

Chapter 7

Assessing Public Sector Learning Following a Natural Disaster

Thomas A. Bryer

Central Florida University, USA

Nail Öztaş

İstanbul Gelisim University, Turkey

Robert C. Myrtle

University of Southern California, USA

ABSTRACT

Disasters are extreme events that usually require multiple stakeholders' involvement. Public, private, and third-sector actors; local, national, and international parties need to form a well-functioning multilevel network of disaster management and rescue to be able to cope with large and unexpected disasters. The lack of well-coordinated efforts, well-coordinated staff, and well-coordinated organizations is generally one of the main causes of failure to cope with major disasters. This chapter describes disaster management as a multilevel governance activity. It will illustrate the practical side of multilevel governance by emphasizing specifically the multilevel learning process of multilevel governance actors during times of crises. The authors review literature on organizational learning and network learning, both in normal times and during crises, and they assess learning following two major earthquakes

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-5547-6.ch007

that struck Turkey in 1999, separated in time by only 89 days. Five propositions are made regarding multilevel learning in times of crises: 1) Level of learning: there are three levels of learning (organizational, interorganizational network, and policy) during and after a crisis that are relevant to improved interorganizational network performance in future crises; 2) Depth of learning: the depth of learning (single-loop or double-loop) varies based on the stage of the crisis or disaster faced by network actors; 3) Method of learning: the methods of knowledge acquisition will vary based on the role or function of the organization or organizations within a network prior to the crisis event; 4) Learning dependency: learning at one level may be highly dependent on factors at other levels; and 5) Learning environment: there are a set of conditions that need to be met in an environment in order for a crisis to trigger learning in organizations, networks, and at the policy level.

ASSESSING MULTILEVEL PUBLIC SECTOR LEARNING FOLLOWING A NATURAL DISASTER

Crises are an “unpopular, largely negative phenomenon in management” (Kim, 1998). Perceived threats are the driving force behind crises which are defined as “an environmental event that has impending negative or harmful consequences for the entity” (Staw et al, 1981, p. 502). A crisis actually occurs when an organization’s responses seem inadequate to resolve a threatening problem (Barnett & Pratt, 2000). This is most often true following disasters, which “signal the failure of a society to adapt successfully to certain features of its natural and socially constructed environment in a sustainable fashion” (Oliver-Smith, 1996, p. 304). Major natural disasters such as earthquakes, while they vary in scale, usually threaten the general welfare of the effected people by generating problems and conditions that are difficult to anticipate and address (Comfort, 1995, 1999a; Schneider, 1992, 1995; Donahue & Joyce, 2001). Despite the involvement of individuals, communities, charity organizations, and private companies in disaster response and recovery activities, their efforts are often woefully inadequate to ease the enormous burdens on the people who experience them (Schneider, 1995).

This paper explores the ability of public organizations to learn from crises, specifically a major natural disaster. It recognizes the unique placement of public organizations in the disaster management community and shows how a public organization learns both as an organization acting independently and as an organization embedded in a larger network of individuals, communities, charity organizations, private companies, and other actors in the disaster policy subsystem.

25 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/assessing-public-sector-learning-following-a-natural-disaster/212417

Related Content

Digital-Locker Services in India: An Assessment of User Adoption and Challenges

Sumangla Rathore and Avinash Panwar (2020). *Leveraging Digital Innovation for Governance, Public Administration, and Citizen Services: Emerging Research and Opportunities* (pp. 101-131).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/digital-locker-services-in-india/238952

From E-Government to E-Governance in Europe

Rebecca Levy Orelli, Emanuele Padovani and Carlotta del Sordo (2013). *From Government to E-Governance: Public Administration in the Digital Age* (pp. 195-206).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/government-governance-europe/69017

Using Social Media to Inform and Engage Urban Dwellers in La Paz, Mexico

Victoria Basolo and Anaïd Yerena (2017). *International Journal of Public Administration in the Digital Age* (pp. 11-28).

www.irma-international.org/article/using-social-media-to-inform-and-engage-urban-dwellers-in-la-paz-mexico/181605

Contribution of Men in Safe Motherhood: A Case of Dodoma Municipality

Vincent Sebastian Pande (2020). *International Journal of Public and Private Perspectives on Healthcare, Culture, and the Environment* (pp. 27-40).

www.irma-international.org/article/contribution-of-men-in-safe-motherhood/243476

Moving with Time and Strategy: India and Bangladesh's Development in the Era of ICTs

Sandeep Bhaskar (2013). *Cases on Progressions and Challenges in ICT Utilization for Citizen-Centric Governance* (pp. 23-43).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/moving-time-strategy/69861