Chapter 8

Assessing the Institutional Readiness for Open Government at the Kenyan Judiciary Using IRMT E-Readiness Tool and Open Government Implementation Model

Elsebah Maseh Moi University, Kenya

ABSTRACT

Maturity models have existed as a concept for at least two decades. This chapter demonstrates the use of two models to assess the institutional readiness for open government at the Kenyan judiciary. Kenya has gone through a series of constitutional reforms, the latest being the promulgation of a new constitution in 2010 whose key pillar is continuous engagement with citizens, which led to a launch of the Kenya Open Data Initiative in 2011. In response to this initiative, the judiciary launched its transformation framework in 2012 with an aim of inculcating a culture of openness. Against this background, a study was undertaken on records-management readiness for open government in the Kenyan judiciary. This chapter reports some of its findings, specifically the status of open-government readiness at the judiciary, assessed using the IRMT E-Readiness Tool and the open government implementation model (OGIM). The chapter describes the methodology used, discusses the findings, and states a conclusion as well as recommendations.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7080-6.ch008

INTRODUCTION

Maturity models have existed as a concept for at least two decades. Their roots lie in the field of quality management, to which Crosby's Quality Management Maturity Grid attests as one of the earliest forms of maturity model (Fraser, Moultrie, & Gregory, 2002). Typically, a maturity model consists of a sequence of maturity levels for a class of objects such as organizations or processes (Becker, Knackstedt, & Pöppelbuß, 2009). Becker et al. (2009) opine that a maturity model represents an anticipated, desired, or typical evolutionary path these objects follow in discrete stages, where the bottom stage depicts an initial state and the highest stage represents total maturity. As such, maturity models assess situations to guide improvement initiatives and to control progress (Röglinger, Pöppelbuß, & Becker; 2012).

Maturity models arise on the premise that organizations do not move from zero capability to optimum capability instantaneously, but rather progress along a journey of maturity (Murray & Ward, 2007, p.5). Maseh and Katuu (2017) point out that the number of levels for each model may vary from three to seven, as illustrated in Table 1.

The maturity model concept became most prominent through computer-software engineering in the 1980s and 1990s (Liu, 2002) and has since spread to several disciplines including business analytics (Cosic, Shanks, & Maynard, 2012), enterprise content management (Katuu, 2016a; Pelz-Sharpe & Durga, 2010), financial management (McRoberts & Sloan, 1998), the health sector (Katuu, 2016b; Katuu, 2017; Vital Wave Consulting, 2009) and project management (Kerzner, 2011). This chapter demonstrates how use of two maturity models (IRMT E-readiness Tool and Open Government Implementation Model) supported an assessment of the Kenyan judiciary's readiness for open-government implementation.

Table 1. Showing number of levels in different maturity models

Maturity Model	Number of Levels
Capability Immaturity Model (Finkelstein, 1992)	3 levels
Capability Maturity Model (Kana and Karni, 2004) Gartner Security Process Maturity Model (van Mien, 2001)	4 levels
Enterprise Content Management Maturity Model (Katuu, 2018; Real Story Group and DAM Foundation, 2014) Records Management Capacity Assessment System (Griffin, 2004; Katuu, 2016a)	5 levels
General Practice Information Maturity Model (Gillies, 2000) General Practitioner Information System measurement model (Saleh and Alshawi, 2005)	6 levels
Gartner's Infrastructure Maturity Model (Bittman, 2004)	7 levels

Source: (Maseh & Katuu, 2017, p. 80)

26 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/chapter/assessing-the-institutional-readiness-foropen-government-at-the-kenyan-judiciary-using-irmt-ereadiness-tool-and-open-government-implementationmodel/214788

Related Content

Exploring Production Function and Cost Efficiency Decomposition with VRS Assumption in China's Iron and Steel Industry

Pan-Hong Zhangand Gong-Bing Bi (2017). *International Journal of Operations Research and Information Systems (pp. 1-20).*

www.irma-international.org/article/exploring-production-function-and-cost-efficiency-decomposition-with-vrs-assumption-in-chinas-iron-and-steel-industry/183688

Solution of Correlated Multi-Response Optimization Problem: Case Study in Submerged Arc Welding

Saurav Datta, Goutam Nandi, Asish Bandyopadhyayand Pradip Kumar Pal (2010). *International Journal of Operations Research and Information Systems (pp. 22-46).* www.irma-international.org/article/solution-correlated-multi-response-optimization/47103

Advancing Gender Equity Through Mentoring and Leadership Development: A Human Performance Technology Case Study

Cynthia M. Sims, Angela D. Carter, Arelis Moore De Peralta, Alena Höfrováand Stephen W. Brown III (2020). *Cases on Performance Improvement Innovation (pp. 101-125).*

 $\underline{\text{www.irma-}international.org/chapter/advancing-gender-equity-through-mentoring-and-leadership-development/255967}$

Knowledge Management in Action: The Experience of Infosys Technologies V. P. Kochikar, Kavi Maheshand C. S. Mahind (2003). *Knowledge and Business Process Management (pp. 83-98).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/knowledge-management-action/24838

Comparison and Integration of IT Governance Frameworks to support IT Management

S. Looso, M. Goekenand W. Johannsen (2011). *Quality Management for IT Services: Perspectives on Business and Process Performance (pp. 90-107).*

 $\frac{\text{www.irma-international.org/chapter/comparison-integration-governance-frameworks-support/46862}$