

Auditor Evaluation and Reporting on Cybersecurity Risks

Jeffrey S. Zanzig

Jacksonville State University, USA

Guillermo A. Francia III

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8088-2653>

University of West Florida, USA

INTRODUCTION

Just a few decades ago information systems consisted primarily of mainframe computers with what were commonly referred to as “dumb terminals” that granted access into a mainframe computer which performed an organization’s information processing. The risk of intruders accessing such systems was significantly less and physical security measures such as locked doors and security guards served as an effective approach in protecting information systems from outsiders. Substantial information processing capabilities were then added with the widespread use of the Internet, company networks, and the distribution of computing power to the end user. Unfortunately, it also resulted in an immense increase in the danger of outsiders hacking into company information systems gaining access to sensitive information and causing various types of malicious behavior.

A recent cybersecurity attack at the Marriott hotel chain illustrates what can happen when cybersecurity incidents occur and are not thoroughly resolved. In 2015, Marriott Hotels acquired another hotel, known as Starwood Hotels and Resorts Worldwide, as part of a \$13.6 billion deal which made Marriott the No. 1 hotel chain in the world. Four days after the announcement of this 2015 merger, Starwood stated that credit card information had been stolen in some of its hotel restaurants and gift shops as a result of malware that attackers installed on point-of-sale systems in 2014. In December 2018, The Wall Street Journal reported the theft of personal information for up to 500 million customers as a result of a hack of Marriott’s customer database for its Starwood properties. Although Marriott claimed that the 2018 discovery was unrelated to the prior incident, security experts believe that a more thorough investigation of the initial intrusion would have identified a second intruder who was able to stay in the Marriott reservation system for the more than three years following the initial security breach (McMillan, 2018).

The objectives of this research are to provide an overview of some of the considerations that are involved in an assessment of an organization’s system of cybersecurity including: lines of defense, audits and reporting, and standards and frameworks for evaluation. This article begins by considering challenges facing today’s audit committees, the need to understand the common profile of the cyber perpetrator, and the necessity of employee training to overcome complacency in dealing with cybersecurity risks. This is followed by guidance from both the Institute of Internal Auditors (IIA) and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). A thought-provoking discussion based on IIA literature considers what the IIA refers to as “three lines of defense” to address risks in today’s cyber environment. Guidance from the AICPA describes reporting on an entity’s cybersecurity risk management program and controls. In the audit of security systems to address cybersecurity risks, it is also essential to make use

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-7998-3473-1.ch082

of standards and frameworks to facilitate a proper evaluation. This is also addressed by considering how guidance from COBIT, the National Institute of Standards and Technology, and the Center for Internet Security can be mapped into five cyber infrastructure domains.

BACKGROUND

A primary focus of an audit committee is to provide an independent oversight function to ensure that the processing and storage of information is performed in a secure and reliable manner to meet the needs of information users. Although the birth of the Internet and extensive networking capabilities has substantially increased the ability of organizations to process and disseminate information, it has also opened the door to allow greater access to information systems by unauthorized and many times malicious intruders. It is certainly a difficult task to address these security issues due to the constantly changing availability of technology that is both within and outside of an organization's control. This section discusses challenges faced by audit committees as a result of cybersecurity issues. It also considers common profiles of the cyber perpetrator and how noncompliance with information security policy by well-meaning organizational personnel can allow unauthorized access into an organization's information system.

Challenges Facing Today's Audit Committee

Lanz (2014) points out that today's audit committee faces challenges in their governance of cybersecurity, including:

- Organizations must reconcile between the availability of products and services to enable business in cyberspace and protecting information in accordance with business and regulatory requirements.
- The periodic briefings that information security professionals provide to audit committee members may not be relevant enough for them to properly perform governance duties.
- Lack of cybersecurity training for organization personnel can serve as a weak link despite large investments in information security technology.
- Organization's that rely on third parties to provide information processing services should ensure that a vendor management program exists to provide evidence that the vendor follows due diligence to protect sensitive information.

Common Profiles of the Cyber Perpetrator

Galligan and Rau (2015) stress the importance of having a risk assessment process that considers the cyber risk profile(s) most likely to pose a threat to an organization. They point out that certain industries may be more susceptible to a specific type of cyberattack. They describe the following broad categories of perpetrators:

- **Nation-states and Spies:** Involve hostile foreign nations looking to obtain trade secrets and intellectual property to achieve competitive and military advantages.
- **Organized Criminals:** Involve persons seeking to steal money and private information such as through identify theft of an organization's customers.

16 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/auditor-evaluation-and-reporting-on-cybersecurity-risks/263609

Related Content

The Room Is Crooked AF: Black Women, Resistance, and Leadership

Portia Newman (2021). *Black and Brown Leadership and the Promotion of Change in an Era of Social Unrest* (pp. 124-148).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-room-is-crooked-af/284640

Building a Culture of Trust in Higher Education Institutions: Challenges for a New Type of Quality Management

Magdalena Platis (2021). *Research Anthology on Preparing School Administrators to Lead Quality Education Programs* (pp. 1763-1781).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/building-a-culture-of-trust-in-higher-education-institutions/260498

Leader Ambidexterity in Research Teams

Montserrat Boronat-Navarro and María P. Mora-Crespo (2021). *Encyclopedia of Organizational Knowledge, Administration, and Technology* (pp. 2708-2723).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/leader-ambidexterity-in-research-teams/263722

Investigating the Roles of Neuroscience and Knowledge Management in Higher Education

Kijpokin Kasemsap (2017). *Handbook of Research on Administration, Policy, and Leadership in Higher Education* (pp. 112-140).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/investigating-the-roles-of-neuroscience-and-knowledge-management-in-higher-education/167372

Performance-Based Assessment Evaluated by Croatian Preschool Teachers and Students: Implications for Study Program and Practice Modifications

Sanja Tatalovi Vorkapić and Vesna Kati (2017). *Educational Leadership and Administration: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1065-1102).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/performance-based-assessment-evaluated-by-croatian-preschool-teachers-and-students/169051