

Chapter 87

Principles and Practices for Enhanced Visual Design in Virtual Learning Environments: Do Looks Matter in Student Engagement?

Deanna Grant-Smith

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5935-2690>

Queensland University of Technology, Australia

Tim Donnet

Queensland University of Technology, Australia

James Macaulay

Queensland University of Technology, Australia

Renee Chapman

Queensland University of Technology, Australia

ABSTRACT

The widespread adoption of learning management systems (LMS) in higher education has been promoted as a means of modernizing learning material, improving learning outcomes, and enhancing student engagement, but has often fallen short of these goals. It has been suggested that investment in visual design has the potential to ensure the promise of LMS can be realized. Through the reflections of instructors, a learning designer, and students, this chapter explores the relationship between LMS aesthetics and usability and student engagement. It proposes visual design principles and practices which highlight the combined contribution of functional utility, visual identity, aesthetic appeal, and transactional access to enhancing student engagement and user experience in a virtual learning environment.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-7998-8047-9.ch087

INTRODUCTION

Online learning management systems (LMS) play an important role in providing the infrastructure necessary to support the delivery of learning content and resources and are an important complement to traditional face-to-face classroom teaching approaches (Hustad & Arntzen, 2013; Torrisi-Steele & Drew, 2013). Indeed, the very ubiquity of LMS use in higher education contexts has seen them labeled “an omnipresent educational technology juggernaut” (Murphy, 2012, p. 827). However, although it was predicted that the increased adoption and use of LMS would deliver increased flexibility and new efficiencies, open opportunities for participation in higher education, enrich student learning experiences, and enhance interdisciplinary learning (Alhazmi & Rahman, 2012; Coates, James, & Baldwin, 2005; Park & Mills, 2014), many of these benefits are yet to be realized. Instead, it has been suggested that LMSs are most often employed “to manage learners rather than to promote rich, interactive experiences” (Kim & Bonk, 2006, p. 26). In particular, a lack of student involvement in determining LMS requirements has been connected to the adoption of LMS infrastructure and practices that may meet an instructor’s functional requirements but which fails to consider a range of non-functional usability requirements for learners such as recognizability, user interface aesthetics and accessibility (da Soledade, Freitas, Peres, Fantinato, Steinbeck, & Araújo, 2013).

If students are unsatisfied, or not engaged in their learning, there is a higher risk of them withdrawing from their enrollment from a single unit or their whole degree (Sun, Tsai, Finger, Chen, & Yeh, 2008). The use and design of an LMS can have a significant impact on student satisfaction (Rubin, Fernandes, Avgerinou, & Moore, 2010). Student engagement has been found to be a core component of student satisfaction in both face-to-face and online learning environments (Al-Mahmood, 2012; Coates et al., 2005; Maor, 2003; Seifert, 2016; Sheely, 2006). In this context student engagement is best described as “the intellectual, emotional and practical interactions students have with educationally purposeful activities and conditions” (Coates et al., 2005, p. 28). Engagement is more than an individual student’s decision to devote time and energy to their studies; it also involves the policies and practices that higher education institutions employ to encourage students to engage with their learning (National Survey of Student Engagement, 2007).

While users are influenced by usability, information richness, loading speed, and content relevance, student engagement is believed to also be influenced by the aesthetics of an LMS (Al-Mahmood, 2012). The first impression of a site, based on its visual aesthetics, is important for the user to want to continue to use the site (Schenkman & Jönsson, 2000), and users also draw on aesthetic factors to judge usability and credibility (David & Glore, 2010). Attention to visual design has been promoted as an essential consideration in designing online learning spaces, “not just for aesthetic pleasure, but also for integrated education, where a high quality of information transfer is required” (Duh & Krašna, 2011, p. 2). Research conducted by Al-Mahmood (2012) concurs that aesthetics matter to students and that they are critical of sites which are dull, visually unexciting and identical to other sites as they lack dimension and give the appearance of simply being somewhere to store notes online. Participants in Al-Mahmood’s (2012) study suggested it is important to balance aesthetics, clear communication and legibility through the consistent use of colors, typefaces, and visual symbology. Color and icons should be used to make a site more visually appealing but the visual ergonomics regarding the look and feel, fonts, navigation and iconic signaling also have an important role in enhancing navigation and usability. Design factors that negatively influence LMS user experience include confusing features, overfull or busy pages, in-

23 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/principles-and-practices-for-enhanced-visual-design-in-virtual-learning-environments/271231

Related Content

Virtual Sculpture for Art Education Under Artificial Intelligence Wireless Network Environment

Gavin Gao and Kai Xing (2023). *International Journal of Web-Based Learning and Teaching Technologies* (pp. 1-17).

www.irma-international.org/article/virtual-sculpture-for-art-education-under-artificial-intelligence-wireless-network-environment/334234

Development of a Distance Education Internet-Based Foundation Course for the MBA Program

James E. LaBarre and E. Vance Wilson (2002). *Web-Based Instructional Learning* (pp. 172-178).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/development-distance-education-internet-based/31347

Constructivist Communications Strategies for 21st Century Faculties and Graduate Students

Ann W. Armstrong and Albert J. Gales (2018). *Fostering Effective Student Communication in Online Graduate Courses* (pp. 76-101).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/constructivist-communications-strategies-for-21st-century-faculties-and-graduate-students/187815

Correlating Formal Assessment with Social Network Activity within a Personal Learning Environment

Eleni Koulocheri and Michalis Xenos (2019). *International Journal of Web-Based Learning and Teaching Technologies* (pp. 17-31).

www.irma-international.org/article/correlating-formal-assessment-with-social-network-activity-within-a-personal-learning-environment/214976

Facebook Use, Personality Characteristics and Academic Performance: A Case Study

Georgia Sapsani and Nikolaos Tselios (2019). *International Journal of Web-Based Learning and Teaching Technologies* (pp. 1-14).

www.irma-international.org/article/facebook-use-personality-characteristics-and-academic-performance/221880