

Chapter 32

IT Service Management Architectures

Torben Tambo

Aarhus University, Denmark

Jacob Filtenborg

Aarhus University, Denmark

ABSTRACT

IT service providers tend to view their services as quasi-embedded in the client organization infrastructure. Therefore, IT service providers lack a full picture of being an organization with its own enterprise architecture. By systematically developing an enterprise architecture using the unification operating model, IT service providers can much more efficient develop relevant service catalogues with connected reporting services related to SLAs and KPIs based on ITIL and newer frameworks like SIAM.

INTRODUCTION

In a world of ever increasing importance of digital business models with strong elements of outsourcing, heterogenous IT landscapes, and cloud services, IT service management (ITSM) must be founded on strong approaches of governance (Pröhl & Zarnekow, 2015; Iden & Eikebrokk, 2013; Ali et al., 2013;). Digital business models mean that companies potentially both can act as providers and users of IT services (Richardsson & Mahfouz, 2014), and companies changes from being suppliers or distributors of traditional physical product to provide or rely on a mesh of digital services. ITSM architectures cover the system architecture, information architecture, and enterprise architecture enabling IT services and IT services deliverances (Braun & Winter, 2007; Eder & Nag, 2001). Important for these architectures are the alignment with fundamental operational agreements, mainly Service Level Agreements (SLA) and operational frameworks such as Information Technology Information Library (ITIL) (Holland, 2015), COBIT (Saran, 2015), Microsoft MOF, HP ITSM and IBM ITPM (Iden & Eidebrokk, 2013). ITSM architectures most generally reflect the services stipulated by ITIL (Gama et al., 2013) although an extension of ITIL is seen with the introduction of the Service Integration and Management (SIAM) framework (Armes et al., 2015).

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7766-9.ch032

As many ITSM architectures are relatively well-defined on single management dimensions of ITIL, there is a general lack of architectural understanding across the different service management dimensions. Complex services crossing several ITIL management layers and other added services suffer from lack of a single ITSM architecture with this resulting in customized and hard-coded solutions for monitoring, reporting and enabling of transparency. Data collection for e.g. incident management might remain loosely connected to management systems for continuity, capacity or security management. Configuration Management DataBases (CMDB) and Service Catalogues are widely expected to connect services and management systems, but are often incomplete, inconsistent, under-managed, over-managed, overaged or lagging behind with precision.

The claim of this article is that the modern digital business must connect all its silos of service management systems in well planned architectural systems potentially also connected to the general enterprise architecture of the company.

This article is based on a case study in a major IT services provider, where it was realized that service management had grown apart from the enterprise architecture in terms of highly customized solutions and services not using sufficient scale of magnitude and uniformity of processes, services and reporting. A services architecture was made from available but disjoint sources in order to create transparency and efficiency in the services production. The services architecture is important to realize digital business models whether being producer of IT services as core business or being a digitally enabled business.

BACKGROUND

Most companies and organizations are increasingly dependent on information technology (IT) and IT services. As technology is becoming more distant and commoditized in the form of cloud services and fragmented between highly specialized vendors, management of services is getting comparatively more critical (Amanatullah et al., 2013). IT Service Management (ITSM) is thus the adaptation of the right services to the right business.

Services Fundamentals

The fundamental concept of creation of business value of IT is normally expressed as services (Lahtela et al., 2014). Services are building blocks of service systems. Services are inclined to interact with work as work systems (Alter, 2014a; Alter, 2014b) with a need to recognize ‘service’ as a highly multi-faceted term with numerous contextually-linked meanings. Moreover, services are defined by constituting elements of technology, practices, and skills and operable elements of initiation, execution and termination. Frameworks such as ITIL (Holland, 2015; Randone, 2012; Kaschanki & Toland, 2006) define services from levels of criticality and impact for business, especially drawing up the concept of Service Level Agreement (SLA) that over time has transcended from IT into business in general.

Quality of service (QoS), and henceforth fulfillment of business expectations, is fundamentally defined as the ability to meet SLA KPI’s (Dubois, 2014). QoS is thus not a subjective judgement but an objective, agreed, defined fact normally also being measurable. This leaves the subjective issue open. Popularly, there can be disputes on services as they are subjectively perceived “bad”, but do meet SLA. Garschhammer et al. (2001) define QoS as independent of providers and clients, but at the same level as service access, service functionality and service management. QoS consists the several elements of

11 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:
www.igi-global.com/chapter/it-service-management-architectures/217313

Related Content

Digital Inclusion, Crowdfunding, and Crowdsourcing in Brazil: A Brief Review

Beatrice Bonamiand Maria Lujan Tubio (2019). *Social Entrepreneurship: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1132-1156).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/digital-inclusion-crowdfunding-and-crowdsourcing-in-brazil/224803

Entrepreneurship Without Innovation Is Unsustainable

Andrisha Beharry Ramraj (2021). *Reshaping Entrepreneurship Education With Strategy and Innovation* (pp. 108-126).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/entrepreneurship-without-innovation-is-unsustainable/263393

Strategical Use of ICT in Microenterprises: A Case Study

Karl W. Sandbergand Fredrik Håkansson (2020). *International Journal of E-Entrepreneurship and Innovation* (pp. 1-13).

www.irma-international.org/article/strategical-use-of-ict-in-microenterprises/239573

Fifty Shades of Dark Stories

Lea Kuznik (2019). *Advanced Methodologies and Technologies in Digital Marketing and Entrepreneurship* (pp. 539-551).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/fifty-shades-of-dark-stories/217325

The Prediction of Venture Capitalists' Investment Propensity Using Machine Learning

Youngkeun Choiand Jae W. Choi (2021). *International Journal of E-Entrepreneurship and Innovation* (pp. 18-31).

www.irma-international.org/article/the-prediction-of-venture-capitalists-investment-propensity-using-machine-learning/283096