


Chapter 10

Factors Influencing Information Security Policy Compliance Behavior

Kwame Simpe Ofori

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7725-9756>
School of Management and Economics,
University of Electronic Science and Technology
of China, China

Hod Anyigba

Nobel International Business School, Ghana

George Oppong Appiagyei Ampong

*Department of Management, Ghana Technology
University College, Ghana*

Osaretin Kayode Omoregie

*Department of Finance, Lagos Business School,
Pan-Atlantic University, Nigeria*

Makafui Nyamadi

*Department of Operations and Information
Systems, Business School, University of Ghana,
Ghana*

Eli Fianu

Ghana Technology University College, Ghana

ABSTRACT

One of the major concerns of organizations in today's networked world is to unravel how employees comply with information security policies (ISPs) since the internal employee has been identified as the weakest link in security policy breaches. A number of studies have examined ISP compliance from the perspective of deterrence; however, there have been mixed results. The study seeks to examine information security compliance from the perspective of the general deterrence theory (GDT) and information security climate (ISC). Data was collected from 329 employees drawn from the five top-performing banks in Ghana and analyzed with PLS-SEM. Results from the study show that security education training and awareness, top-management's commitment for information security, and peer non-compliance behavior affect the information security climate in an organization. Information security climate, punishment severity, and certainty of deterrent were also found to influence employees' intention to comply with ISP. The implications, limitations, and directions for future research are discussed.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-6684-3698-1.ch010

INTRODUCTION

“Data breaches keep happening. So why don’t you do something? – The New York Times”

Worldwide IT security spending was poised to increase to \$124 billion dollars in 2019 from \$71.1 billion in 2017 (Gartner, 2018; Hwang et al., 2017). Big ticket cases of data breaches in 2017 and 2018 more than ever, highlighted the need for better systems and controls to curtail and contain data protection contraventions. Both small and large companies like Yahoo, AT&T Citi Bank, JP Morgan, and Equifax have all fallen prey to data protection problems, internally (New York Times, 2018). Data compliance has become a key competitive resource employed by firms to outpace their competitors – typically involving the adoption and use of security policy initiatives (Kim & Kim, 2017). It is therefore by no means an understatement when reiterated that information security and its application is pivotal to the firms growth and success (Doherty et al. 2009). Furthermore, clarity has been established that the human element is major cause of information security breaches in organizations. In other words information security policy behavior is key to improving information security levels in organizations (Balozian & Leidner, 2017).

Prior research has attempted to explain information security policy breaches through the General Deterrence Theory (Chan et al., 2005; Donalds & Osei-Bryson, 2020; C. Lee et al., 2016; S. M. Lee et al., 2004), Theory of Planned Behavior, Protection Motivation Theory and Organizational Theory (Rajab & Eydgahi, 2019). While organizational theory focuses on the effect of security climate on security policy compliance (Chan et al., 2005), deterrence theory highlights the effect of user awareness of IS security countermeasures on perceived certainty and severity of organizational sanctions (D’Arcy et al., 2009). According to the literature, one key way to encourage and motivate employees to comply with Information Security Policy (ISP) is the enforcement of sanctions under the general deterrence theory framework (GDT) (Aurigemma & Mattson, 2017). The GDT framework embraces disincentives that match appropriate sanctions to violators of the ISP (Wall et al., 2013). In other words, if employees perceive that there are harsh penalties once they are caught violating information systems security policy; they are less likely to violate information systems security policy (Cheng et al., 2013). Further, Diver (2007) opines that understanding and interpreting the effects of sanctions are critical because employee non-compliance is typically the mainspring of all ISPs. This therefore almost certainly addresses the relevance of the GDT in enforcing ISP. As maintained by the literature, another major compliance attribute – information security climate – has been found to have significant impact on compliance because workplace quality devoid of anti-compliance behavior is driven by the nature of peer socialization in the organization (Yazdanmehr & Wang, 2016). Although studies on GDT and security climate have laid solid foundation in the field, they have largely been inconclusive with respect to compliance (Chen et al., 2018; D’Arcy et al., 2009; Herath et al., 2018; Herath & Rao, 2009; Safa et al., 2019).

Clearly, there is a lack of coherence on the integration of general deterrence theory (GDT) – a theory that speaks to compliance behavior and organizational Information Security Climate (ISC). In this study, we use the GDT as a foundation to build an integrated information security policy compliance behavior model that incorporates critical turnaround factors: Information Security Climate (ISC), Intention to Comply (INT) and GDT constructs. This research attempts to provide a systematic insight of the factors affecting information security policy compliance. In particular, an attempt to highlight key antecedent factors affecting policy compliance behavior in order to enhance organizational capabilities of safeguarding systems to enhance productivity and security. Specifically, these factors can contribute to enhanced

18 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/factors-influencing-information-security-policy-compliance-behavior/288680

Related Content

A Survey of Risk-Aware Business Process Modelling

Hanane Lhannaoui, Mohammed Issam Kabbajand Zohra Bakkoury (2017). *International Journal of Risk and Contingency Management* (pp. 14-26).

www.irma-international.org/article/a-survey-of-risk-aware-business-process-modelling/181854

Data Access Management System in Azure Blob Storage and AWS S3 Multi-Cloud Storage Environments

Yaser Mansouriand Rajkumar Buyya (2020). *Handbook of Research on Intrusion Detection Systems* (pp. 130-147).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/data-access-management-system-in-azure-blob-storage-and-aws-s3-multi-cloud-storage-environments/251800

Results and Discussions

(2019). *Detection and Mitigation of Insider Attacks in a Cloud Infrastructure: Emerging Research and Opportunities* (pp. 83-90).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/results-and-discussions/221684

Image Compression and Encryption Based on Integer Wavelet Transform and Hybrid Hyperchaotic System

Rajamandrapu Srinivasand Mayur N. (2022). *International Journal of Information Security and Privacy* (pp. 1-21).

www.irma-international.org/article/image-compression-and-encryption-based-on-integer-wavelet-transform-and-hybrid-hyperchaotic-system/303659

Establishing the Human Dimension of the Digital Divide

Helen Partridge (2004). *Information Security and Ethics: Social and Organizational Issues* (pp. 23-47).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/establishing-human-dimension-digital-divide/23343