

Chapter 54

Political Communication and Twitter in Greece: Jumps on the Bandwagon or an Enhancement of the Political Dialogue?

Stamatis Poulakidakos
University of Athens, Greece

Anastasia Veneti
Bournemouth University, UK

ABSTRACT

Social media already serve as a new place for the development of a “public sphere”, hence the exchange of argumentation on issues of public interest. More specifically, Twitter has been rather frequently used by politicians and parties in their attempt to establish a new way of communicating with the electorate. Drawing on the concepts of public sphere and propaganda and by conducting content and thematic analysis on the tweets of the two biggest Greek political parties, New Democracy (ND) and the Coalition of the Radical Left (SYRIZA), this chapter examines Twitter as a platform of information dissemination and dialogue. The aspiration of this study is to contribute to a growing volume of research that seeks to examine the potential of microblogging to animate political communication and to increase political participation.

INTRODUCTION

Internet has transformed the way political communication has been conducted in most parts of the world. Information Communication Technologies (ICTs) have provided politicians with a wealth of opportunities to campaign and communicate with their electorate in novel and creative ways. The use of internet for political communication purposes has been studied by many scholars including Hill & Hughes, 1998; Jones, 1998; Blumler & Kavanagh, 1999; Livingstone, 1999; McChesney, 2000; Norris, 2001; Mcnamara, 2008; Vaccari, 2008; Schweitzer, 2008; Lev-On, 2011; Lilleker & Jackson 2010, 2011;

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7113-1.ch054

Towner & Dulio, 2012). As any new communication practice, the use of ICTs in political communication has both triggered hopes of its potential democratic impact, as well as raised concerns for impending adversities. In particular, the studies dated prior to 2004 have designated the risks of such a development, pointing out the threats in social consistency and the debate over the digital divide (Hoffman & Novak, 1998; Wellman, 2000). Nonetheless, many of these studies were prior to the communication applications offered by Web 2.0. The advent of social media such as YouTube, Facebook and Twitter led to a modernisation of political communication (Bimber & Davis, 2003; Carpenter, 2010). Politicians around the world have increasingly sought to capitalize on the new opportunities offered by the Web 2.0 applications and they embarked on new campaigning strategies, new modes of fundraising, mobilization and information gathering.

Studies that supervened the 2004 US presidential election (Macnamara, 2008; Smith & Rainie, 2008; Chen & Walsh, 2009; Dahlgren, 2009), which according to Xenos & Moy (2007, p. 704) was “a critical turning point” in the use of social media, have been more optimistic. The innovative and extensive use of social media such as Facebook, YouTube, Twitter and MySpace in the 2008 and 2012 U.S. presidential campaigns has been the watershed event for modern political communication. In the 2004 U.S. presidential campaigns, Vermont Governor Howard Dean successfully used the new applications for fundraising and mobilization (Trippi, 2004; Carpenter, 2010; Veneti, 2014). The Obama campaign in 2008 U.S. presidential elections created its own social networking site, My.BarackObama.com (MyBO) and the McCain campaign followed some time later with McCainSpace. Both MyBO and McCainSpace allowed individuals to create their own profiles, interact with others, donate funds, join groups and arrange events (Towner, 2012; Chadwick, 2008; Carpenter, 2010). Several studies on the use of social media in politics suggest that the new technologies provide a fertile new ground for new forms of public participation, political mobilization and information diffusion (Graham, Broersma & Hazelhoff, 2013; Smith, 2009; Lilleker & Jackson, 2010, 2011). Despite the flourishing use of ICTs in political campaigning, there are still questions over their substantial capabilities to empower democracy by fostering greater participation, encouraging political conversation and improving interactive information-sharing (Coleman, 2001; Jackson, 2007). As such, there is a pertinent need for more studies to examine if and how the new media have a democratic impact.

This chapter contributes to a growing volume of research that seeks to examine the potential of microblogging to animate political communication, increase political participation and provide a new repertoire of collective action (Castells, 2007, p. 255; Chadwick, 2008; Larsson & Moe, 2011; Jackson & Lilleker, 2011; Adi, Erickson & Lilleker, 2014). Twitter has been heralded as a new tool of online campaigning and electorate engagement. In Greece, during the last two years, Twitter has been rather frequently used by party members and press offices of the most significant political parties, in order to establish a new way of communicating with the electorate (Burgess & Bruns, 2012; Hutchins, 2011; Neuhaus & Webmoor, 2012; Ovadia, 2009; Heinrich, 2012; Hermida, Fletcher, Korell, & Logan, 2012; Small, 2011). In Greece, mainstream media are considered unreliable due to vested interests between the media moguls and politicians (Veneti & Karadimitriou, 2013), whilst at the same time there is a growing number of internet users which increases exponentially (OECD, 2015; “Greece”, 2015). Throughout 2014, there were 418.700 active Twitter users in Greece – 257.700 more than 2012- with 6 tweets per second (Trending.gr, 2015). Moreover, similar statistics showed that Greek Twitter users’ attention was primarily on socio-political issues such as #skouries, #occupyert, #ertopen, #killahp etc. Therefore, it

26 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/political-communication-and-twitter-in-greece/213847

Related Content

Architecture of Combined E-Learning Environment and Investigation of Secure Access and Privacy Protection

Radi Petrov Romanskyand Irina Stancheva Noninska (2019). *Censorship, Surveillance, and Privacy: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1347-1365).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/architecture-of-combined-e-learning-environment-and-investigation-of-secure-access-and-privacy-protection/213858

Do Privacy Concerns Affect Information Seeking via Smartphones?

Mohamed Abdelhamid, Srikanth Venkatesan, Joana Gaiaand Raj Sharman (2019). *Censorship, Surveillance, and Privacy: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1191-1202).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/do-privacy-concerns-affect-information-seeking-via-smartphones/213850

Significance of Cyber Security in Healthcare Systems

Anuj Singh, Somjit Mandaland Kamlesh Chandra Purohit (2023). *Cyber Trafficking, Threat Behavior, and Malicious Activity Monitoring for Healthcare Organizations* (pp. 51-71).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/significance-of-cyber-security-in-healthcare-systems/328124

Clustering Based on Two Layers for Abnormal Event Detection in Video Surveillance

Emna Fendri, Najla Bouarada Ghraband Mohamed Hammami (2019). *Censorship, Surveillance, and Privacy: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 433-453).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/clustering-based-on-two-layers-for-abnormal-event-detection-in-video-surveillance/213815

Cyber Security Crime and Punishment: Comparative Study of the Laws of Jordan, Kuwait, Qatar, Oman, and Saudi Arabia

Evon Abu-Taieh, Auhood Alfaries, Shaha Al-Otaibiand Ghadah Aldehim (2019). *National Security: Breakthroughs in Research and Practice* (pp. 126-140).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/cyber-security-crime-and-punishment/220879