Chapter 5.10 Siemens: Expanding the Knowledge Management System ShareNet to Research & Development

Hauke Heier

European Business School, Germany

Hans P. Borgman

Universiteit Leiden, The Netherlands

Andreas Manuth

Siemens Information & Communication Networks, Germany

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The case study describes the issues surrounding the expansion of the community-based knowledge management system (KMS) ShareNet to the research and development (R&D) function at Siemens Information and Communication Networks (ICN). It sets the stage for a decision situation that Siemens ICN's vice president business transformation and knowledge management, Janina Kugel, faced in 2003. While R&D usage rates differed not remarkably from other Siemens ICN functions, a strategic emphasis on innovative

products and services — as well as ambitious targets for leveraging offshore development resources — necessitated a stronger penetration of this highly relevant function. Could this extension build on earlier experiences gained with the best practice implementation approach at the sales and marketing function? The case description provides a chronological account of ShareNet's conceptualization, development, international rollout, and operation. It pays attention to information systems (IS) implementation issues, change management, and current developments in the field of knowledge management (KM).

ORGANIZATIONAL BACKGROUND

Siemens, headquartered in Munich, is a Germanbased multinational corporation with a balanced business portfolio of activities predominantly in the field of electronics and electrical engineering. With sales of EUR 74.2 billion and a net income of EUR 2.4 billion in fiscal 2003, it was Europe's industry leader with strong positions in the North American and Asian markets (in August 2003, EUR 1.00 was equivalent to about USD 1.13). Approximately 50,000 researchers and developers were employed; research and development (R&D) investments totaled EUR 5.1 billion. Exhibit 1 shows Siemens' financial performance from 2000 to 2003. Siemens was a conglomerate of six business segments: Information and Communications, Automation and Control, Power, Transportation,

Medical, and Lighting. Each business segment was split into several groups with independent profit responsibility and regional sales organizations (local companies) around the globe.

The decentralized matrix structure allowed for entrepreneurial responsibility and the development of close ties to customers. Global, interdivisional cooperation and systematic sharing of best practices enabled the provision of comprehensive and customer-focused solutions. Siemens' managing board confirmed that the "global network of innovation" — over 400,000 employees in 190 countries — was the firm's greatest asset. Linked in a global knowledge network, they were key for innovation and finally for offering technologies, tailor-made solutions, and services.

Siemens' largest business segment, Information and Communications, comprised three

Exhibit 1. Siemens' financial performance from 2000 to 2003 (EUR in millions)

| | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Net sales | 74,233 | 84,016 | 87,000 | 77,484 |
| Cost of sales | (53,350) | (60,810) | (63,895) | (55,949) |
| Gross profit on sales | 20,883 | 23,206 | 23,105 | 21,535 |
| Research and development expenses | (5,067) | (5,819) | (6,782) | (5,848) |
| Marketing, selling, and general administrative ex- | (13,534) | | | |
| penses | | (15,455) | (16,640) | (14,173) |
| Other operating income (expense), net (therein | | | | |
| gain on issuance of subsidiary and associated com- | 642 | | | |
| pany stock) | | 1,321 | 2,762 | 7,549 |
| Income (loss) from investments in other compa- | 142 | | | |
| nies, net | | (114) | 49 | 299 |
| Income (expense) from financial assets and mar- | | | | |
| ketable securities, net | 61 | 18 | 173 | 2,732 |
| Interest income (expense) of operations, net | 31 | 94 | (32) | (35) |
| Other interest income (expense), net | 214 | 224 | 43 | 180 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 3,372 | 3,475 | 2,678 | 12,239 |
| Income taxes | (867) | (849) | (781) | (3,017) |
| Minority interest | (96) | (29) | (191) | (362) |
| Net income (loss) | 2,445 | 2,597 | 2,088 | 8,860 |

15 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/siemens-expanding-knowledge-management-system/25248

Related Content

Measuring Knowledge Management/Knowledge Sharing (KM/KS) Efficiency and Effectiveness in Enterprise Networks

Anirban Ganguly, Ali Mostashariand Mo Mansouri (2011). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 37-54).

www.irma-international.org/article/measuring-knowledge-management-knowledge-sharing/59908

Where Did That Community Go? Communities of Practice That "Disappear"

Patricia Gonglaand Christine R. Rizzuto (2004). *Knowledge Networks: Innovation Through Communities of Practice (pp. 295-307).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/did-community-communities-practice-disappear/25441

Knowledge Management and Entrepreneurship: A Contradictory Recipe

Cesar Bandera, Michael R. Bartolacciand Katia Passerini (2016). *International Journal of Knowledge Management (pp. 1-14).*

www.irma-international.org/article/knowledge-management-and-entrepreneurship/172490

Knowledge Acquisition, Knowledge Application, and Innovation Towards the Ability to Adapt to Change

Lejla Turuljaand Nijaz Bajgori (2018). *International Journal of Knowledge Management (pp. 1-15)*. www.irma-international.org/article/knowledge-acquisition-knowledge-application-and-innovation-towards-the-ability-to-adapt-to-change/211234

An Empirical Assessment of the Performance Impacts of IS Support for Knowledge Transfer Michael J. Zhang (2007). *International Journal of Knowledge Management (pp. 66-85).*www.irma-international.org/article/empirical-assessment-performance-impacts-support/2697