Ambiguities in the Privacy Policies of Common Health and Fitness Apps

15

Devjani Sen

Algonquin College, Canada

Rukhsana Ahmed

b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0381-4491 University at Albany, SUNY, USA

INTRODUCTION

Mobile leisure, health, and wellness applications (apps) are ubiquitous. Research suggests that there are approximately 97,000 varieties of inexpensive and easy to use mobile health apps available in the market; at such a pace numbers are becoming outdated almost as soon as they are published (Privacy Clearinghouse, 2013). With approximately 320,000 of health and fitness apps in major app stores (Young, 2018), the question arises as to what happens to the sensitive data consumers enter into these apps, and what happens when these apps share data with advertisers and other third parties without the user's knowledge.

A growing topic of interest in both Canada and the U.S. concerns exactly what third parties can legally do with personal data. American law dictates that health insurance companies cannot discriminate based on a history of illness, specifically, severely restricting the dissemination and distribution of private health information without documented consent. However, while data held by a health plan, health care provider, or lab may be protected by the federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), legal scholars warn that if a patient is going to upload health or wellness data to a mobile application (app), it may not be covered by those laws (Rogers, 2014). Such legal ambiguities have implications for Canadian users of health and wellness apps, because many of these devices are based in the U.S., with the data being stored on U.S. servers and thus they may not conform to privacy requirements (Akkad, 2013). Clearly, such privacy concerns apply globally in any cases where personal data may be shared to third parties across two or more countries anywhere in the world.

There are some other important concerns with privacy and security issues related to mobile health and fitness applications (Huckvale et al. 2015; Rajindra et al. 2014). For example, personal apps collect all sorts of personal information like name, email address, age, height, weight, and in some cases detailed health information. When using such apps, many users may share a host of personal information and consequently make themselves targets to misuse of this information by unknown third parties. Moreover, according to Gralla et al. (2011), apps can gather the phone number and the unique ID number of each type of phone. In this way, personal information that apps gather about an end-user can be matched to these IDs, which means that ad networks can easily combine various pieces of information collected by multiple apps to build a sophisticated profile about a given end-user and thereby posing a major privacy risk to personal data. Therefore, un-informed decision by end-users raises important concerns regarding the ethics around sharing personal data gathered from health and fitness apps to third parties. To summarize, the issues raised above may be broken down to the following concerns:

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-7998-3479-3.ch127

- (1) ownership and veracity of sensitive data shared on personal apps
- (2) what end users really understand about the use of their data (what data are being collected and the specifics of how it may be used)
- (3) the ethics of sharing end-users' personal information and sharing it with third-parties

Despite the important role of informed consent in the creation of health and fitness mobile applications, the intersection of ethics and sharing of personal information is understudied and is an often-ignored topic during the creation of mobile apps. After reviewing the online privacy policies of a select set of mobile health and fitness apps, this chapter will conclude with a set of recommendations when designing privacy policies for the sharing of personal information collected from health and fitness apps.

BACKGROUND

Online privacy policies, which regulate the relationship between the user and the website with the purpose of limiting companies' legal liability during site use, are also employed by users to inform their understanding of the manners in which personal data are treated by companies. Despite their importance to users, however, studies suggest that these policies are often ignored (Angulo, Fischer-Hübner, Weastlund, & Pulls, 2012; Jensen & Potts, 2004; Kesan, Hayes, & Bashir, 2012; Tsai, Egelman, Cranor, & Acquisti, 2011). As pointed out by Steinfeld (2016), since agreeing to the terms of the policy is usually a prerequisite for subscribing to a website or a web service, users typically sign their consent almost automatically, so that these terms are rarely considered as reasons for joining or avoiding a given website.

Studies suggest that many apps do not have a privacy policy, or that apps do not grant users access to and control over personal information before users downloads and/or after using apps (Privacy Rights Clearinghouse, 2013). Nevertheless, having a privacy policy or providing a link to more information, is not enough to safeguard end-users' right to data privacy. Research suggests that innovative techniques must be developed to present information that was not possible with paper such that electronic formats do not simply mimic the metaphors of paper documents (Tansel, 2013). Research has shown that reading from electronic formats, such as the Web increases cognitive load and disorientation, and is consequently less efficient for learning (recognition as well as recall of information) than reading from paper documents (Eveland & Dunwoody, 2001). In their study of differences in cognitive processing when reading paper documents versus electronic documents, O'Hara and Sellen (1997) suggest a number of advantages to paper that need to be addressed in the design of digital systems. A chief advantage of paper, is the way it supports annotation while at the same time permitting quick and easy navigation, which in turn enables a reader to develop a sense of overall structure of the reading material. Furthermore, they learned that improvements in navigation and control of spatial layout of individual and multiple documents must also be supported in electronic documents. More specifically, studies have identified a number of cognitive factors which limit the comprehension of existing privacy policies, including complexity, legal language, and length (Angulo et al., 2012; Milne & Culnan, 2004; Nissenbaum, 2011; Tsai et al., 2011), use of vague terms and concepts (Anton et al., 2003), as well as design issues such as format and font size (Milne & Culnan, 2004).

9 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/ambiguities-in-the-privacy-policies-of-commonhealth-and-fitness-apps/260311

Related Content

An Integrated Systems Approach for Early Warning and Risk Management Systems

Walter Hürster, Thomas Wilboisand Fernando Chaves (2010). International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach (pp. 46-56).

www.irma-international.org/article/integrated-systems-approach-early-warning/45160

The Analysis of a Power Information Management System Based on Machine Learning Algorithm

Daren Li, Jie Shen, Jiarui Daiand Yifan Xia (2023). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach (pp. 1-14).*

www.irma-international.org/article/the-analysis-of-a-power-information-management-system-based-on-machine-learningalgorithm/327003

Multilabel Classifier Chains Algorithm Based on Maximum Spanning Tree and Directed Acyclic Graph

Wenbiao Zhao, Runxin Liand Zhenhong Shang (2023). International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach (pp. 1-21).

www.irma-international.org/article/multilabel-classifier-chains-algorithm-based-on-maximum-spanning-tree-and-directedacyclic-graph/324066

A Model Based on Data Envelopment Analysis for the Measurement of Productivity in the Software Factory

Pedro Castañedaand David Mauricio (2020). International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach (pp. 1-26).

www.irma-international.org/article/a-model-based-on-data-envelopment-analysis-for-the-measurement-of-productivity-inthe-software-factory/252826

Leadership for Big Data and Business Intelligence

Richard T. Herschel (2015). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Third Edition (pp. 371-378).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/leadership-for-big-data-and-business-intelligence/112347