

Chapter 69

The Dutch Participation Society Needs Open Data, but What Is Meant by Open?

Roel During

WUR/Alterra, The Netherlands

Marcel Pleijte

WUR/Alterra, The Netherlands

Rosalie I. van Dam

WUR/Alterra, The Netherlands

Irini E. Salverda

WUR/Alterra, The Netherlands

ABSTRACT

Open data and citizen-led initiatives can be both friends and foes. Where it is available and ‘open’, official data not only encourages increased public participation but can also generate the production and scrutiny of new material, potentially of benefit to the original provider and others, official or otherwise. In this way, official open data can be seen to improve democracy or, more accurately, the so-called ‘participative democracy’. On the other hand, the public is not always eager to share their personal information in the most open ways. Private and sometimes sensitive information however is required to initiate projects of societal benefit in difficult times. Many citizens appear content to channel personal information exchange via social media instead of putting it on public web sites. The perceived benefits from sharing and complete openness do not outweigh any disadvantages or fear of regulation. This is caused by various sources of contingency, such as the different appeals on citizens, construed in discourses on the participation society and the representative democracy, calling for social openness in the first and privacy protection in the latter. Moreover, the discourse on open data is an economic argument fighting the rules of privacy instead of the promotion of open data as one of the prerequisites for social

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-9860-2.ch069

action. Civil servants acknowledge that access to open data via all sorts of apps could contribute to the mushrooming of public initiatives, but are reluctant to release person-related sensitive information. The authors will describe and discuss this dilemma in the context of some recent case studies from the Netherlands concerning governmental programmes on open data and citizens' initiatives, to highlight both the governance constraints and uncertainties as well as citizens' concerns on data access and data sharing. It will be shown that openness has a different meaning and understanding in the participation society and representative democracy: i.e. the tension surrounding the sharing of private social information versus transparency. Looking from both sides at openness reveals double contingency: understanding and intentions on this openness invokes mutual enforcing uncertainties. This double contingency hampers citizens' eagerness to participate. The paper will conclude with a practical recommendation for improving data governance.

INTRODUCTION: THE PUBLIC AGENDA OF AN OPEN STATE

It is believed by many that the participation society thrives by opening up big data and deploying E-governance, because it enables new sorts of communication, organisation without organisations, mobilisation etc. (Brunsting & Postmes, 2002; Foth, Forlano, Satchell, & Gibbs, 2011; Shirky, 2008). A recent Open Access and Creative Commons movement enables citizens to gain access to public goods like scientific and other forms of data, that can then be used for further action (Schiltz, Verschraegen, & Magnolo, 2005). Crowdsourcing data can result in citizens science under the condition of ownership and citizens control (Hafen, Kossmann, & Brand, 2014). In the Dutch situation citizens' initiatives are mostly reviewed from the governance perspective, based on evaluations of participation projects that have been initiated by governmental actors (Bakker, Denters, Oude Vrielink, & Klok, 2012; Newman & Tonkens, 2011; Tonkens & Verhoeven, 2010; Verhoeven, 2009). This reduces criticism but encourages a bias towards a management perspective on issues of what is supposed to be good citizenship. The often made statements that citizens and local government seek interaction cannot be questioned if researchers only research government or civil society inspired initiatives, such as problematic neighbourhoods (Bakker et al., 2012; Tonkens & Verhoeven, 2010; Verhoeven, 2009). It is suggested this governance bias can only be overcome by critical systemic analyses of the surge in participative behaviour across all aspects of the representative democracy and the participation society.

Increasingly, active citizens are recognised as good citizens. The distinction between good and bad however, is not as straightforward as one would expect (R. Van Dam, Duineveld, & During, 2014). Some active citizens helping the community are convicted for social security fraud, whilst others receive subsidies for exactly similar activities in another municipality, as will be shown in this chapter. The same government that opens anonymous fraud lines for the public to snitch on fellow citizens who are suspected to work for others (in the black economy), praises the active citizen who is setting up an enterprising initiative in the informal economy (Timm Knudsen, Refslund Christensen, & Blenker, 2014). The boundaries between black and informal, or good and bad behaviour in the so called participation society, or should one say appropriate and inappropriate, are sometimes indiscernible. On the other hand Twitter is alive with publically-voiced opinions on the relative merits or otherwise of good and bad policies and politicians (Ausserhofer & Maireder, 2013). But here too, there is contingency. Good politicians are keen on supporting self-management and self-responsibility that are the new cornerstones of the big society. Yet, should they fail or struggle, they are often blamed and shamed for irresponsible

17 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:
www.igi-global.com/chapter/the-dutch-participation-society-needs-open-data-but-what-is-meant-by-open/235241

Related Content

The Importance of Teacher Education in Global Education

Kijpokin Kasemsap (2021). *Research Anthology on Instilling Social Justice in the Classroom* (pp. 1332-1358).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-importance-of-teacher-education-in-global-education/270156

Community Engagement Through Extension and Outreach Activities: Scope of a College Library

Suchismita Majumdar (2022). *Handbook of Research on the Role of Libraries, Archives, and Museums in Achieving Civic Engagement and Social Justice in Smart Cities* (pp. 121-138).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/community-engagement-through-extension-and-outreach-activities/291393

An Isolated and Behind-the-Scenes Work Called Conservation: Developing Collaboration and Community Engagement of Conservation Practice in Indonesia

Widiatmoko Adi Putranto, Indah Novita Sariand Regina Dwi Shalsa Mayzana (2022). *Handbook of Research on the Role of Libraries, Archives, and Museums in Achieving Civic Engagement and Social Justice in Smart Cities* (pp. 1-15).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/an-isolated-and-behind-the-scenes-work-called-conservation/291387

Next-Generation Teacher Competencies: Competency Balance Scorecard, Competency Development Cycle and Strategies

G. S. Prakasha (2022). *Handbook of Research on Promoting Global Citizenship Education* (pp. 228-247).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/next-generation-teacher-competencies/297572

Basic Education Provision in Kenya's Urban Informal Settlements

Francis Likoye Malenya (2020). *Handbook of Research on Diversity and Social Justice in Higher Education* (pp. 308-332).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/basic-education-provision-in-kenyas-urban-informal-settlements/253806