

Chapter 1

Applying Actor–Network Theory in Media Studies: Theoretical (Im)Possibilities

Markus Spöhrer
University of Konstanz, Germany

ABSTRACT

The chapter offers an international research overview of the possibilities and problems of applying actor-network theory in media studies and media-related research. On the one hand, the chapter provides a summary of the central aspects and terminologies of Bruno Latour's, Michel Callon's and John Law's corpus of texts. On the other hand, it summarizes both theoretical and methodological implications of the combination of actor-network theory and strands of media studies research such as discourse analysis, production studies, and media theory.

INTRODUCTION¹

Ten years ago, Nick Couldry², a researcher in the field of media, communication and social theory, pointed out to the fact that Actor-Network Theory (ANT) “seems perfectly placed to generate a theory of the role(s) of media and communication technologies in contemporary societies” (2008a, p. 93). Yet, he also notes that although the “potential affinity” (p. 94) between and the connectivity of ANT and Media Studies has been sporadically mentioned in critical Media Studies and theory discourse, “this connection has been surprisingly little explored” (p. 94):

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7027-1.ch001

*The fact that a stable link between ANT and media theory has not been established - ironically, ANT is not “networked” with media theory - cannot be explained by ignorance. Not only does ANT have a high profile in the social sciences (as indicated by the wide currency of *We Have Never Been Modern*, the main book of one of the ANT founders, Bruno Latour (Latour, 1993), but in the late 1980s studies of how media technologies, especially television, are embedded in domestic and social space were closely aligned with work in the sociology of science and technology influenced by ANT. (Couldry, 2008a, p. 93-94)*

Meanwhile, in the course of the last two decades, “thinking about ANT in Media Studies” has significantly increased, which is manifest in the growing number of international publications, dissertations, academic conferences and workshops as well as university seminars and lectures. Whether or not Actor-Network Theory even needs to be understood as a “new paradigm of media theory,” as Gramp (2009a, trans. MS; also cf. Hoof, 2011) suggests, is still up to debate or even questionable in the light of canonized, traditionally stabilized and omnipresent approaches to media such as narratology, discourse analysis or semiotics (to name a few). Nevertheless, the current emphasis on the interferences between ANT and media in critical discourse can at least be considered a “boom,” (Glaubitz, 2011, p. 16; cf. Teurlings, 2013, p. 101) “trend,” or “tendency” (Fornäs, 2008, p. 6), a “common ground” (Hoof, 2011, p. 45) or maybe even as “one of the most interesting developments in Cultural Media Studies in the last years” (Engell & Siegert, 2013, p. 5, trans. MS.).

In accordance with Couldry’s early remarks about the noticeable problems and limits that media poses to the applicability of ANT (2008a, p. 94), German media theorists Lorenz Engell and Bernhard Siegert (2013) conclude that despite all the theoretical challenges of this conjunction, it yet offers “surprising theoretical convergences” (p. 10, trans. MS). In fact, the interplay between potentials and challenges has been emphasized in a number of works related to this discourse, not at least by Couldry in his 2008a publication: “the relationship between ANT and media theory is a significant, if uneasy, one” (p. 106). Interestingly enough, as Engell and Siegert (2013) and Erhard Schüttpelz (2013) argue, ANT, which is usually considered a sociological theory and/or method or a certain strand of Science and Technology Studies (STS), has “ever since incorporated an implicit theory of media and also explicitly conducted analyses of media as well” (Engell & Siegert, 2013, p. 7, trans. MS; cf. Schüttpelz, 2013, pp 16-18). Among others, photographs, print media, maps and scientific instruments have fulfilled the functions of actors or mediators in the key texts of ANT’s protagonists (e.g. cf. Latour, 1987). Admittedly, ‘media’ have rarely been addressed explicitly, however, as Tristan Thielmann (2013a) points out, taking into consideration the theoretical and methodological agenda of ANT as put forward by their key proponents (Bruno Latour, Michel Callon, John

25 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/applying-actor-network-theory-in-media-studies/213672

Related Content

A System Approach to Describing, Analysing and Control of the Behaviour of Agents in MAS

František Capkovic (2010). *Cybernetics and Systems Theory in Management: Tools, Views, and Advancements* (pp. 253-273).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/system-approach-describing-analysing-control/39332

Machine Learning Approaches for Supernovae Classification

Surbhi Agrawal, Kakoli Boraand Swati Routh (2017). *Handbook of Research on Applied Cybernetics and Systems Science* (pp. 207-219).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/machine-learning-approaches-for-supernovae-classification/181104

The Diffusion of Accounting Innovations in the New Public Sector as Influenced by IMF Reforms: Actor-Network Theory

Nizar M. Alsharari (2016). *International Journal of Actor-Network Theory and Technological Innovation* (pp. 26-51).

www.irma-international.org/article/the-diffusion-of-accounting-innovations-in-the-new-public-sector-as-influenced-by-imf-reforms/182681

Advanced Technology for Cyber-Physical System Monitoring

Man Tianxing, Vasilii Yurievich Osipov, Ildar Raisovich Baimuratov, Natalia Alexandrovna Zhukova, Alexander Ivanovich Vodyahoand Sergey Vyacheslavovich Lebedev (2020). *Tools and Technologies for the Development of Cyber-Physical Systems* (pp. 1-27).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/advanced-technology-for-cyber-physical-system-monitoring/248743

Networks, Agents and Models: Objections and Explorations

Fabian Muniesaand Ivan Tchalakov (2012). *International Journal of Actor-Network Theory and Technological Innovation* (pp. 13-23).

www.irma-international.org/article/networks-agents-models/63000