

Selected Reading III

Challenges in Developing a Knowledge Management Strategy for the Air Force Materiel Command*

Summer E. Bartczak

Air Force Institute of Technology AFIT/ENV, USA

Ellen C. England

Air Force Institute of Technology AFIT/ENV, USA

ABSTRACT

It is widely acknowledged that an organizational knowledge management strategy is a desired precursor to the development of specific knowledge management (KM) initiatives. The development of such a strategy is often difficult in the face of a lack of organizational understanding about KM and other organizational constraints. This case study describes the issues involved in developing a new KM strategy for the Air Force Materiel Command (AFMC). It centers around the AFMC KM program manager, Randy Adkins, and his challenges in developing the future KM strategy direction for the AFMC enterprise. The case study begins with a description of the history of the AFMC KM program and the existing KM system, but then focuses primarily on issues to be considered in future strategy development, such as maintaining top leadership support and understanding, conflict with the IT organization, funding cuts, future KM system configuration needs, and outsourcing of KM. The intent of this case study is to demonstrate, using Randy Adkins and AFMC as an example, many common issues that can be encountered as leaders struggle to develop viable KM strategies.

BACKGROUND

The Air Force Materiel Command

The Air Force Materiel Command (AFMC) is one of the Air Force’s nine major commands (Figure 1). It is headquartered at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base in Dayton, Ohio, and employs 85,000 military and civilian employees across the globe. The primary mission of AFMC is to “develop, acquire, and sustain the aerospace power needed to defend the United States and its interests . . .

today and tomorrow” (HQ AFMC PA, 2001a). As such, it has cradle-to-grave oversight for the Air Force’s aircraft, missiles, and munitions (HQ AFMC PA, 2001a). Key mission essential tasks supported by AFMC include product support, supply management, and depot maintenance (see Appendix 1 for a further breakdown).

According to the AFMC Public Affairs Fact Sheet (HQ AFMC PA, 2001a), AFMC fulfills its responsibilities through organizations that serve as product centers, research laboratories, test centers, air logistic centers for maintenance, and

Figure 1. US Air Force Major Commands





Figure 2. Air Force Materiel Command Organization



25 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/challenges-developing-knowledge-management-strategy/24962

Related Content

Knowledge Integration

Hans Berends, Hans van der Bijand Mathien Weggeman (2008). *Knowledge Management: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1615-1624).
www.irma-international.org/chapter/knowledge-integration/25204

Effects of Knowledge Management Implementation in Hospitals: An Exploratory Study in Taiwan

Wen-Jang ("Kenny") Jih, Cheng-Hsui Chenand Ying-Hsiou Chen (2006). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 1-20).
www.irma-international.org/article/effects-knowledge-management-implementation-hospitals/2684

Emergency Preparedness and Information Systems: A Case Study Using Wiki Technology

Murali Raman, Terry Ryan, Lorne Olfmanand Murray E. Jennex (2008). *Current Issues in Knowledge Management* (pp. 309-327).
www.irma-international.org/chapter/emergency-preparedness-information-systems/7380

The Social Reality of Business Activity: A Contingent Methodology for Knowledge Elicitation and Mapping

Paul Jacksonand Ray Webster (2007). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 49-65).
www.irma-international.org/article/social-reality-business-activity/2696

What Difference Does It Make: Measuring Returns of Knowledge Management

Abdus Sattar Chaudhry (2008). *Knowledge Management: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 2907-2918).
www.irma-international.org/chapter/difference-does-make/25307