Chapter 3 Improving the Optimality Verification and the Parallel Processing of the General Knapsack Linear Integer Problem

Elias Munapo North-West University, South Africa

ABSTRACT

The chapter presents a new approach to improve the verification process of optimality for the general knapsack linear integer problem is very difficult to solve. A solution for the general knapsack linear integer problem can be accurately estimated, but it can still be very difficult to verify optimality using the brach and bound related methods. In this chapter, a new objective function is generated that is also used as a more binding equality constraint. This generated equality constraint can be shown to significantly reduce the search region for the branch and bound-related algorithms. The verification process for optimality proposed in this chapter is easier than most of the available branch and bound-related approaches. In addition, the proposed approach is massively parallelizable allowing the use of the much needed independent parallel processing.

INTRODUCTION

The general linear integer programming (LIP) problem has numerous real life applications. Linear integer problems are common as practical problems and include:

- (i) traveling salesman,
- (ii) assignment and transportation,
- (iii) capital budgeting,

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-7998-3970-5.ch003

- (iv) facility location,
- (v) set packing,
- (vi) set covering,
- (vii) set partitioning,
- (viii) minimal spanning,
- (ix) Scheduling and
- (x) knapsack.

Knapsack models have many applications in real life and in this paper we select, land conservation, prioritizing conservation projects, optimizing environmental economic policies in a protection zone, maximizing benefits in environmental project selection and plastic bag waste management as examples

A consistent efficient general purpose algorithm for the general LIP is still at large. In other words we are not aware of any efficient consistent general purpose algorithm for this problem as given in Taha(2017). The fact is that this problem is very difficult to solve and heuristics are normally used to approximate solutions in reasonable times (Kumar et. al, 2018). Research on linear integer programming is a very active ongoing process because of the very important applications in real life. The first exact method was a cutting plane approach proposed by Gomory and is given in Gomory (1958), Mitchell (2002) and Salkin (1991). Land and Doig (1960) came up with the branch and bound algorithm. Dakin (1965) improved the branch and bound and this is also explained Bealie (1979). Padberg and Rinald (1991) combined the branch and bound and cuts to come up with a better method which is now called the branch and cut. See Brunetta, Conforti and Rinaldi (1997) and Mitchell (2001) for more on branch and cut algorithms. Salvelsbergh (1997) used pricing within the context of a branch and bound to come up with a good procedure (branch and price) for the linear integer models. See Barnhart et. al (1998) for more on branch and price algorithms. Ladányi, Ralphs and Trotter (2001) combined pricing and cuts and used these in a branch and boud setting. See Barnhart, Hane and Vance (2000) and Fukasawa (2006) for more on branch, cut and price procedure.

THE GENERAL KNAPSACK LINEAR INTEGR PROBLEM

Maximize $Z = c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + ... + c_n x_n$,

Such that $a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + ... + a_nx_n \ge b$,

(1)

Where a_i , c_j , and b are integral constants and x_i is an integer $\forall j=1,2,...,n$

The knapsack problem is made up of only one constraint and why this problem NP hard and very difficult to solve? Is it not possible to take advantage of the single constraint to develop an efficient algorithm for this problem?

THE KNAPSACK PROBLEM AND THE KNOWN INTEGER SOLUTION

Suppose the solution of knapsack linear integer problem is known and this solution satisfies (2).

14 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <u>www.igi-global.com/chapter/improving-the-optimality-verification-and-the-</u> parallel-processing-of-the-general-knapsack-linear-integer-problem/260042

Related Content

New CICT Framework for Deep Learning and Deep Thinking Application

Rodolfo A. Fiorini (2016). International Journal of Software Science and Computational Intelligence (pp. 1-20).

www.irma-international.org/article/new-cict-framework-for-deep-learning-and-deep-thinking-application/172113

Computer Intelligence in Healthcare

Pramit Ghosh, Debotosh Bhattacharjee, Mita Nasipuriand Dipak Kumar Basu (2013). *Handbook of Research on Computational Intelligence for Engineering, Science, and Business (pp. 716-715).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/computer-intelligence-healthcare/72514

Mankind at a Crossroads: The Future of Our Relation With AI Entities

Neantro Saavedra-Rivano (2020). International Journal of Software Science and Computational Intelligence (pp. 28-37).

www.irma-international.org/article/mankind-at-a-crossroads/258864

BP-Neural Network for Plate Number Recognition

Jia Wangand Wei Qi Yan (2020). Deep Learning and Neural Networks: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1189-1199).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/bp-neural-network-for-plate-number-recognition/237929

Context-Aware Mobile and Wearable Device Interfaces

Claas Ahlrichs, Hendrik Ibenand Michael Lawo (2015). Recent Advances in Ambient Intelligence and Context-Aware Computing (pp. 308-321).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/context-aware-mobile-and-wearable-device-interfaces/121782