

Chapter IV

Intellectual Property Rights – or Rights to the Immaterial – in Digitally Distributable Media Gone All Wrong

Kai Kristian Kimppa
University of Turku, Finland

Abstract

In the light of three major ethical theories, Lockean liberalism, consequentialism, and Kantian deontology, it seems that the intellectual property rights in digitally distributable media — be it software or other — have not been derived correctly. The three theories and their implications are reviewed and handled individually and conclusions based on each will be presented. Many aspects of these theories do not match with the current copyright and patent laws affecting digitally distributable media in western societies. A different, less restricting approach is offered.

Introduction

In today's globalized world of enhanced information and communication technology (ICT), the rights to the immaterial — generally understood as Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs) — are a central issue. The common usage of the term “intellectual property rights” already implies that the immaterial can be owned. Contrary to many expectations during the earlier decades of ICT, copyright is extending and thus limiting dissemination of information and knowledge into our societies. Laws such as the US Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA) of 1998 and its European counterpart the European Copyright Directive of 2001, are limiting access to information further. Similar restrictions can be seen in the trend in the United States towards the patenting of software features — a trend that is expected to be taken up in Europe in the not too far distant future.

Considering the enlarging of markets, the growing speed of dissemination of the marketed items — especially in the digital form — it is somewhat surprising that the protection of the immaterial should need to be strengthened, particularly with regard to the time extension now in place. Instead, one might surmise that the benefits of the growing markets and speed of dissemination would make the time needed for a limited monopoly to gain back its investment shorter rather than longer. After all, the idea of IPRs is not to grant an effective monopoly to the item but to ensure the bringing forth of new inventions and fine arts and to ensure their dissemination to the general public. After the investment (with some decent profit, maybe) has been regained, the idea surely is not to limit the functioning of the markets. Considering the strength and length of current IPR law in most western nations (and increasingly in other nations), it seems that most patent and copyright-protected digitally distributable media (DDM) is covered by IPR protection longer than its expected lifetime.

The main purpose of this chapter is to critically analyze the benefits individuals and societies actually gain from intellectual property rights in digitally distributable media, be it software, journal articles, children's books, etc. An alternative solution to the current regime is also offered.

The chapter will look into liberalist (mainly Lockean), consequentialist (or utilitarian), and deontological (mainly Kantian) arguments pro but especially con intellectual property rights. It will analyze what benefits and drawbacks intellectual property rights can offer from the selected perspectives. The traditional positions for IPRs are not introduced comprehensively, but rather

13 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/intellectual-property-rights-rights-immaterial/22939

Related Content

AI4People: Ethical Guidelines for the Automotive Sector – Fundamental Requirements and Practical Recommendations

Christoph Lütge, Franziska Poszler, Aida Joaquin Acosta, David Danks, Gail Gottehrer, Lucian Mihet-Popa and Aisha Naseer (2021). *International Journal of Technoethics* (pp. 101-125).

www.irma-international.org/article/ai4people/269438

Massively Threaded Digital Forensics Tools

Lodovico Marziale, Santhi Movva, Golden G. Richard, Vassil Roussev and Loren Schwiebert (2013). *Digital Rights Management: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 488-509).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/massively-threaded-digital-forensics-tools/70990

Cyber-Bullies as Cyborg-Bullies

Tommaso Bertolotti, Selene Arfini and Lorenzo Magnani (2018). *The Changing Scope of Technoethics in Contemporary Society* (pp. 60-73).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/cyber-bullies-as-cyborg-bullies/202491

Nanoscale Research, Ethics, and the Military

Timothy F. Murphy (2009). *Handbook of Research on Technoethics* (pp. 162-169).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/nanoscale-research-ethics-military/21578

Human Implants: A Suggested Framework to Set Priorities

Laura Cabrera (2012). *Ethical Impact of Technological Advancements and Applications in Society* (pp. 243-253).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/human-implants-suggested-framework-set/66541