood through the eyes of the actual participant in context (Babbie & Mouton, 2001; Gorman & Clayton, 2005; Berg, 2012). As an approach, qualitative research method is able to provide a descriptive analysis of the social context it examines, in this way, it affords the researcher with the facts and figures that allow for the interpretation of findings in the context of the community or situation being investigated. Similarly, the fact that qualitative data are collected over a sustained period of time makes them useful for studying social processes within any given context (Bryman, 1988; Denzin & Lincoln, 2005).

In practice, qualitative research methods lack the precision and definition of quantitative methods, its processes are inductive, that is, theory formulation is grounded in the findings of the research which can be used to support, refine, compare or formulate new theories (Sarantakos, 1993). The methods and approaches used in qualitative research therefore relate to the processes and meanings that are not experimentally examined or measured in terms of quantity, amount, intensity or frequency but rather emphasise the socially constructed nature of reality and the situational constraints that shape the inquiry. However, qualitative research, does allow for a more nuanced approach to the development of theories (Creswell, 1994; Dezin & Lincoln, 2011; Creswell, 2014). The following approaches have been identified as being distinctly aligned to qualitative research methods among which include case study, ethnography, phenomenology, autoethnography, grounded theory and narrative inquiry (Leedy & Ormond, 2005).

PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

Autoethnography is a relatively new research approach within qualitative research methods; it is a method that seeks to describe and systematically analyze the personal experience of a researcher in order to understand a particular context, cultural belief or practice (Ellis, Adams, & Bochner, 2011). It entails the researcher writing about themselves as a form of critical self-inquiry in which he/she is at the centre of investigation as the “subject” as well as the “object” or participant being investigated (McIlveen, 2008; Ngunjiri, Hernandez, & Chang, 2010; Denshire, 2013). However, this particular feature of the research method has been a subject of much scrutiny as it involves highly personalized accounts where the opinion of the researcher is written in the first person which opposes the widely accepted view that maintains that any rigorous and valuable research should be undertaken from a neutral, impersonal and objective stance (Holt, 2003; Ngunjiri, Hernandez, & Chang, 2010; Méndez, 2013). Similarly, even though autoethnography as a qualitative research method utilizes data about self and context in order to gain an understanding of the connectivity with other social phenomena, issues of ethics, the absence of a strong analytical approach and the inability to generalize research outcomes have constituted some of its major limitations as a research method (Anderson, 2006; Ellis, 2007; Méndez, 2013). Despite these challenges, the applicability of autoethnography to various disciplines, professional practice and organizations is growing thereby providing an opportunity to further interrogate the assumptions and processes that define this research method (McIlveen, 2008; Parry & Boyle, 2009; Doloriert & Sambrook, 2012).

In Information Science research, Guzik (2013) asserts that autoethnography as a research method is underutilized. A few studies have however indicated that the application of this research method has the

23 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/autoethnography-in-information-science-research/290792

Related Content

Evolution of AI in Academic Research

Mansi Batra Kawatra (2024). *Utilizing AI Tools in Academic Research Writing* (pp. 18-22).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/evolution-of-ai-in-academic-research/346247

Implications of Economic Decision Making to the Project Manager

Brian J. Galli (2021). *International Journal of Strategic Engineering* (pp. 19-32).

www.irma-international.org/article/implications-of-economic-decision-making-to-the-project-manager/269715

Teachers as Researchers: Participatory and Action Research

Patricia Cranton (2018). *Handbook of Research on Innovative Techniques, Trends, and Analysis for Optimized Research Methods* (pp. 82-98).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/teachers-as-researchers/197730

Intelligent Bandwidth Allocation of IPTV Streams with Bitstream Complexity Measures

Sandro Moiron, Rouzbeh Razavi, Martin Fleury and Mohammed Ghanbari (2015). *Research Methods: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1941-1961).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/intelligent-bandwidth-allocation-of-iptv-streams-with-bitstream-complexity-measures/124581

The Evaluation of Engineering Properties of Low Cost Concrete Blocks by Partial Doping of Sand with Sawdust: Low Cost Sawdust Concrete Block

Pius Rodney Fernando, T. Hamigah, S. Disne, G. G. A. K. Wickramasingha and A. Sutharshan (2018). *International Journal of Strategic Engineering* (pp. 26-42).

www.irma-international.org/article/the-evaluation-of-engineering-properties-of-low-cost-concrete-blocks-by-partial-doping-of-sand-with-sawdust/204389