


Chapter 14

Grounded Theory Approach and the Process of Men Taking Responsibility in Domestic Violence Interventions

Zeynep Turhan

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5343-9442>
Bartın University, Turkey

ABSTRACT

Research on the effectiveness of domestic violence perpetrator interventions, where perpetrators are challenged to apply non-violent behavior and develop a healthy intimate relationship, is uneven and often inconsistent. Effective and accurate methodological tools and approaches can provide a better understanding of how the program providers offer successful practices to perpetrators of domestic violence. The goal of this chapter is to discuss the potential benefits of the grounded theory approach in a qualitative inquiry that might reduce methodological challenges in the examination of the process of men taking responsibility in interventions. This chapter contributes to the debate about the best way to examine perpetrators' behavioral change processes and identifies the benefits of implementing the grounded theory approach and reflexivity.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-7998-7600-7.ch014

INTRODUCTION

Grounded theory approach is a research methodology that is often used in social sciences. The reason for applying grounded theory approach might be to develop a new theory. Theory is vital for reducing complex social problems and recognizing relevant aspects in broader contexts (Gibson & Hartman, 2014). Moreover, grounded theory provides adequate comparisons by focusing on specific properties across social elements (Gibson & Hartman, 2014). Behavioral change process among perpetrators of domestic violence can be better understood by applying theoretical sampling, a constant comparative method and coding exercises found in the grounded theory approach.

The main body of domestic violence perpetrator literature has concentrated on the rate of or factors around completion or drop-outs among perpetrators (Cuevas & Bui, 2016; Kalogo, 2015; Rondeau, Brodeur, Brochu & Lemire, 2001; Rosenbaum, Gearan, & Ondovic, 2001; Rothman & Coutinho, 2007; Waller, 2016). However, some researchers have explored the steps and process of behavioral change rather than solely focusing on the outcome. For instance, different stages of change and various conditions around the readiness to take new action have been investigated (Carbajosa, Catalá-Miñana, Lila, Gracia, & Boira, 2017; Chovanec, 2009; Daniels & Murphy, 1997). A few studies about changing behavior during psychotherapy also examined the stages of change and the effectiveness of some techniques (McConaughy, Prochaska & Velicer, 1983; Prochaska & DiClemente, 1982). Therefore, a consideration of the different conditions and their stages is critical to the identification of motivational dynamics based on their relationship with program providers, family members and other social networks. This in-depth examination can improve our understanding of how they attempt to take responsibility and develop a healthier and more respectful intimate relationship. Importantly, participants' interactions need to be taken into account by the researchers who attempt to identify the conditions around taking responsibility for violence during interventions.

Researching male perpetrators' behavioral change process is very difficult because several complicated and sensitive conditions are often involved in this process. The difficulty of this examination is likely to be associated with the men's minimizations and denials of their violent behavior, blaming women, insufficient understanding of the consequences of violence on their family members (Dobash et al., 2000; Dutton & Hemphill, 1992; Ehrensaft & Vivian, 1999; Harne & Radford, 2008), restrictions on seeing their children (Alderson et al., 2013; Stanley et al., 2012), potential mental health or physical complications, masculine identity, tolerance of violence, patriarchal beliefs and gendered power relations (McCarry, 2007; Sayem and Nury, 2013).

20 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/grounded-theory-approach-and-the-process-of-men-taking-responsibility-in-domestic-violence-interventions/277051

Related Content

Electric Vehicle Fleet Management Using Ant Colony Optimisation

Javier Biera Murieland Abbas Fotouhi (2020). *International Journal of Strategic Engineering* (pp. 1-16).

www.irma-international.org/article/electric-vehicle-fleet-management-using-ant-colony-optimisation/243665

Collegiality vs. Competition: The Five Cs of Collegiality

Rebecca Fredricksonand Laura Trujillo-Jenks (2021). *Handbook of Research on Developing Students' Scholarly Dispositions in Higher Education* (pp. 314-328).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/collegiality-vs-competition/285126

Activity Index and Lotkas's Law Validation on Human DNA Research

P. Murugiah (2020). *Measuring and Implementing Altmetrics in Library and Information Science Research* (pp. 39-47).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/activity-index-and-lotkass-law-validation-on-human-dna-research/247742

The Consequential Relationship between Doctoral Course Design and Capstone Design

Valerie A. Storeyand John Fulton (2016). *Contemporary Approaches to Dissertation Development and Research Methods* (pp. 1-16).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-consequential-relationship-between-doctoral-course-design-and-capstone-design/156926

Digital Forensic Investigation of Social Media, Acquisition and Analysis of Digital Evidence

Reza Montasari, Richard Hill, Victoria Carpenterand Farshad Montaseri (2019). *International Journal of Strategic Engineering* (pp. 52-60).

www.irma-international.org/article/digital-forensic-investigation-of-social-media-acquisition-and-analysis-of-digital-evidence/219324