IDEA GROUP PUBLISHING



701 E. Chocolate Avenue, Hershey PA 17033-1117, USA Tel: 717/533-8845; Fax 717/533-8661; URL-http://www.idea-group.com

ITB7840

Chapter Group Inc.

MC.

An Empirical Study of Knowledge and Organizations

Andrew Doswell and Vivien Reid Glasgow Caledonian University, Scotland

An empirical investigation into perceptions about the handling of knowledge in organisations. The findings are based on replies to a non-random postal questionnaire based survey. The respondents were the senior human resource management (HRM) and information technology (IT) specialists at the 60 largest Scottish companies (as measured by market capitalisation). The findings in the main confirm both ideas in the literature in general and the findings and conclusions from previous studies including Alavi, and Leidner (1999). In addition some new aspects are reported which can be construed as showing support for the idea of professional groupings holding onto knowledge and for the existence of ambivalence towards the emphasis placed on the role of IT in conducting KM.

INTRODUCTION

Bibliometric studies (Ruggles, 1998; Scarborough et al, 1999) show a considerable growth in knowledge management literature since the late 1980s. Although on simple reflection the fundamental importance of knowledge,

"Every human activity, child-rearing, hunting, farming and crafts, no less than administration, research and government depends on the use and transmission of skills and knowledge." (Parker, 1994)

Previously Published in *Challenges of Information Technology Management in the 21st Century* edited by Mehdi Khosrow-Pour, Copyright © 2000, Idea Group Publishing.

This chapter appears in the book, Knowledge Mapping and Management by Don White.

Copyright © 2002, Idea Group Publishing.

and its attendant economic impact, "Knowledge is our most powerful engine of production" (Marshall, 1890) may be obvious, the study of knowledge as a business topic has been patchy and sporadic.

Until recently the only area of consistent knowledge work has been the development of copyright and patent law. This was conducted to protect some aspects of the exploitation of knowledge to help overcome some impediments to knowledge diffusion:

"... the obstetrical forceps, introduced by a French Huguenot, William Chamberlen (c 1540-96), ... Chamberlen kept the instrument a family secret, passing it on to his son, Peter, who passed it on to his son, Peter (1601-83), who passed it onto his son Hugh (1630-1720). When called to a confinement, they supposedly hid the forceps m a box to preserve their trade secret..." (Porter, 1997: 232)

BACKGROUND AND LITERATURE REVIEW

A frequent starting point for a discussion of the business and economic importance of knowledge is Bell (1976) with his emphasis upon the growing importance of a scientific approach to knowledge discovery and the role IT has to play. Although Bell's work has been "indicted on a number of counts" (Blackler, Reed, and Whitaker, 1993) it retains its influence. The next well-recognised staging post is Drucker's vision (1989) of knowledge worker based organisations. There then starts what becomes an avalanche of texts dealing with knowledge and various knowledge related ideas such as "systems" and "learning organisations": Senge (1990), Nonaka (1991), Peters (1992), and Drucker (1993) leading to Swan's comment that *now* there is considerable consensus that 'knowledge' is an increasingly important business topic (1999).

Examination of the literature content shows immediately considerable confusion about what knowledge is and considerable debate over its relationship to data and information. This has provided (Godbout) "a challenge in semantics" with Starbuck noting "knowledge itself is almost as ambiguous an idea as value or importance, and it has many guises" (1992) and Alvesson commenting "knowledge easily becomes everything and nothing" (1992). But as well as confusion there is broad agreement on some issues.

First, whereas historically firms did not need to manage knowledge because there was a sufficient natural flow (Ruggles, 1998), now knowledge management is important because of factors including growing international competition, changing requirements of customers, and rapid economic changes (van der Spek, and de Hoog, 1994). Ruggles' argument echoes a comment of Tricker made 20 years earlier with respect to information that it *was* like sunlight to Victorian botanists, there or not there, but something about which nothing could be done (Tricker, 1982).

9 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart"

button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/chapter/empirical-study-knowledgeorganizations/25379

Related Content

Expanding the Model of Competitive Business Strategy for Knowledge-Based Organizations

Jeffrey W. Alsteteand John P. Meyer (2011). *International Journal of Knowledge-Based Organizations (pp. 16-31).*

www.irma-international.org/article/expanding-model-competitive-business-strategy/58917

Factors Affecting Knowledge Sharing Practice in Malaysia: A Preliminary Overview

Zawiyah Mohd Yusofand Mohd Bakhari Ismail (2011). *Knowledge Management in Emerging Economies: Social, Organizational and Cultural Implementation (pp. 157-170).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/factors-affecting-knowledge-sharing-practice/46846

Social Context of Citizen Science Projects

Patricia Tiago (2017). Analyzing the Role of Citizen Science in Modern Research (pp. 168-191).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/social-context-of-citizen-science-projects/170189

Knowledge Management in SMEs Clusters

Josep Capó-Vicedo (2009). Connectivity and Knowledge Management in Virtual Organizations: Networking and Developing Interactive Communications (pp. 106-124).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/knowledge-management-smes-clusters/6949

Research on Letter and Word Frequency in the Modern Bulgarian Language

Tihomir Trifonovand Tsvetanka Georgieva-Trifonova (2012). *International Journal of Knowledge-Based Organizations (pp. 74-90).*

www.irma-international.org/article/research-letter-word-frequency-modern/68975