IDEA GROUP PUBLISHING



701 E. Chocolate Avenue, Suite 200, Hershey PA 17033-1240, USA Tel: 717/533-8845; Fax 717/533-8661; URL-http://www.idea-group.com

ITB10450

Chapter X

A Social Context Model for Discussion Process Analysis

Aldo de Moor, Tilburg University, The Netherlands

Rolf Kleef, AIDEnvironment, The Netherlands

Abstract

Computer-mediated discussion processes play an important role in achieving sustainable development. However, when part of authoring complex documents, these discussions have so far not been very effective. One reason is that in the design and application of the information tools supporting discussion, the social context is not sufficiently taken into account. We outline a social context model for discussion process analysis. The GRASS tool for group report authoring and the freeText tool for document review are authoring tools in which the social context of discussions is given explicit attention. Analyzing GRASS and freeText, we show how the model could be used to construct information tools that enable more effective discussions.

This chapter appears in the book, *Information Systems for Sustainable Development*, edited by Lorenz M. Hilty, Ebergard K. Seifert and Rene Treibert. Copyright © 2005, Idea Group Inc. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of Idea Group Inc. is prohibited.

Introduction

Globalization leads to an increasing number of complex societal problems related to sustainable development. Their solution requires the involvement of ever more stakeholders, with often strongly opposing interests. Discussion processes play an important role in public debates, the development of alternatives, and political decision making. Many types of electronic discussion tools already exist, such as newsgroups, mailing lists and various Web tools. However, so far, computermediated discussions have not been very effective in consistently fostering societal change. One important reason is that in the design and application of many tools, the social context in which the discussion processes are carried out is not sufficiently taken into account. This is especially important when the tools are used not only for discussing the pros and cons of issues, but also for the authoring of structured documents. We therefore define authoring tools as those discussion tools that are tailored to the effective authoring of structured documents.

In this chapter, we aim to chart the social context of discussion processes, and investigate how it can be used in order to help in the development of discussion tools that are more effective for authoring. We start with an analysis of two tools used to support the authoring of group documents: GRASS and freeText. Next, we analyze related work on discussion theory and tools. We present our social context model for discussion process analysis in the following section. We then use this model to analyze and compare the functionality and application of GRASS and freeText. We conclude the chapter by indicating some future research on how the model could be used to construct information tools that help foster more effective societal discussions.

Authoring as an Effective Discussion Process

Many social, environmental, and developmental issues are examples of "wicked problems". These problems are very hard to formulate and solve, as their resolution requires input from many stakeholders and disciplines (De Moor, 1998). Co-authoring documents like policies and regulations is an essential activity in resolving wicked problems and thus making society more sustainable. Authoring is a good example of a goal-oriented communication process, in which multiple authors collaborate on a joint work. In complex discussions, editorial unity needs to be achieved, while at the same time a wide variety of author perspectives need to be preserved (Harasim & Walls, 1993).

16 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/chapter/social-context-model-discussionprocess/23453

Related Content

Exploring Barriers to Parental Involvement in the Education of Children Living With Epilepsy

Ntokozo Dennis Ndwandwe (2023). *Multifaceted Analysis of Sustainable Strategies and Tactics in Education (pp. 138-162).*

 $\frac{www.irma-international.org/chapter/exploring-barriers-to-parental-involvement-in-the-education-of-children-living-with-epilepsy/325374$

Building a Factory Knowledge Base: Digitalization and Integration of Manufacturing Information

Giulia Bruno, Emiliano Traini, Alberto Favetoand Franco Lombardi (2021). *Driving Innovation and Productivity Through Sustainable Automation (pp. 50-75).*www.irma-international.org/chapter/building-a-factory-knowledge-base/274149

Pedagogy-Based Technology Enhancement in Tertiary Education

Yacine Atif (2013). Strategic Role of Tertiary Education and Technologies for Sustainable Competitive Advantage (pp. 295-311).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/pedagogy-based-technology-enhancement-tertiary/78447

Rice Production and Processing in Ogun State, Nigeria: Qualitative Insights From Farmers' Association

Evans S. C. Osabuohien, Uchechukwu E. Okorieand Romanus A. Osabohien (2018). Food Systems Sustainability and Environmental Policies in Modern Economies (pp. 188-215).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/rice-production-and-processing-in-ogun-state-nigeria/200097

Linking Performance Management Systems (PMS) With Organizational Development (OD)

Pankaj Kumar (2022). International Journal of Social Ecology and Sustainable Development (pp. 1-11).

www.irma-international.org/article/linking-performance-management-systems-pms-with-organizational-development-od/315316