Chapter 14
"Asking the Woman Question" in Case Study Research

Nicoletta Policek
University of Cumbria, UK

ABSTRACT

Case study research provides the researcher with the opportunity to decide the most convincing epistemological orientation. Such versatility is nonetheless embedded in the assumption of objectivity contends G. Griffin in Difference in View: Women and Modernism, which speaks of an "abstract masculinity" intended here as the assumption of universal humanity where men's and women's experiences are melted into one experience. Case study research, this contribution contends, even when about women, hinders the experience of women, an experience that is always situated, relational, and engaged. In other words, ontologically, it is argued here, the reality of women's lives is absent from the domain of case study research because the language adopted when framing case study research is still very much a language that talks about women, but it does not allow women to speak.

INTRODUCTION

In case study research, too often researchers hold the view that there is one single reality, which is independent of the individual and can be apprehended, studied and measured, through a neutral perspective (Woodside and Wilson, 2003). This contribution challenges the notion of epistemic privilege (Pinnick, 2005) which talks of abstract masculinity (Connell, 1983; 1995; see also Connell and Messerschmidt, 2005) arguing for the need to "ask the woman question" in case study research. Making knowledge claims across differences (Griffin, 1994) allows for the reproduction

and co-production of hidden power relations to be dissected and for such relations to surface. Borrowing from feminist approaches (Oakley, 1981; England, 1994; Ermath, 2000), the question who has the power to know what and how power is implicated in the process of producing knowledge, takes central stage in this chapter when seeking to understand the value of case study research. Regularly facing the challenge of having to defend itself from generalisation (Yin, 2014), case study research is well positioned to call into question the power relations in the research encounter. The power to decide what difference is measured against and how the "different" is constructed, in turn leads to the problem of claiming objectivity as epistemological privilege (Guba, 1981).

The key objective of this contribution is therefore to challenge such objectivity by suggesting the adoption of a feminist standpoint (Katila and Meriläinen, 1999) which confronts a privileged social identity by making a claim that it is unfair to generalize from a single case whilst contending that each singularity has its own value and merit (Brooks, 2007). The proposed understanding of standpoint is consistent with some claims Harding (1991) makes about standpoints when she emphasizes that a standpoint is not the same as the social position occupied by an inquirer or a participant in her study. Instead, she claims that taking a standpoint is a matter of moral and political commitment, for this reason suggesting that a standpoint is a collective achievement.

This contribution maintains therefore that feminist standpoint epistemology in all its nuances (Bondi, 1990; Ramazanoglu and Holland, 2002), offers a credible and valuable contribution to support strategies for using case study research which ultimately "asks the woman question" (Jayaratne and Stewart, 1991). Evaluating the most current definition of case study research, it is noticeable how Stake (1995) selects a flexible stance and, while concerned with rigor in the processes, he maintains a focus on what is studied (the case) rather than how it is studied (the method), neglecting to ask such an important question. For Stake, case study research is the study of the particularity and complexity of a single case, coming to understand its activity within important circumstances: woman, as a category of epistemological enquiry is indeed absent here. Equally, Merriam (2009) includes what is studied and the products of the research when defining case study as an in-depth description and analysis of a bounded system, avoiding to "ask the woman question". Yin's two-part definition (2014) focuses on the scope, process, and methodological characteristics of case study research, emphasizing the nature of inquiry as being empirical, and the importance of context to the case. Again, here, women are invisible (see also Holmgren and Hearn, 2009). From this brief overview, it is already perceptible that what is emphasized here is that the defining feature of case study research often takes central stage over the content of any research endeavour where case study

23 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/asking-the-woman-question-in-case-study-research/230249

Related Content

Examining the Factors that Influence how Instructors Provide Feedback in Online Learning Environments
Susan S. Conradand Nada Dabbagh (2015). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design (pp. 47-66).*
www.irma-international.org/article/examining-the-factors-that-influence-how-instructors-provide-feedback-in-online-learning-environments/129966

Impact of Group Mentoring on the Professional Development of Early Childhood Teachers in a Shanghai Kindergarten
Lingyun Lu (2020). *Challenges and Opportunities in Global Approaches to Education (pp. 115-144).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/impact-of-group-mentoring-on-the-professional-development-of-early-childhood-teachers-in-a-shanghai-kindergarten/237343

Using Web 2.0 Tools to Engage Content, Promote Self-Efficacy, and Implications for Intentional Student Learning
Paul Parkisonand Jeff A. Thomas (2018). *Innovative Applications of Online Pedagogy and Course Design (pp. 42-62).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/using-web-20-tools-to-engage-content-promote-self-efficacy-and-implications-for-intentional-student-learning/203926

An Ontological Approach to Online Instructional Design
Robert Z. Zhengand Laura B. Dahl (2011). *Instructional Design: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools and Applications (pp. 1771-1792).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/ontological-approach-online-instructional-design/51911

Preliminary Study on Exploring Students' Perceptions of Instant Response Systems in Pre-Service Teacher Courses: University Level in Taiwan
Hsin-Tzu Tommy Chen (2023). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design (pp. 1-13).*
www.irma-international.org/article/preliminary-study-on-exploring-students-perceptions-of-instant-response-systems-in-pre-service-teacher-courses/322781