


# Formal Verification of ZigBee–Based Routing Protocol for Smart Grids

**Adnan Rashid**

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9729-584X>

*National University of Sciences and Technology, Pakistan*

**Osman Hasan**

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2562-2669>

*National University of Sciences and Technology, Pakistan*

## INTRODUCTION


With the growing demand of electricity, the traditional power transmission grids are unable to manage instant upsurges in the utilization of electricity and thus often lead to blackouts and power outages (Gao, Xiao, Liu, Liang & Cheng, 2012). Smart grid technology (Farhangi, 2010), which is a digital upgradation of the traditional grids, can overcome the above-mentioned issues and thus provides reliable, secure, safe and efficient power distribution and management. A smart grid system is mainly composed of control centers, mobile workforce, substations, utilities and the customer premises (Saputro, Akkaya & Uludag, 2012). A reliable communication link between all these building blocks is the core element that governs the efficiency of the overall system. Some of the extensively used communication networks in this technology are wide area network (WAN), neighborhood area network (NAN) and home area network (HAN) (Saputro et al., 2012). For example, HAN is located in the customer premises and thus provides a connection between the smart meter and the home appliances. These networks and their interrelationships are depicted in Figure 1.

The routing protocols play a vital role in establishing a secure and reliable communication link between the components of the above-mentioned smart grid networks. In this regard, the ZigBee (Kinney et al., 2003) routing protocol is commonly used in wireless HAN and provides a reliable, secure and uninterrupted connection between various components of HAN, i.e., home appliances and the smart meter (Saputro et al., 2012). Conventionally, the analysis of these network protocols is performed using live testing and computer-based simulations (Lundgren, 2002) using network simulators (McCanne, Floyd, Fall & Varadhan, 1997). These techniques can analyze a limited number of possible scenarios and thus there is always a chance of missing a corner case, which compromises the accuracy of the analysis. Moreover, round-off errors due to the involvement of the computer arithmetic also introduce some approximations and thus we cannot certify a complete absence of bugs. Therefore, considering the safety-critical applications of power and electricity in our daily tasks, these inaccurate results may lead to many unwanted consequences.

Formal methods (Hasan & Tahar, 2015) have the ability to overcome these inherent limitations of the above-mentioned conventional techniques. The formal methods based system analysis mainly involves developing mathematical model of the given system based on some logic and verification of its intended properties using deductive reasoning. The involvement of the logical modeling and deductive reasoning enhances the chances of catching subtle errors that are often ignored by the traditional methods. For

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-7998-3479-3.ch069

Figure 1. Smart grid Communication Network



example, for a 32-input adder, we require  $2^{64} = 1.8446744 \times 10^{19}$  test vectors in the case of computer-based simulations and it is checked for a limited number of these test vectors to reduce the number of computational overheads. Thus, there is always a chance of missing a bug due to not using the test vector to catch it. However, in the case of formal methods, we need to develop an implementation and specification of the circuit using some appropriate logic and verify that the implementation meets the

14 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/formal-verification-of-zigbee-based-routing-protocol-for-smart-grids/260245](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/formal-verification-of-zigbee-based-routing-protocol-for-smart-grids/260245)

## Related Content

---

### The Role of Social Relationships and Social Networks in IT Project Teams

A.C. Leonard and D.H. Van Zyl (2015). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Third Edition* (pp. 5325-5333).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-role-of-social-relationships-and-social-networks-in-it-project-teams/112981](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-role-of-social-relationships-and-social-networks-in-it-project-teams/112981)

### Load Flow Analysis in Smart Grids

Osman Hasan, Awais Mahmood and Syed Rafay Hasan (2018). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Fourth Edition* (pp. 3103-3113).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/load-flow-analysis-in-smart-grids/184022](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/load-flow-analysis-in-smart-grids/184022)

### Agile Software Processes for the 24-Hour Knowledge Factory Environment

Nathan Denny, Igor Crk and Ravi Sheshu Nadella (2010). *Breakthrough Discoveries in Information Technology Research: Advancing Trends* (pp. 33-47).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/agile-software-processes-hour-knowledge/39569](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/agile-software-processes-hour-knowledge/39569)

### An Optimal Routing Algorithm for Internet of Things Enabling Technologies

Amol V. Dhumane, Rajesh S. Prasad and Jayashree R. Prasad (2017). *International Journal of Rough Sets and Data Analysis* (pp. 1-16).

[www.irma-international.org/article/an-optimal-routing-algorithm-for-internet-of-things-enabling-technologies/182288](http://www.irma-international.org/article/an-optimal-routing-algorithm-for-internet-of-things-enabling-technologies/182288)

### Classification of Polarity of Opinions Using Unsupervised Approach in Tourism Domain

Mahima Goyal and Vishal Bhatnagar (2016). *International Journal of Rough Sets and Data Analysis* (pp. 68-78).

[www.irma-international.org/article/classification-of-polarity-of-opinions-using-unsupervised-approach-in-tourism-domain/163104](http://www.irma-international.org/article/classification-of-polarity-of-opinions-using-unsupervised-approach-in-tourism-domain/163104)