



## **Chapter III**

# **Knowledge Management Systems**

## **Introduction**

---

As we trace the evolution of computing technologies in business, we can observe their changing level of organizational impact. The first level of impact was at the point work got done and transactions (e.g., orders, deposits and reservations) took place. The inflexible, centralized mainframe allowed for little more than massive number crunching, commonly known as electronic *data* processing. Organizations became data heavy at the bottom and data management systems were used to keep the data in check. Later, the management *information* systems were used to aggregate data into useful information reports, often prescheduled, for the control level of the organization — people who were making sure that organizational resources like personnel, money and physical goods were being deployed efficiently. As information technology (IT) and information systems (IS) started to facilitate data and information overflow, and corporate attention became a scarce resource, the concept of *knowledge* emerged as a particularly high-value form of information (Grover & Davenport, 2001).

Information technology can play an important role in successful knowledge management initiatives. However, the concept of coding and transmitting knowledge in organizations is not new: training and employee development programs, organizational policies, routines, procedures, reports and manuals have served this function for many years. What is new and exciting in the knowledge management area is the potential for using modern information technology (e.g., the Internet, intranets, extranets, browsers, data warehouses, data filters, software agents and expert systems) to support knowledge creation, sharing and exchange in an organization and

between organizations. Modern information technology can collect, systematize, structure, store, combine, distribute and present information of value to knowledge workers (Nahapiet & Ghoshal, 1998).

According to Davenport and Prusak (1998), more and more companies have instituted knowledge repositories, supporting such diverse types of knowledge as best practices, lessons learned, product development knowledge, customer knowledge, human resource management knowledge and methods-based knowledge. Groupware and intranet-based technologies have become standard knowledge infrastructures. A new set of professional job titles — the knowledge manager, the chief knowledge officer (CKO), the knowledge coordinator and the knowledge-network facilitator — affirms the widespread legitimacy that knowledge management has earned in the corporate world.

The low cost of computers and networks has created a potential infrastructure for knowledge sharing and opened up important knowledge management opportunities. The computational power, as such, has little relevance to knowledge work, but the communication and storage capabilities of networked computers make computational power an important enabler of effective knowledge work. Through e-mail, groupware, the Internet and intranets, computers and networks can point to people with knowledge and connect those who need to share knowledge independent of time and place.

For example, electronic networks of practice are computer-mediated discussion forums focused on problems of practice that enable individuals to exchange advice and ideas with others based on common interests. Electronic networks make it possible to share information quickly, globally and with large numbers of individuals. Electronic networks that focus on knowledge exchange frequently emerge in fields where the pace of technological change requires access to knowledge unavailable within any single organization (Wasko & Faraj, 2005).

In the knowledge-based view of the firm, knowledge is the foundation of a firm's competitive advantage and, ultimately, the primary driver of a firm's value. Inherently, however, knowledge resides within individuals and, more specifically, in the employees who create, recognize, archive, access and apply knowledge in carrying out their tasks. Consequently, the movement of knowledge across individual and organizational boundaries, into and from repositories and into organizational routines and practices is ultimately dependent on employees' knowledge-sharing behaviors (Bock, et al., 2005).

According to Grover and Davenport (2001), most knowledge management projects in organizations involve the use of information technology. Such projects fall into relatively few categories and types, each of which has a key objective. Although it is possible, and even desirable, to combine multiple objectives in a single project, this was not normally observed in a study of 31 knowledge management projects in

20 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: [www.igi-global.com/chapter/knowledge-management-systems/25043](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/knowledge-management-systems/25043)

## Related Content

---

### Knowledge-Scientific Evaluation of Social Service Systems

Yoshiteru Nakamori, Fei Mengand Van-Nam Huynh (2018). *Contemporary Knowledge and Systems Science* (pp. 120-150).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/knowledge-scientific-evaluation-of-social-service-systems/199612](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/knowledge-scientific-evaluation-of-social-service-systems/199612)

### The Antecedents of Search Performance: Information/ Knowledge Seeking for Task Completion

Mathupayas Thongmak (2020). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 21-42).

[www.irma-international.org/article/the-antecedents-of-search-performance/243637](http://www.irma-international.org/article/the-antecedents-of-search-performance/243637)

### Innovation as a Mediator Between Knowledge Management and Organisational Performance: Knowledge Acquisition, Knowledge Application, and Innovation Towards the Ability to Adapt to Change

Lejla Turuljaand Nijaz Bajgoric (2020). *Knowledge Management, Innovation, and Entrepreneurship in a Changing World* (pp. 46-68).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/innovation-as-a-mediator-between-knowledge-management-and-organisational-performance/250969](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/innovation-as-a-mediator-between-knowledge-management-and-organisational-performance/250969)

### Organizational Learning and Technological Innovation Practices: The Mediating Role of Knowledge Donation and Knowledge Collection

Zhimin Wangand Kwek Choon Ling (2020). *Knowledge Management, Innovation, and Entrepreneurship in a Changing World* (pp. 69-102).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/organizational-learning-and-technological-innovation-practices/250970](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/organizational-learning-and-technological-innovation-practices/250970)

### "They Care, We Share": Perceived Fairness in Performance Appraisal Systems on Knowledge Sharing

Jatinder Kumar Jhaand Prantika Ray (2022). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 1-28).

[www.irma-international.org/article/they-care-we-share/291095](http://www.irma-international.org/article/they-care-we-share/291095)