Chapter 7 Using Competency– Based Learning to Improve Clinical Skills

Stefanie R. Ellison

University of Missouri - Kansas City School of Medicine, USA

Jordann Dhuse

University of Missouri - Kansas City School of Medicine, USA

ABSTRACT

This chapter serves to provide medical educators with an overview of competencybased education (CBME) and the clinical skills necessary for medical school graduate. Technology that supports the teaching, learning, and assessment of CBME and clinical skills is defined and examples are provided for each of the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) core competencies. The competencies are defined, and clinical skills embedded in each are highlighted. This chapter provides a summary of the useful technological tools and provides examples of medical schools that use technology to teach and assess CBME with these tools. Online teaching or eLearning, simulation, online assessment, virtual humans, the electronic health record, gaming, procedural software, discussion boards, reflective writing, portfolios, and telemedicine programs are covered in detail.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-6289-4.ch007

Copyright © 2019, IGI Global. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of IGI Global is prohibited.

INTRODUCTION

The History of Competency-Based Medical Education

Competency-based education has been in existence for decades in medical education and one can trace its roots to the 1970s (ten Cate & Billett, 2014). Over the past 15 years, it has become more consistently present in undergraduate and graduate medical curricula. The increase in competency-based education likely stems from increased accreditation requirements in undergraduate medical education and in residency training programs to assess learners' skills, increasing quality measures in physician performance, and trends to increase health system accountability (Carraccio & Englander, 2013). In addition, demands for improved safety in patient care drives the need for improved training and skills' assessment to foster consistent care (Nasca, Philibert, Brigham & Flynn, 2012). Evidence also exists to show that standardized resident training drives future clinical performance (Asch 2009; Chen 2014). The core competencies began to take shape after the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) delineated the six core competencies in 1998 defined by the six domains of clinical competence: Patient Care, Medical Knowledge, Practice-based Learning and Improvement, Interpersonal and Communication Skills, Professionalism, and Systems-Based Practice (Swing, 2007). Development of the core competencies were an important step to help standardize the competency-based teaching and assessment movement.

Defining the competencies of a physician in practice was an important first step in assessing clinical skills in our undergraduate medical students and residents, but the devil is in the details. While core competencies can be defined, it is important to develop undergraduate and graduate medical education objectives that are detailed and measurable in order for the learner to understand precisely what they need to do to show competence in those skills. Carraccio and Englander (2016) provided recommendations for developing the learning objectives within the core competencies and called for the following in graduate CBME:

- 1. A standardized language;
- 2. The power of direct observation and assessment;
- 3. The need for developing meaningful measures of performance;
- 4. Desired outcomes as the starting point for curriculum development;
- 5. Dependence on the reflection of expertise;
- 6. Need for exploiting the role of the learner in their learning; and
- 7. Competent clinical systems as the environment to producing competent physicians.

38 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <u>www.igi-</u> <u>global.com/chapter/using-competency-based-learning-to-</u> improve-clinical-skills/217598

Related Content

Teachers' Perceptions of Digital Language Learning Strategies: The Case of a Private Egyptian University

Dina Abdel Salam El-Dakhs, Burhan Ozfidanand Nermine Galal Ibrahim (2023). International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design (pp. 1-18). www.irma-international.org/article/teachers-perceptions-of-digital-language-learningstrategies/329967

Energizing Interdisciplinarity: Addressing Army Energy Use through Curricular Reform at West Point

Bruce Keith (2013). Cases on Interdisciplinary Research Trends in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics: Studies on Urban Classrooms (pp. 157-198).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/energizing-interdisciplinarity-addressing-army-energy/70339

Putting Course Management Systems Behind Us

Robby Robson (2005). Course Management Systems for Learning: Beyond Accidental Pedagogy (pp. 282-295).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/putting-course-management-systems-behind/7187

Creating a Caring High School Environment by Utilizing a Common Visual Language

Virginia Marie Incao-Rogers (2019). *Creating Caring and Supportive Educational Environments for Meaningful Learning (pp. 199-221).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/creating-a-caring-high-school-environment-by-utilizing-acommon-visual-language/212257

Designing Scholarly Conversations to Promote Cognitive Presence and Knowledge Building: An Exploratory Study From an Online Graduate Course

Qijie Cai (2021). International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design (pp. 16-30).

www.irma-international.org/article/designing-scholarly-conversations-to-promote-cognitivepresence-and-knowledge-building/274318