

Chapter 7.12

Ontology

William Buchholz
Bentley College, USA

INTRODUCTION

An ontology comprises the explicitly articulated and shared concepts of a knowledge community or domain. These concepts are arranged formally in a taxonomy and are governed by specifically defined rules and axioms. Ontologies often play an important role in knowledge management information technology (KMIT). An enterprise knowledge management IT system, for example, may use an ontology “to facilitate communication, search, storage, and [knowledge] representation” (O’Leary, 1998, p. 58). A general survey of the literature suggests that ontologies are capable of improving performance in a large variety of knowledge management IT functions, especially relative to knowledgebases for best practices, lessons learned, human resource skills, Help Desks, FAQs, document collections, standards and regulations, products, services, proposals, and the like. In addition, as we look to the future, ontologies will function centrally in agent-mediated knowledge management (AMKM), distributed knowledge management (DKM), and the Semantic Web

(Daconta, Obrst, & Smith, 2003; Fensel, 2001; Heflin, Volz, & Dale, 2002; McGuinness, 2002), as these technologies become pervasive in a global economy that distributes KM knowledgebases across companies and cultures.

The term ontology is rarely used in knowledge management circles. In fact, after researching “the KM literature both in print and online” and visiting KM Europe for “two consecutive years,” Mika and Akkermans (2004) only “found prototypes of ontology-based KM applications in the ontology literature, [and] very few of the KM sources even mentioned the use of ontologies.” When ontologies were mentioned, they were termed “future KM technologies.” In the opinion of Mika and Akkermans, “The relation between KM and technology is only superficially developed in the business-oriented side of KM” (p. 6). Holsapple and Joshi (2004) are in the process of remedying this situation by developing a high-level, general knowledge management ontology that “provides a unifying view of KM phenomena” that will help researchers, educators, and practitioners (p. 593) “to characterize KM technologies, ...structure

KM case studies, and...develop a KM model for competitive analysis” (p. 594). To provide a deeper understanding of just such an ontology, and to offer a general sense of the ontological aspect of IT in KM, this article defines the history, purpose, scope, and function of the term ontology.

Ontology has its origins in philosophy, and to this day informs a vital approach to philosophical inquiry. Philosophical ontology deals with metaphysical aspects of the nature of existence, touching upon the various meanings, relationships, and instances of the abstract, the concrete, the general, and the specific. It could be said that historically much of philosophy has been devoted to constructing a high-level ontology, an abstract model of reality, its primary constituents, their essential/accidental characteristics, and the various relationships that pertain among them.

Ontological philosophers often examine existence by delineating its parts categorically in accordance with an explicit theory. Aristotle’s categories, syllogisms, definitions, and axioms, for example, form the basis of identifying, classifying, and theorizing about existence in just this way. So too have modern philosophers such as Kant, Peirce, Husserl, Whitehead, and Heidegger (Sowa, 2000, pp. 56-77) attempted to understand reality through categorization and logic. Much of their philosophical groundwork, in fact, forms the basis of ontology as it is presently understood in practical applications for computerized systems of information. Additionally, the mathematician and logician Stanislaw Lesniewski supplied a key component of the computerized sense of ontology when he used “an artificial formal language to represent his formal theory of parts (mereology).” He thereby “inaugurated philosophy’s use of artificial languages and formal logic in expressing ontologies” (Mayhew & Siebert, 2004, pp. 1-2). Thus, the philosophical sense of the word ontology, with its long and rich history, forms much of the theoretical and logical base of the computer sense of the word. The relatively modern use of ontology, as applied to computer-

ized information systems, appears first in 1967 in G.H. Mealy’s “Another Look at Data,” a paper dealing with “the foundations of data modeling” (Smith, 2004, p. 22).

Today’s computerized ontologies attempt to capture some aspect of the explicit knowledge of a specific domain, such as medicine, accounting, finance, or engineering. With this knowledge, the ontology helps a computer agent or program function in some practical way to operationalize the key concepts made explicit and constrained by highly specified rules. An agent operating on the Semantic Web, for example, could theoretically consult various ontologies distributed on the Web to gather the meaning of key terms, assertions, processes, and actions that would allow the agent to shop for your dinner, buy your favorite wine, get the best price available for both, make sure that everything is delivered at a specified time, charge your credit card, and have your garage door open when you arrive home for dinner. Only an agent with a brain could perform all these activities. But computerized agents do not have brains. They have ontologies—ontologies to consult in carrying out your instructions for dinner. Computers cannot understand as humans do; but ontologies help to create the illusion that they can.

Within the last 40 years, ontology has become a central component in computerized information processing, especially in constructing large databases (sometimes termed knowledgebases). Ontologies have also figured predominantly in software application development, Artificial Intelligence initiatives, Web services, e-business, information and document retrieval, e-commerce, decision-support, medical informatics, the Semantic Web technologies, and, of course, in various IT applications of knowledge management. Within all these areas, the highly theoretical (philosophical) view of the term ontology undergirds the very pragmatic outcomes sought in computerized knowledge systems. Ontologies, formal and informal, will continue to be major functional elements in the design, maintenance,

10 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/ontology/25321

Related Content

Biological and Information Systems Approaches

Barry E. Atkinson and Frada Burstein (2008). *Knowledge Management: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 336-344).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/biological-information-systems-approaches/25102

Exploring the Extent and Impediments of Knowledge Sharing in Chinese Business Enterprise

Wen Bing Su, Xin Li and Chee W. Chow (2012). *Conceptual Models and Outcomes of Advancing Knowledge Management: New Technologies* (pp. 266-290).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/exploring-extent-impediments-knowledge-sharing/62427

Foot-Printing E-Learners' Activity: A First Step to Help their Appropriation of the Training System?

Magali Ollagnier-Beldame (2012). *Conceptual Models and Outcomes of Advancing Knowledge Management: New Technologies* (pp. 230-243).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/foot-printing-learners-activity/62425

Modeling and Automated Examination of Communication Processes in Integrated Health Information Systems: A Systematic Approach

Samrend Saboor, Alexander Hörbstand and Elske Ammenwerth (2013). *International Journal of Knowledge-Based Organizations* (pp. 19-36).

www.irma-international.org/article/modeling-automated-examination-communication-processes/76323

Integrating Knowledge Management with Programme Management

Jill Owen (2008). *Knowledge Management: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 2605-2622).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/integrating-knowledge-management-programme-management/25284